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#### Abstract

The ORANI applied general equilibrium (AGE) model of the Australian economy has been widely used by academics and by economists in the government and private sectors. We describe a generic version of the model, ORANI-G, designed for expository purposes and for adaptation to other countries.

Our description of the model's equations and database is closely integrated with an explanation of how the model is solved using the GEMPACK system. The intention is to provide a convenient starting-point for those wishing to use or construct a similar AGE model. Computer files are available, which contain a complete model specification and database.

ORANI-G forms the basis of an annual modelling course, and has been adapted to build models of South Africa, Brazil, Ireland, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Fiji, South Korea, Denmark, Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines and both Chinas.


Bibliographical Note: this document has its origin in the article"ORANI-F: A General Equilibrium Model of the Australian Economy", by J.M. Horridge, B.R. Parmenter and K.R. Pearson (all of the Centre of Policy Studies), which appeared in the journal Economic and Financial Computing (vol.3,no.2,Summer 1993). Subsequently Horridge has progressively altered the article for teaching purposes, removing contributions by the other authors.

ORANI-G is designed to be freely used and adapted for various countries and purposes. The same is true of this document. You are welcome to use or alter all or parts of it to prepare documentation for your own ORANI-G based model. However, we ask that you make some attribution or acknowledgement if you do use the document in this way. You can cite the document as follows:
Horridge (2000), ORANI-G: A General Equilibrium Model of the Australian Economy, CoPS/IMPACT Working Paper Number OP-93, Centre of Policy Studies, Monash University, downloadable from:
http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/elecpapr/op-93.htm

## Changes for the 2001 edition

This edition includes an expanded discussion of closures, changes reflecting advances in GEMPACK software; and a reorganized TAB file. The changes to the TAB file are designed to make it more readable, more modular, and easier to maintain. For example, instead of appearing in one huge list, most variables are declared immediately prior to the equations in which they appear (if desired, the software can produce a comprehensive list of variables, such as appears in Appendix K). Also, an attempt has been made to confine the effect of particular theoretical assumptions (such as the mechanism of a tax) to fewer (ideally, just one) equations. For example, update statements for tax flows and computations of aggregate tax revenue are now independent of whether tax variables are expressed as powers or as $a d$ valorem rates. Again, the original version of ORANI used the assumptions of constant returns to scale and marginal cost pricing to eliminate quantity variables from the industry zero pure profits (ZPP) condition. Those assumptions are not embedded in the ZPP equation for ORANIG01.

## Changes for the 2003 edition

This edition includes new variables: p1 var (short-run variable cost price index); x 0 gne , p0gne and w0gne (absorption aggregates); xgdpfac (GDP at factor cost, easily decomposed into components due to each primary factor). New real income-side GDP variable x0gdpinc equals x0gdpexp and can be decomposed into primary factor, tech change and tax components. Some equations have been rearranged to make them more friendly to AnalyseGE. For the same reason, many substitutions were converted to backsolves. Previously all parameters were positive except export demand elasticities and Frisch parameters: now these also may be positive without error. The ID01 function is used instead of TINY in some places. TINY and ID01 appear in a few more places to combat rare zero-divide problems.

## Changes for the 2005 edition

The TAB file (but not the text) contains some new macro variables to assist in explaining results. The TAB file also contains an example of the "post-sim" calculations possible in Version 9 and later of GEMPACK.

> Latest ORANI-G-related material will be found at: http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/oranig.htm
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## 1. Introduction

The ORANI applied general equilibrium (AGE) model of the Australian economy was first developed in the late 1970s as part of the government-sponsored IMPACT project ${ }^{1}$. The model has been widely used in Australia as a tool for practical policy analysis by academics, and by economists employed in government departments and in the private sector ${ }^{2}$.

Initial versions of ORANI were static, with applications confined to comparative-static analysis. Later versions (ORANI-F and MONASH) have contained dynamic elements, arising from stock/flow accumulation relations: between capital stocks and investment, and between foreign debt and trade deficits. Other extensions to the basic model have included systems of government accounts, and regional breakdowns of model results.

The version of ORANI described here, ORANI-G, resembles the original ORANI specification, and is designed both as an introduction to the ORANI methodology, and as a launching pad for developing new models. Indeed, it has already served as the basis for models of South Africa, Vietnam, Indonesia, South Korea, Thailand, the Philippines, Pakistan, Denmark, both Chinas and Fiji.

GEMPACK, a flexible system for solving AGE models, is used to formulate and solve ORANI-G (Harrison and Pearson, 1994). GEMPACK automates the process of translating the model specification into a model solution program. The GEMPACK user needs no programming skills. Instead, he/she creates a text file, listing the equations of the model. The syntax of this file resembles ordinary algebraic notation. The GEMPACK program TABLO then translates this text file into a model-specific program which solves the model.

The documentation in this volume is designed to serve as a template for researchers who may wish to use or construct a model like ORANI-G using the GEMPACK software. It consists of:

- an outline of the structure of the model and of the appropriate interpretations of the results of com-parative-static and forecasting simulations;
- a description of the solution procedure;
- a brief description of the data, emphasising the general features of the data structure required for such a model;
- a complete description of the theoretical specification of the model framed around the TABLO Input file which implements the model in GEMPACK;
- a guide to the GEMPACK system, covering PC and mainframe versions; and
- an illustrative application.

A set of computer files complements this document. It may be obtained from the World Wide Web-see Appendix B. The files contain the ORANI-G TABLO Input file and a 22 -sector database. Some version of GEMPACK is required to solve the model. To order GEMPACK, see Appendix C.

[^0]
## 2. Model Structure and Interpretation of Results

ORANI-G has a theoretical structure which is typical of a static AGE model. It consists of equations describing, for some time period:

- producers' demands for produced inputs and primary factors;
- producers' supplies of commodities;
- demands for inputs to capital formation;
- household demands;
- export demands;
- government demands;
- the relationship of basic values to production costs and to purchasers' prices;
- market-clearing conditions for commodities and primary factors; and
- numerous macroeconomic variables and price indices.

Demand and supply equations for private-sector agents are derived from the solutions to the optimisation problems (cost minimisation, utility maximisation, etc.) which are assumed to underlie the behaviour of the agents in conventional neoclassical microeconomics. The agents are assumed to be price-takers, with producers operating in competitive markets which prevent the earning of pure profits.

### 2.1. A comparative-static interpretation of model results

Like the majority of AGE models, ORANI-G is designed for comparative-static simulations. Its equations and variables, which are described in detail in Section 4, all refer implicitly to the economy at some future time period.

This interpretation is illustrated by Figure 1, which graphs the values of some variable, say employment, against time. A is the level of employment in the base period (period 0 ) and $B$ is the level which it would attain in T years time if some policy-say a tariff change-were not implemented. With the tariff change, employment would reach C , all other things being equal. In a comparative-static simulation, ORANI-G might generate the percentage change in employment $100(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{B}$, showing how employment in period $T$ would be affected by the tariff change alone.


Figure 1. Comparative-static interpretation of results
Many comparative-static ORANI simulations have analysed the short-run effects of policy changes. For these simulations, capital stocks have usually been held at their pre-shock levels. Econometric evi-
dence suggests that a short-run equilibrium will be reached in about two years, i.e., $\mathrm{T}=2$ (Cooper, McLaren and Powell, 1985). Other simulations have adopted the long-run assumption that capital stocks will have adjusted to restore (exogenous) rates of return-this might take 10 or 20 years, i.e., $\mathrm{T}=10$ or 20. In either case, only the choice of closure and the interpretation of results bear on the timing of changes: the model itself is atemporal. Consequently it tells us nothing of adjustment paths, shown as dotted lines in Figure 1.

There are also various dynamic versions of ORANI, to which this document refers only in passing. Results from the dynamic versions are also reported in percentage change form. Here, however, the changes compare two different instants in time. For example, in terms of Figure 1, results from a base forecast might refer to the change from A to B . Another set of results, incorporating the changed tariff, would refer to the change from A to C .

The dynamic versions of $\mathrm{ORANI}^{3}$ generally incorporate investment-capital accumulation relations which explicitly mention the length of the period T. A more important practical difference between dynamic and comparative-static applications is that dynamic models require far more information about changes in exogenous variables. Comparative-static simulation of the change from B to C requires, in addition to the initial database, only the value of the exogenous tariff change. For a dynamic simulation we must specify changes in all exogenous variables. Thus we would need to forecast changes in foreign prices, in all sorts of tax rates, in technology and in tastes.

MONASH is the current flagship of the ORANI line. It is a large dynamic model for which T is usually set to 1 . A sequence of MONASH solutions are linked together, so that a complete MONASH forecast consists of a series of year-on-year changes for all of its many thousands of variables. By computing annual solutions, we are able to be fairly explicit about adjustment processes. The disadvantage, as mentioned above, is that the modeller is forced to postulate the future time-path of very many exogenous variables.

## 3. The Percentage-Change Approach to Model Solution

Many of the ORANI-G equations are non-linear-demands depend on price ratios, for example. However, following Johansen (1960), the model is solved by representing it as a series of linear equations relating percentage changes in model variables. This section explains how the linearised form can be used to generate exact solutions of the underlying, non-linear, equations, as well as to compute linear approximations to those solutions ${ }^{4}$.

A typical AGE model can be represented in the levels as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})=\mathbf{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}$ is a vector of endogenous variables, $\mathbf{X}$ is a vector of exogenous variables and $\mathbf{F}$ is a system of non-linear functions. The problem is to compute $\mathbf{Y}$, given $\mathbf{X}$. Normally we cannot write $\mathbf{Y}$ as an explicit function of $\mathbf{X}$.

Several techniques have been devised for computing $\mathbf{Y}$. The linearised approach starts by assuming that we already possess some solution to the system, $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right\}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right)=\mathbf{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normally the initial solution $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right\}$ is drawn from historical data-we assume that our equation system was true for some point in the past. With conventional assumptions about the form of the $\mathbf{F}$ function it will be true that for small changes $\mathbf{d Y}$ and $\mathbf{d X}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}_{Y}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{Y}+\mathbf{F}_{X}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{Y}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{X}$ are matrices of the derivatives of $\mathbf{F}$ with respect to $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{X}$, evaluated at $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right\}$. For reasons explained below, we find it more convenient to express $\mathbf{d Y}$ and $\mathbf{d X}$ as small percentage changes $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{x}$. Thus $y$ and $x$, some typical elements of $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{x}$, are given by:

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=100 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Y} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{x}=100 \mathrm{dX} / \mathrm{X} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Correspondingly, we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \hat{\mathbf{Y}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{G}_{X}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \hat{\mathbf{X}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ are diagonal matrices. Hence the linearised system becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such systems are easy for computers to solve, using standard techniques of linear algebra. But they are accurate only for small changes in $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{X}$. Otherwise, linearisation error may occur. The error is illustrated by Figure 2, which shows how some endogenous variable Y changes as an exogenous variable X moves from $\mathrm{X}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{F}}$. The true, non-linear relation between X and Y is shown as a curve. The linear, or first-order, approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=-\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \mathrm{x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the Johansen estimate $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{J}}$-an approximation to the true answer, $\mathrm{Y}^{\text {exact. }}$.


Figure 2. Linearisation error
Figure 2 suggests that, the larger is x , the greater is the proportional error in y . This observation leads to the idea of breaking large changes in X into a number of steps, as shown in Figure 3. For each sub-change in X , we use the linear approximation to derive the consequent sub-change in Y . Then, using the new values of X and Y , we recompute the coefficient matrices $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$. The process is repeated for each step. If we use 3 steps (see Figure 3), the final value of $Y, Y^{3}$, is closer to $Y^{\text {exact }}$ than was the Johansen estimate $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{J}}$. We can show, in fact, that given sensible restrictions on the derivatives of $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})$, we can obtain a solution as accurate as we like by dividing the process into sufficiently many steps.

The technique illustrated in Figure 3, known as the Euler method, is the simplest of several related techniques of numerical integration-the process of using differential equations (change formulae) to move from one solution to another. GEMPACK offers the choice of several such techniques. Each requires the user to supply an initial solution $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right\}$, formulae for the derivative matrices $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$, and the total percentage change in the exogenous variables, $\mathbf{x}$. The levels functional form, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})$, need not be specified, although it underlies $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$.

The accuracy of multistep solution techniques can be improved by extrapolation. Suppose the same experiment were repeated using 4 -step, 8 -step and 16 -step Euler computations, yielding the following estimates for the total percentage change in some endogenous variable Y :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y}(4 \text {-step }) & =4.5 \% \\
\mathrm{y}(8 \text {-step }) & =4.3 \%(0.2 \% \text { less }), \text { and } \\
\mathrm{y}(16 \text {-step }) & =4.2 \%(0.1 \% \text { less })
\end{array}
$$

Extrapolation suggests that the 32 -step solution would be:
$\mathrm{y}(32$-step $)=4.15 \%(0.05 \%$ less $)$,
and that the exact solution would be:


Figure 3. Multistep process to reduce linearisation error
The extrapolated result requires $28(=4+8+16)$ steps to compute but would normally be more accurate than that given by a single 28 -step computation. Alternatively, extrapolation enables us to obtain given accuracy with fewer steps. As we noted above, each step of a multi-step solution requires: computation from data of the percentage-change derivative matrices $\mathbf{G}_{Y}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$; solution of the linear system (6); and use of that solution to update the data ( $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ ).

In practice, for typical AGE models, it is unnecessary, during a multistep computation, to record values for every element in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$. Instead, we can define a set of data coefficients $\mathbf{V}$, which are functions of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, i.e., $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. Most elements of $\mathbf{V}$ are simple cost or expenditure flows such as appear in input-output tables. $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$ turn out to be simple functions of $\mathbf{V}$; often indeed identical to elements of $\mathbf{V}$. After each small change, $\mathbf{V}$ is updated using the formula $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{H}_{Y}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{H}_{X}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{x}$. The advantages of storing $\mathbf{V}$, rather than $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$, are twofold:

- the expressions for $\mathbf{G}_{Y}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{X}$ in terms of $\mathbf{V}$ tend to be simple, often far simpler than the original $\mathbf{F}$ functions; and
- there are fewer elements in $\mathbf{V}$ than in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ (e.g., instead of storing prices and quantities separately, we store merely their products, the values of commodity or factor flows).


### 3.1. Levels and linearised systems compared: a small example

To illustrate the convenience of the linear approach ${ }^{5}$, we consider a very small equation system: the CES input demand equations for a producer who makes output $Z$ from $N$ inputs $X_{k}, k=1-N$, with prices $P_{k}$. In the levels the equations are (see Appendix A):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z} \delta_{\mathrm{k}}^{1 /(\rho+1)}\left[\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ave}}}\right]^{-1 /(\rho+1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{~N}
$$

where $P_{\text {ave }}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i}^{1 /(\rho+1)} P_{i}^{\rho /(\rho+1)}\right)^{(\rho+1) / \rho}$.
The $\delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\rho$ are behavioural parameters. To solve the model in the levels, the values of the $\delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ are normally found from historical flows data, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$, presumed consistent with the equation system and with some externally given value for $\rho$. This process is called calibration. To fix the $X_{k}$, it is usual to assign arbitrary values to the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$, say 1 . This merely sets convenient units for the $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (base-period-dollars-

[^2]worth). $\rho$ is normally given by econometric estimates of the elasticity of substitution, $\sigma(=1 /(\rho+1))$. With the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{Z}$ and $\rho$ known, the $\delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ can be deduced.

In the solution phase of the levels model, $\delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\rho$ are fixed at their calibrated values. The solution algorithm attempts to find $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and Z consistent with the levels equations and with other exogenous restrictions. Typically this will involve repeated evaluation of both (8) and (9)-corresponding to $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})$ - and of derivatives which come from these equations-corresponding to $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{X}}$.

The percentage-change approach is far simpler. Corresponding to (8) and (9), the linearised equations are (see Appendices A and E):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{~N}
$$

and $\quad p_{a v e}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i} p_{i}, \quad$ where the $S_{i}$ are cost shares, eg, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} / \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$
Since percentage changes have no units, the calibration phase-which amounts to an arbitrary choice of units-is not required. For the same reason the $\delta_{\mathrm{k}}$ parameters do not appear. However, the flows data $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ again form the starting point. After each change they are updated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \text { new }}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \text { old }}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}, \text { old }}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) / 100 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

GEMPACK is designed to make the linear solution process as easy as possible. The user specifies the linear equations (10) and (11) and the update formulae (12) in the TABLO language-which resembles algebraic notation. Then GEMPACK repeatedly:

- evaluates $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$ at given values of $\mathbf{V}$;
- solves the linear system to find $\mathbf{y}$, taking advantage of the sparsity of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}}$; and
- updates the data coefficients $\mathbf{V}$.

The housekeeping details of multistep and extrapolated solutions are hidden from the user.
Apart from its simplicity, the linearised approach has three further advantages.

- It allows free choice of which variables are to be exogenous or endogenous. Many levels algorithms do not allow this flexibility.
- To reduce AGE models to manageable size, it is often necessary to use model equations to substitute out matrix variables of large dimensions. In a linear system, we can always make any variable the subject of any equation in which it appears. Hence, substitution is a simple mechanical process. In fact, because GEMPACK performs this routine algebra for the user, the model can be specified in terms of its original behavioural equations, rather than in a reduced form. This reduces the potential for error and makes model equations easier to check.
- Perhaps most importantly, the linearized equations help us understand simulation results. We can easily see the contribution of (the change in) each RHS variable to the LHS of each equation. For example, in the CES price index equation:

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

we can identify the contribution of each individual price $p_{i}$ to the index $p_{\text {ave }}$. The GEMPACK program AnalyseGE automates this task.

### 3.2. The initial solution

Our discussion of the solution procedure has so far assumed that we possess an initial solution of the model- $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{0}, \mathbf{X}^{0}\right\}$ or the equivalent $\mathbf{V}^{0}$-and that results show percentage deviations from this initial state.

In practice, the ORANI database does not, like B in Figure 1, show the expected state of the economy at a future date. Instead the most recently available historical data, A , are used. At best, these refer to the present-day economy. Note that, for the atemporal static model, A provides a solution for period T. In the static model, setting all exogenous variables at their base-period levels would leave all the endogenous variables at their base-period levels. Nevertheless, A may not be an empirically plausible control state for the economy at period T and the question therefore arises: are estimates of the B-to-C
percentage changes much affected by starting from A rather than B? For example, would the percentage effects of a tariff cut inflicted in 1994 differ much from those caused by a 2005 cut? Probably not. First, balanced growth, i.e., a proportional enlargement of the model database, just scales equation coefficients equally; it does not affect ORANI results. Second, compositional changes, which do alter percentagechange effects, happen quite slowly. So for short- and medium-run simulations A is a reasonable proxy for B, (Dixon, Parmenter and Rimmer, 1986). ${ }^{6}$

## 4. The Equations of ORANI-G

In this section we provide a formal description of the linear form of the model. Our description is organised around the TABLO file which implements the model in GEMPACK. We present the complete text of the TABLO Input file divided into a sequence of excerpts and supplemented by tables, figures and explanatory text.

The TABLO language in which the file is written is essentially conventional algebra, with names for variables and coefficients chosen to be suggestive of their economic interpretations. Some practice is required for readers to become familiar with the TABLO notation but it is no more complex than alternative means of setting out the model-the notation employed in DPSV (1982), for example. Acquiring the familiarity allows ready access to the GEMPACK programs used to conduct simulations with the model and to convert the results to human-readable form. Both the input and the output of these programs employ the TABLO notation. Moreover, familiarity with the TABLO format is essential for users who may wish to make modifications to the model's structure.

Another compelling reason for using the TABLO Input file to document the model is that it ensures that our description is complete and accurate: complete because the only other data needed by the GEMPACK solution process is numerical (the model's database and the exogenous inputs to particular simulations); and accurate because GEMPACK is nothing more than an equation solving system, incorporating no economic assumptions of its own.

We continue this section with a short introduction to the TABLO language-other details may be picked up later, as they are encountered. Then we describe the input-output database which underlies the model. This structures our subsequent presentation.

### 4.1. The TABLO language

The TABLO model description defines the percentage-change equations of the model. For example, the CES demand equations, (10) and (11), would appear as:

Equation E_x \# input demands \#
(all, f, FAC) $x(f)=z-S I G M A *\left[p(f)-p \_f\right]$;
Equation E_p_f \# input cost index \#
V_F $*$ p_f $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{FAC}, \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{f}) * \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{f})\}$;
The first word, 'Equation', is a keyword which defines the statement type. Then follows the identifier for the equation, which must be unique. The descriptive text between '\#' symbols is optional-it appears in certain report files. The expression '(all, f, FAC)' signifies that the equation is a matrix equation, containing one scalar equation for each element of the set FAC. ${ }^{7}$

Within the equation, the convention is followed of using lower-case letters for the percentagechange variables ( $x, z, p$ and $p \_f$ ), and upper case for the coefficients (SIGMA, V and V_F). Since

[^3]GEMPACK ignores case, this practice assists only the human reader. An implication is that we cannot use the same sequence of characters, distinguished only by case, to define a variable and a coefficient. The '(f)' suffix indicates that variables and coefficients are vectors, with elements corresponding to the set FAC. A semicolon signals the end of the TABLO statement.

To facilitate portability between computing environments, the TABLO character set is quite re-stricted-only alphanumerics and a few punctuation marks may be used. The use of Greek letters and subscripts is precluded, and the asterisk, ' $*$ ', must replace the multiplication symbol ' $\times$ '.

Sets, coefficients and variables must be explicitly declared, via statements such as:

```
Set FAC # inputs # (capital, labour, energy);
Coefficient
(all,f,FAC) V(f) # cost of inputs #;
    V_F # total cost #;
    SIGMA # substitution elasticity #;
Variable
(all,f,FAC) p(f) # price of inputs #;
(all,f,FAC) x(f) # demand for inputs #;
    z # output #;
    p_f # input cost index #;
```

As the last two statements in the 'Coefficient' block and the last three in the 'Variable' block illustrate, initial keywords (such as 'Coefficient' and 'Variable') may be omitted if the previous statement was of the same type.

Coefficients must be assigned values, either by reading from file:

```
Read V from file FLOWDATA;
```

Read SIGMA from file PARAMS;
or in terms of other coefficients, using formulae:
Formula V_F $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{FAC}, \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{f})\}$; ! used in cost index equation!
The right hand side of the last statement employs the TABLO summation notation, equivalent to the $\Sigma$ notation used in standard algebra. It defines the sum over an index $f$ running over the set FAC of the in-put-cost coefficients, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{f})$. The statement also contains a comment, i.e., the text between exclamation marks (!). TABLO ignores comments.

Some of the coefficients will be updated during multistep computations. This requires the inclusion of statements such as:

```
Update (all,f,FAC) V(f)=x(f)*p(f);
```

which is the default update statement, causing $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{f})$ to be increased after each step by $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{f})] \%$, where $x(f)$ and $p(f)$ are the percentage changes computed at the previous step.

The sample statements listed above introduce most of the types of statement required for the model. But since all sets, variables and coefficients must be defined before they are used, and since coefficients must be assigned values before appearing in equations, it is necessary for the order of the TABLO statements to be almost the reverse of the order in which they appear above. The ORANI-G TABLO Input file is ordered as follows:

- definition of sets;
- declarations of variables;
- declarations of often-used coefficients which are read from files, with associated Read and Update statements;
- declarations of other often-used coefficients which are computed from the data, using associated Formulae; and
- groups of topically-related equations, with some of the groups including statements defining coefficients which are used only within that group.


### 4.2. The model's data base

Figure 4 is a schematic representation of the model's input-output database. It reveals the basic structure of the model. The column headings in the main part of the figure (an absorption matrix) identify the following demanders:
(1) domestic producers divided into I industries;
(2) investors divided into I industries;
(3) a single representative household;
(4) an aggregate foreign purchaser of exports;
(5) government demands; and
(6) changes in inventories.

|  |  | Absorption Matrix |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  | Producers | Investors | Household | Export | Government | Change in Inventories |
|  | Size | $\leftarrow \mathrm{I} \rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow \mathrm{I} \rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow 1 \rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow 1 \rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow 1 \rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow 1 \rightarrow$ |
| Basic Flows | $\begin{gathered} \uparrow \\ \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{S} \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | V1BAS | V2BAS | V3BAS | V4BAS | V5BAS | V6BAS |
| Margins |  | V1MAR | V2MAR | V3MAR | V4MAR | V5MAR | n/a |
| Taxes | $\begin{gathered} \uparrow \\ \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{S} \\ \downarrow \end{gathered}$ | V1TAX | V2TAX | V3TAX | V4TAX | V5TAX | n/a |
| Labour | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow \\ & 0 \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ | V1LAB | $\begin{aligned} & C=\text { Number of Commodities } \\ & I=\text { Number of Industries } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Capital | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow \\ & 1 \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ | V1CAP | $\begin{aligned} & S=2: \text { Domestic,Imported }, \\ & O=\text { Number of Occupation Types } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Land | $\uparrow$ 1 $\downarrow$ | V1LND | $\mathrm{M}=$ Number of Commodities used as Margins |  |  |  |  |
| Production Tax | $\uparrow$ 1 $\downarrow$ | V1PTX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Costs | $\uparrow$ 1 $\downarrow$ | V10CT |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4. The ORANI-G Flows Database
The entries in each column show the structure of the purchases made by the agents identified in the column heading. Each of the C commodity types identified in the model can be obtained locally or imported from overseas. The source-specific commodities are used by industries as inputs to current production and capital formation, are consumed by households and governments, are exported, or are added to or subtracted from inventories. Only domestically produced goods appear in the export column. M of the domestically produced goods are used as margins services (wholesale and retail trade, and transport) which are required to transfer commodities from their sources to their users. Commodity taxes are
payable on the purchases. As well as intermediate inputs, current production requires inputs of three categories of primary factors: labour (divided into O occupations), fixed capital, and agricultural land. Production taxes include output taxes or subsidies that are not user-specific. The 'other costs' category covers various miscellaneous taxes on firms, such as municipal taxes or charges.

Each cell in the illustrative absorption matrix in Figure 4 contains the name of the corresponding data matrix. For example, V2MAR is a 4-dimensional array showing the cost of M margins services on the flows of C goods, both domestically produced and imported (S), to I investors.

In principle, each industry is capable of producing any of the C commodity types. The MAKE matrix at the bottom of Figure 4 shows the value of output of each commodity by each industry. Finally, tariffs on imports are assumed to be levied at rates which vary by commodity but not by user. The revenue obtained is represented by the tariff vector V0TAR.

### 4.3. Dimensions of the model

Excerpt 1 of the TABLO Input file begins by defining logical names for input and output files. Initial data are stored in the BASEDATA input file. The SUMMARY output file is used to store summary and diagnostic information. Note that BASEDATA and SUMMARY are logical names. The actual locations of these files (disk, folder, filename) are chosen by the model user.

The rest of Excerpt 1 defines sets: lists of descriptors for the components of vector variables. Set names appear in upper-case characters. For example, the first Set statement is to be read as defining a set named 'COM' which contains commodity descriptors. The elements of COM (a list of commodity names) are read from the input file (this allows the model to use databases with different numbers of sectors). By contrast the two elements of the set SRC-dom and imp-are listed explicitly.
! Excerpt 1 of TABLO input file: !
! Files and sets !
File BASEDATA \# Input data file \#; (new) SUMMARY \# Output for summary and checking data \#;

```
Set !Index!
COM # Commodities# read elements from file BASEDATA header "COM"; ! c !
SRC # Source of commodities # (dom,imp); !s !
IND # Industries # read elements from file BASEDATA header "IND"; ! i !
OCC # Occupations # read elements from file BASEDATA header "OCC"; ! o !
MAR # Margin commodities # read elements from file BASEDATA header "MAR";! m !
Subset MAR is subset of COM;
Set NONMAR # Non-margin commodities # = COM - MAR; !n!
```

The commodity, industry, and occupational classifications of the Australian version of ORANI-G described here are aggregates of the classifications used in the original version of ORANI, which had over 100 industries and commodities, and 8 labour occupations.

The industry classification differs slightly from the commodity classification. Both are listed in Table 1. In this aggregated version of the model, multiproduction is confined to the first two industries, which produce the first three commodities. Each of the remaining industries produces a unique commodity. Labour is disaggregated into skill-based occupational categories described by the set OCC.

The central column of Table 1 lists the elements of the set COM which are read from file. GEMPACK uses the element names to label the rows and columns of results and data tables. The element names cannot be more than 12 letters long, nor contain spaces. The IND elements are the same as elements 2-23 of COM.

Elements of the set MAR are margins commodities, i.e., they are required to facilitate the flows of other commodities from producers (or importers) to users. Hence, the costs of margins services, together with indirect taxes, account for differences between basic prices (received by producers or importers) and purchasers' prices (paid by users).

TABLO does not prevent elements of two sets from sharing the same name; nor, in such a case, does it automatically infer any connection between the corresponding elements. The Subset statement which
follows the definition of the set MAR is required for TABLO to realize that the two elements of MAR, Trade and Transport, are the same as the 18th and 19th elements of the set COM.

The statement for NONMAR defines that set as a complement. That is, NONMAR consists of all those elements of COM which are not in MAR. In this case TABLO is able to deduce that NONMAR must be a subset of COM.

Table 1 Commodity and Industry Classification

|  | Commodity Description | Elements of Set COM |  | Industry Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Cereals | Cereals | 1 | Broadacre rural |
| 2 | Broadacre rural | AgBroadacre | 2 | Intensive rural |
| 3 | Intensive rural |  |  |  |
| 4 | Mining, export | MiningExport | 3 | Mining, export |
| 5 | Mining, other | MiningOther | 4 | Mining, other |
| 6 | Food \& fibre, export | FoodFibre | 5 | Food \& fibre, export |
| 7 | Food, other | FoodOther | 6 | Food, other |
| 8 | Textiles, clothing \& footwear | TCF | 7 | Textiles, clothing \& footwear |
| 9 | Wood related products | WoodProds | 8 | Wood related products |
| 10 | Chemicals \& oil products | ChemProds | 9 | Chemicals \& oil products |
| 11 | Non-metallic mineral products | NonMetal | 10 | Non-metallic mineral products |
| 12 | Metal products | MetalProds | 11 | Metal products |
| 13 | Transport equipment | TrnspEquip | 12 | Transport equipment |
| 14 | Other machinery | OthMachnry | 13 | Other machinery |
| 15 | Other manufacturing | OthManufact | 14 | Other manufacturing |
| 16 | Utilities | Utilities | 15 | Utilities |
| 17 | Construction | Construction | 16 | Construction |
| 18 | Retail \& wholesale trade | Trade (margin) | 17 | Retail \& wholesale trade |
| 19 | Transport | Transport (margin) | 18 | Transport |
| 20 | Banking \& finance | Finance | 19 | Banking \& finance |
| 21 | Ownership of dwellings | Dwellings | 20 | Ownership of dwellings |
| 22 | Public services | PublicServcs | 21 | Public services |
| 23 | Private services | PrivatServcs | 22 | Private services |

### 4.4. The ORANI-G naming system

The TABLO Input file defines a multitude of variables and coefficients that are used in the model's equations. It can be difficult to remember the names of all these variables and coefficients ${ }^{8}$. Fortunately, their names follow a pattern. Although GEMPACK does not require that names conform to any pattern, we find that systematic naming reduces the burden on (human) memory. As far as possible, names for variables and coefficients conform to a system in which each name consists of 2 or more parts, as follows:
first, a letter or letters indicating the type of variable, for example,

| a | technical change |
| :--- | :--- |
| del | ordinary (rather than percentage) change |
| f | shift variable |
| H | indexing parameter |
| p | price, local currency |
| pf | price, foreign currency |
| S | input share |
| SIGMA | elasticity of substitution |

[^4]```
    tax
        V levels value, local currency
        w percentage-change value, local currency
        x input quantity;
    second, one of the digits 0 to 6 indicating user, that is,
        1 current production
        2 investment
        3 consumption
        4 export
        5 government
        6 inventories
        0 all users, or user distinction irrelevant;
    third (optional), three or more letters giving further information, for example,
        bas (often omitted) basic-not including margins or taxes
        cap capital
        cif imports at border prices
        imp imports (duty paid)
        lab labour
        lnd land
        lux linear expenditure system (supernumerary part)
        mar margins
        oct other cost tickets
        prim all primary factors (land, labour or capital)
        pur at purchasers' prices
        sub linear expenditure system (subsistence part)
        tar tariffs
        tax indirect taxes
        tot total or average over all inputs for some user;
```

    fourth (optional), an underscore character, indicating that this variable is an aggregate or average,
    with subsequent letters showing over which sets the underlying variable has been summed or aver-
    aged, for example,
    _c over COM (commodities),
    _s over SRC (dom + imp),
_i over IND (industries),
io over IND and OCC (skills).

Although GEMPACK does not distinguish between upper and lower case, we use:
lower case for variable names and set indices;
upper case for set and coefficient names; and
initial letter upper case for TABLO keywords.

### 4.5. Core data coefficients and related variables

The next excerpts of the TABLO file contains statements indicating data to be read from file. The data items defined in these statements appear as coefficients in the model's equations. The statements define coefficient names (which all appear in upper-case characters), the locations from which the data are to be read, variable names (in lower-case), and formulae for the data updates which are necessary in computing multi-step solutions to the model (see Section 3).

### 4.5.1. Basic flows

The excerpts group the data according to the rows of Figure 4 . Thus, Excerpt 2 begins by defining coefficients representing the basic commodity flows corresponding to row 1 (direct flows) of the figure, i.e., the flow matrices V1BAS, V2BAS, and so on. Preceding the coefficient names are their dimensions, indicated using the "all" qualifier and the sets defined in Excerpt 1. For example, the first 'Coefficient' statement defines a data item V1BAS(c,s,i) which is the basic value (indicated by 'BAS') of a flow of
intermediate inputs (indicated by ' 1 ') of commodity c from source s to user industry i. The first 'Read' statement indicates that this data item is stored on file BASEDATA with header '1BAS'. (A GEMPACK data file consists of a number of data items such as arrays of real numbers. Each data item is identified by a unique key or 'header').

Each of these flows is the product of a price and a quantity. The excerpt goes on to define these variables. Unless otherwise stated, all variables are percentage changes-to indicate this, their names appear in lower-case letters. Preceding the names of the variables are their dimensions, indicated using the sets defined in Excerpt 1. For example, the first variable statement defines a matrix variable x1 (indexed by commodity, source, and using industry) the elements of which are percentage changes in the direct demands by producers for source-specific intermediate inputs. This is the quantity variable corresponding to V1BAS.

```
! Excerpt 2 of TABLO input file: !
! Data coefficients and variables relating to basic commodity flows !
Coefficient ! Basic flows of commodities (excluding margin demands)!
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V1BAS(c,s,i) # Intermediate basic flows #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V2BAS(c,s,i) # Investment basic flows #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V3BAS(c,s) # Household basic flows #;
(all,c,COM) V4BAS(c) # Export basic flows #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V5BAS(c,s) # Government basic flows #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V6BAS(c,s) # Inventories basic flows #;
Read
V1BAS from file BASEDATA header "1BAS";
V2BAS from file BASEDATA header "2BAS";
V3BAS from file BASEDATA header "3BAS";
V4BAS from file BASEDATA header "4BAS";
V5BAS from file BASEDATA header "5BAS";
V6BAS from file BASEDATA header "6BAS";
Variable ! Variables used to update above flows !
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) x1(c,s,i) # Intermediate basic demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) x2(c,s,i) # Investment basic demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) x3(c,s) # Household basic demands #;
(all,c,COM) x4(c) # Export basic demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) x5(c,s) # Government basic demands #;
(change) (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delx6(c,s) # Inventories demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) p0(c,s) # Basic prices for local users #;
(all,c,COM) pe(c) # Basic price of exportables #;
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delV6(c,s) # Value of inventories #;
Update
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V1BAS(c,s,i) = p0(c,s)*x1(c,s,i);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V2BAS(c,s,i) = p0(c,s)*x2(c,s,i);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V3BAS(c,s) = p0(c,s)*x3(c,s);
(all,c,COM) V4BAS(c) = pe(c)*x4(c);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V5BAS(c,s) = p0(c,s)*x5(c,s);
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V6BAS(c,s) = delV6(c,s);
```

The last in the group of quantity variables, delx6, is preceded by the 'Change' qualifier to indicate that it is an ordinary (rather than percentage) change. Changes in inventories may be either positive or negative. Our multistep solution procedure requires that large changes be broken into a sequence of small changes. However, no sequence of small percentage changes allows a number to change sign-at least one change must exceed $-100 \%$. Thus, for variables that may, in the levels, change sign, we prefer to use ordinary changes. The names of ordinary change variables often start with the letters "del".

Next come two price variables. A matrix variable p0 (indexed by commodity and source), shows percentage changes in the basic prices which are common to all local users. These basic prices do not
include the cost of margins and taxes. Exports have their own basic prices, pe. Potentially, the pe could be different from the domestic part of $\mathrm{p} 0^{9}$.

Finally, the variable delV6 is used in the update statements which appear next.
The first 'Update' statement indicates that the flow V1BAS(c,s,i) should be updated using the default update formula, which is used for a data item which is a product of two (or more) of the model's variables. For an item of the form $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{PX}$, the formula for the updated value $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{U}}$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{U}}=\mathrm{V}^{0}+\Delta(\mathrm{PX})=\mathrm{V}^{0}+\mathrm{X}^{0} \Delta \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P}^{0} \Delta \mathrm{X} \\
& =\mathrm{V}^{0}+\mathrm{P}^{0} \mathrm{X}^{0}\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}^{0}}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}^{0}}\right)=\mathrm{V}^{0}+\mathrm{V}^{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{p}}{100}+\frac{\mathrm{x}}{100}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}^{0}, \mathrm{P}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{0}$ are the pre-update values, and p and x are the percentage changes of the variables P and $X$. For the data item V1BAS( $c, s, i$ ) the relevant percentage-change variables are $p 0(c, s)$ (the basicvalue price of commodity $c$ from source $s$ ) and $\mathrm{x} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})$ (the demand by user industry $i$ for intermediate inputs of commodity $c$ from source $s$ ).

Not all of the model's data items are amenable to update via default Updates. For example, the inventories flows, V6BAS, might change sign, and so must not be updated with percentage change variables. In such a case, the Update statement must contain an explicit formula for the ordinary change in the data item: this is indicated by the word 'Change' in parentheses. For V6BAS we represent the change by an ordinary-change variable, delV6. The Update formula (13) then becomes simply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{U}}=\mathrm{V}^{0}+\Delta \mathrm{V} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

An equation defining the delV6 variable appears later on.

### 4.5.2. Margin flows

The coefficients and variables of Excerpt 3 are associated with row 2 (margins) of Figure 4, i.e., the flow matrices V1MAR, V2MAR, and so on. These are the quantities of retail and wholesale services or transport needed to deliver each basic flow to the user. For example V3MAR (c,s,m) is the value of margin type m used to deliver commodity type c from source s to households (user 3). The model assumes that margin services are domestically produced and are valued at basic prices-represented by the variable p0dom, which (we shall see later) is simply a synonym for the domestic part of the basic price matrix, p0 [i.e., p0dom $(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}$, "dom" $)$ ].

```
! Excerpt 3 of TABLO input file: !
! Data coefficients and variables relating to margin flows !
Coefficient
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
                            V1MAR(c,s,i,m) # Intermediate margins #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
                            V2MAR(c,s,i,m) # Investment margins #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) V3MAR(c,s,m) # Households margins #;
(all,c,COM)(all,m,MAR) V4MAR(c,m) # Export margins #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) V5MAR(c,s,m) # Government margins #;
Read
V1MAR from file BASEDATA header "1MAR";
V2MAR from file BASEDATA header "2MAR";
V3MAR from file BASEDATA header "3MAR";
V4MAR from file BASEDATA header "4MAR";
V5MAR from file BASEDATA header "5MAR";
Variable! Variables used to update above flows !
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
                            x1mar(c,s,i,m)# Intermediate margin demand #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
    x2mar(c,s,i,m)# Investment margin demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) x3mar(c,s,m) # Household margin demands #;
(all,c,COM)(all,m,MAR) x4mar(c,m) # Export margin demands #;
```

[^5](all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) x5mar(c,s,m) \# Government margin demands \#;
(all,c,COM) p0dom(c) \# Basic price of domestic goods $=\mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}$, "dom") \#;
Update
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
$\operatorname{V1MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})=\mathrm{p} 0 \operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{~m}) * \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})$;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
$\operatorname{V} 2 \operatorname{MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})=\mathrm{p} 0 \operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{~m}) * \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})$;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) V3MAR(c,s,m) = p0dom(m)*x3mar(c,s,m);
(all,c,COM)(all,m,MAR) V4MAR(c,m) =p0dom(m)*x4mar(c,m);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) V5MAR(c,s,m) = p0dom(m)*x5mar(c,s,m);

### 4.5.3. User-specific commodity taxes

Excerpt 4 contains coefficients and variables associated with row 3 (commodity taxes) of Figure 4, i.e., the flow matrices V1TAX, V2TAX, and so on. These all have the same dimensions as the corresponding basic flows.

```
! Excerpt 4 of TABLO input file: !
!Data coefficients and variables relating to commodity taxes!
Coefficient ! Taxes on Basic Flows!
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V1TAX(c,s,i) # Taxes on intermediate #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V2TAX(c,s,i) # Taxes on investment #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V3TAX(c,s) # Taxes on households #;
(all,c,COM) V4TAX(c) # Taxes on export #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V5TAX(c,s) # Taxes on government #;
Read
V1TAX from file BASEDATA header "1TAX";
V2TAX from file BASEDATA header "2TAX";
V3TAX from file BASEDATA header "3TAX";
V4TAX from file BASEDATA header "4TAX";
V5TAX from file BASEDATA header "5TAX";
Variable
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) delV1TAX(c,s,i) # Interm tax rev #;
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) delV2TAX(c,s,i) # Invest tax rev #;
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delV3TAX(c,s) # H'hold tax rev #;
(change)(all,c,COM) delV4TAX(c) # Export tax rev #;
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delV5TAX(c,s) # Govmnt tax rev #;
Update
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V1TAX(c,s,i) = delV1TAX(c,s,i);
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V2TAX(c,s,i) = delV2TAX(c,s,i);
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V3TAX(c,s) = delV3TAX(c,s);
(change)(all,c,COM) V4TAX(c) = delV4TAX(c);
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V5TAX(c,s) = delV5TAX(c,s);
```

ORANI-G treats commodity taxes in great detail-the tax levied on each basic flow is separately identified. Published input-output tables are usually less detailed-so to construct the initial model database we enforce plausible assumptions: e.g., that all intermediate usage of, say, Coal, is taxed at the same rate. However, the disaggregated data structure still allows us to simulate the effects of commodity-and-user-specific tax changes, such as an increased tax on Coal used by the Iron industry.

The tax flows are updated by corresponding ordinary change variables: equations determining these appear later on.

### 4.5.4. Factor payments and other flows data

Excerpt 5 of the TABLO Input file corresponds to the remaining rows of Figure 4. There are coefficient matrices for payments to labour, capital, and land, and 2 sorts of production tax. Then are listed the corresponding price and quantity variables. For the production tax V1PTX, the corresponding ordinary change variable delV1PTX is used in a Change Update statement: an equation determining this variable appears later. The other flow coefficients in this group are simply the products of prices and quantities. Hence, they can be updated via default Update statements.

Excerpt 5 also defines the import duty vector VOTAR. Treatment of the last item in the flows database, the multiproduction matrix MAKE, showing output of commodities by each industry, is deferred to a later section.
! Excerpt 5 of TABLO input file: !
! Data coefficients for primary-factor flows, other industry costs, and tariffs!

Coefficient
(all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC) V1LAB(i,o) \# Wage bill matrix \#;
(all,i,IND) V1CAP(i) \# Capital rentals \#;
(all,i,IND) V1LND(i) \# Land rentals \#;
(all,i,IND) V1PTX(i) \# Production tax \#;
(all,i,IND) V1OCT(i) \# Other cost tickets \#;
Read
V1LAB from file BASEDATA header "1LAB";
V1CAP from file BASEDATA header "1CAP";
V1LND from file BASEDATA header "1LND";
V1PTX from file BASEDATA header "1PTX";
V1OCT from file BASEDATA header "1OCT";
Variable
(all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC) xllab(i,o) \# Employment by industry and occupation \#;
(all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC) p1lab(i,o) \# Wages by industry and occupation \#;
(all,i,IND) x1cap(i) \# Current capital stock \#;
(all,i,IND) p1cap(i) \# Rental price of capital \#;
(all,i,IND) x1lnd(i) \# Use of land \#;
(all,i,IND) p1lnd(i) \# Rental price of land \#;
(change)(all,i,IND) delV1PTX(i) \# Ordinary change in production tax revenue \#;
(all,i,IND) xloct(i) \# Demand for "other cost" tickets \#;
(all,i,IND) ploct(i) \# Price of "other cost" tickets \#;
Update
(all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC) V1LAB(i,o) = p1lab(i,o)*x1lab(i,o);
(all,i,IND) V1CAP(i) = p1cap(i)*x1cap(i);
(all,i,IND) $\quad$ V1LND(i) $=p 1 \ln d(i) * x 1 \operatorname{lnd}(i)$;
(change)(all,i,IND) V1PTX(i) = delV1PTX(i);
(all,i,IND) V1OCT(i) $=p \operatorname{loct}(\mathrm{i}) * x \operatorname{loct}(\mathrm{i})$;
! Data coefficients relating to import duties !
Coefficient (all,c,COM) V0TAR(c) \# Tariff revenue \#;
Read V0TAR from file BASEDATA header "OTAR";
Variable (all,c,COM) (change) delV0TAR(c) \# Ordinary change in tariff revenue \#;
Update (change) (all,c,COM) V0TAR(c) = delV0TAR(c);

### 4.5.5. Purchasers' values

Excerpt 6 defines the values at purchasers' prices of the commodity flows identified in Figure 4. These aggregates will be used in several different equation blocks. The definitions use the TABLO summation notation, explained in Section 4.1. For example, the first formula in Excerpt 6 contains the term: $\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{MAR}, \operatorname{V1MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})\}$
This defines the sum, over an index m running over the set of margins commodities (MAR), of the input-output data flows V1MAR(c,s,i,m). This sum is the total value of margins commodities required to facilitate the flow of intermediate inputs of commodity c from source s to user industry i. Adding this sum to the basic value of the intermediate-input flow and the associated indirect tax, gives the pur-chaser's-price value of the flow.

Next are defined purchasers' price variables, which include basic, margin and tax components. Equations to determine these variables appear in Excerpt 22 below.

```
! Excerpt 6 of TABLO input file: !
! Coefficients and variables for purchaser's prices (basic + margins + taxes)!
Coefficient! Flows at purchasers prices !
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V1PUR(c,s,i) \# Intermediate purch. value \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) V2PUR(c,s,i) \# Investment purch. value \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V3PUR(c,s) \# Households purch. value \#;
(all,c,COM) V4PUR(c) \# Export purch. value \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) V5PUR(c,s) \# Government purch. value \#;
Formula
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
    \(\operatorname{V1PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{TAX}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \operatorname{MAR}, \operatorname{V1MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})\}\)
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
    \(\operatorname{V2PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})=\operatorname{V} 2 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\operatorname{V} 2 \mathrm{TAX}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \operatorname{MAR}, \operatorname{V} 2 \operatorname{MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})\} ;\)
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    \(\operatorname{V3PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})=\operatorname{V3BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\operatorname{V3TAX}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \operatorname{MAR}, \operatorname{V3MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m})\}\)
(all,c,COM)
    V4PUR(c) = V4BAS(c) + V4TAX(c) + sum\{m,MAR, V4MAR(c,m)\};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    V5PUR(c,s) = V5BAS(c,s) +V5TAX(c,s) + sum\{m,MAR, V5MAR(c,s,m)\};
Variable! Purchasers prices!
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) p1(c,s,i)\# Purchaser's price, intermediate \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) p2(c,s,i)\# Purchaser's price, investment \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) p3(c,s) \# Purchaser's price, household \#
(all,c,COM)
p4(c) \# Purchaser's price, exports,loc\$ \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) p5(c,s) \# Purchaser's price, government \#;
```


### 4.6. The equation system

The rest of the TABLO Input file is an algebraic specification of the linear form of the model, with the equations organised into a number of blocks. Each Equation statement begins with a name and (optionally) a description. For ORANI-G, the equation name normally consists of the characters E_ followed by the name of the left-hand-side variable. Except where indicated, the variables are percentage changes. Variables are in lower-case characters and coefficients in upper case. Variables and coefficients are defined as the need arises. Readers who have followed the TABLO file so far should have no difficulty in reading the equations in the TABLO notation. We provide some commentary on the theory underlying each of the equation blocks.

### 4.7. Structure of production

ORANI-G allows each industry to produce several commodities, using as inputs domestic and imported commodities, labour of several types, land, and capital. In addition, commodities destined for export are distinguished from those for local use. The multi-input, multi-output production specification is kept manageable by a series of separability assumptions, illustrated by the nesting shown in Figure 5. For example, the assumption of input-output separability implies that the generalised production function for some industry:

$$
\text { F(inputs,outputs) = } 0
$$

may be written as:

$$
\text { G(inputs) }=\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{TOT}=\mathrm{H} \text { (outputs) }
$$

where X1TOT is an index of industry activity. Assumptions of this type reduce the number of estimated parameters required by the model. Figure 5 shows that the H function in (16) is derived from two nested CET (constant elasticity of transformation) aggregation functions, while the G function is broken into a sequence of nests. At the top level, commodity composites, a primary-factor composite and 'other costs' are combined using a Leontief production function. Consequently, they are all demanded in direct proportion to X1TOT. Each commodity composite is a CES (constant elasticity of substitution) function of a domestic good and the imported equivalent. The primary-factor composite is a CES aggregate of land, capital and composite labour. Composite labour is a CES aggregate of occupational labour types. Although all industries share this common production structure, input proportions and behavioural parameters may vary between industries.

The nested structure is mirrored in the TABLO equations-each nest requiring 2 sets of equations. We begin at the bottom of Figure 5 and work upwards.


Figure 5. Structure of Production

### 4.8. Demands for primary factors

Excerpt 7 shows the equations determining the occupational composition of labour demand in each industry. For each industry $i$, the equations are derived from the following optimisation problem:


Figure 6. Demand for different types of labour
Choose inputs of occupation-specific labour,
X1LAB(i,o),
to minimize total labour cost,
$\operatorname{Sum}\{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{OCC}, \mathrm{P} 1 \mathrm{LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o}) * \mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})\}$,
where
X1LAB_O(i) = CES[ All,o,OCC: X1LAB(i,o)],
regarding as exogenous to the problem
P1LAB(i,o) and X1LAB_O(i).
Note that the problem is formulated in the levels of the variables. Hence, we have written the variable names in upper case. The notation CES[ ] represents a CES function defined over the set of variables enclosed in the square brackets.

```
! Excerpt 7 of TABLO input file: !
! Occupational composition of labour demand !
Coefficient
(parameter)(all,i,IND) SIGMA1LAB(i) \# CES substitution between skill types \#;
(all,i,IND) V1LAB_O(i) \# Total labour bill in industry i \#;
    TINY \# Small number to prevent zerodivides or singular matrix \#;
Read SIGMA1LAB from file BASEDATA header "SLAB";
Formula
(all,i,IND) V1LAB_O(i) \(=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{OCC}, \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})\}\);
    TINY \(=0.000000000001\);
Variable
(all,i,IND) p1lab_o(i) \# Price to each industry of labour composite \#;
(all,i,IND) x1lab_o(i) \# Effective labour input \#;
Equation
E_x1lab \# Demand for labour by industry and skill group \#
    (all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC)
    x1lab(i,o) = x1lab_o(i) - SIGMA1LAB(i)*[p1lab(i,o) - p1lab_o(i)];
E_p1lab_o \# Price to each industry of labour composite \#
    (all,i,IND) [TINY+V1LAB_O(i)]*p1lab_o(i) \(=\operatorname{sum}\left\{o, O C C, V 1 L A B(i, o)^{*} p 11 a b(i, o)\right\} ;\)
```

The solution of this problem, in percentage-change form, is given by equations E_x1lab and E_pllab_o (see Appendix A for derivation). The first of the equations indicates that demand for labour type o is proportional to overall labour demand, X1LAB_O, and to a price term. In change form, the price term is composed of an elasticity of substitution, SIGMA1LAB(i), multiplied by the percentage change in a price ratio [pllab(i,o)-pllab_o(i)] representing the wage of occupation o relative to the average wage for labour in industry i. Changes in the relative prices of the occupations induce substitution in favour of relatively cheapening occupations. The percentage change in the average wage, pllab_o(i), is given by the second of the equations. This could be rewritten:
p1lab_o $(\mathrm{i})=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{OCC}, \operatorname{S1LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o}) * \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{lab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})\}$,
if $\operatorname{S1LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})$ were the value share of occupation o in the total wage bill of industry $i$. In other words, pllab_o(i) is a Divisia index of the p1lab(i,o).

It is worth noting that if the individual equations of $\mathrm{E}_{-} \mathrm{x} 11 \mathrm{lab}$ were multiplied by corresponding elements of $\operatorname{S1LAB}(i, o)$, and then summed together, all price terms would disappear, giving:
$x 11 \mathrm{ab} \_o(i)=\operatorname{sum}\{o, O C C, \operatorname{S1LAB}(i, o) * x 1 l a b(i, o)\}$.
This is the percentage-change form of the CES aggregation function for labour.
For an industry which does not use labour (housing services is a common example), V1LAB(i,o) would contain only zeros so that p1lab_o(i) would be undefined. To prevent this, we add the coefficient TINY (set to some very small number) to the left hand side of equation E_p1lab_o. With V1LAB_O(i) zero, equation E_pllab_o becomes:

$$
\text { p1lab_o }(\mathrm{i})=0 .
$$

The same procedure is used extensively in later equations.


Figure 7. Primary Factor Demand
Excerpt 8 contains equations determing the composition of demand for primary factors. Their derivation follows a pattern similar to that underlying the previous nest. In this case, total primary factor costs are minimised subject to the production function:

$$
\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{PRIM}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{CES}\left[\frac{\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{LAB} \_\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{LAB} \_\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{i})}, \frac{\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{CAP}(\mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{CAP}(\mathrm{i})}, \frac{\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{LND}(\mathrm{i})}{\operatorname{A1LND}(\mathrm{i})}\right] .
$$

Because we may wish to introduce factor-saving technical changes, we include explicitly the coefficients A1LAB_O(i), A1CAP(i), and A1LND(i).

The solution to this problem, in percentage-change form, is given by equations E_x1lab_o, E_x1cap and E_x1lnd, and E_p1prim. Ignoring the technical-change terms, we see that demand for each factor is proportional to overall factor demand, X1PRIM, and to a price term. In change form the price term is an elasticity of substitution, SIGMA1PRIM(i), multiplied by the percentage change in a price ratio representing the cost of an effective unit of the factor relative to the overall, effective cost of primary factor inputs to industry i. Changes in the relative prices of the primary factors induce substitution in favour of relatively cheapening factors. The percentage change in the average effective cost, p1prim(i), given by equation E_p1prim, is again a cost-weighted Divisia index of individual prices and technical changes.

```
! Excerpt 8 of TABLO input file: !
! Primary factor proportions !
Coefficient
(parameter)(all,i,IND) SIGMA1PRIM(i) \# CES substitution, primary factors \#;
Read SIGMA1PRIM from file BASEDATA header "P028";
Coefficient (all,i,IND) V1PRIM(i) \# Total factor input to industry i\#;
Formula (all,i,IND) V1PRIM(i) = V1LAB_O(i)+ V1CAP(i) + V1LND(i);
Variable
(all,i,IND) p1prim(i) \# Effective price of primary factor composite \#;
(all,i,IND) x1prim(i) \# Primary factor composite \#;
(all,i,IND) a1lab_o(i) \# Labor-augmenting technical change \#;
(all,i,IND) a1cap(i) \# Capital-augmenting technical change \#;
(all,i,IND) a1lnd(i) \# Land-augmenting technical change \#;
(change)(all,i,IND) delV1PRIM(i)\# Ordinary change in cost of primary factors \#;
Equation
E_xllab_o \# Industry demands for effective labour \#
    (all,i,IND) x1lab_o(i) - allab_o(i) =
    x1prim(i) - SIGMA1PRIM(i)*[p1lab_o(i) + a1lab_o(i) - p1prim(i)];
E_p1cap \# Industry demands for capital \#
    (all,i,IND) x1cap(i) - alcap(i) =
    x1prim(i) - SIGMA1PRIM(i)*[p1cap(i) + a1cap(i) - p1prim(i)];
E_p1lnd \# Industry demands for land \#
    (all,i,IND) \(x 1 \ln d(i)-a 1 \operatorname{lnd}(i)=\)
    x1prim(i) - SIGMA1PRIM(i)*[p1 \(\ln d(i)+a 1 \ln d(i)-p 1 \operatorname{prim}(i)]\);
E_p1prim \# Effective price term for factor demand equations \#
(all,i,IND) V1PRIM(i)*p1prim(i) = V1LAB_O(i)*[p1lab_o(i) + a1lab_o(i)]
    \(+\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{CAP}(\mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{p} 1 \operatorname{cap}(\mathrm{i})+\operatorname{a1cap}(\mathrm{i})]+\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LND}(\mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{p} 1 \ln d(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{a} 1 \ln d(\mathrm{i})] ;\)
E_delV1PRIM \# Ordinary change in total cost of primary factors \#
(all,i,IND) \(100 *\) delV1PRIM(i) \(=\) V1CAP(i) \(*[p 1 \operatorname{cap}(i)+x 1 \operatorname{cap}(i)]\)
                            \(+\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LND}(\mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{p} 1 \ln d(\mathrm{i})+x \ln d(\mathrm{i})]\)
\(+\operatorname{sum}\left\{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{OCC}, \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})^{*}[\mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{lab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})+\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{lab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})]\right\} ;\)
```

Appendix A contains a formal derivation of CES demand equations with technical-change terms. The technical-change terms appear in a predictable pattern. Imagine that the percentage-change equations lacked these terms, as in the previous, occupational-demand, block. We could add them in by:
replacing each quantity ( x ) variable by ( $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}$ );
replacing each price ( p ) variable by ( $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{a}$ ); and
rearranging terms.
The last equation defines DelV1PRIM, the ordinary change in total cost of primary factors to each industry. This variable is used later in computing industries' total production costs.

### 4.9. Sourcing of intermediate inputs

We adopt the Armington $(1969 ; 1970)$ assumption that imports are imperfect substitutes for domestic supplies. Excerpt 9 shows equations determining the import/domestic composition of intermediate commodity demands. They follow a pattern similar to the previous nest. Here, the total cost of imported and domestic good i are minimised subject to the production function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 1 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{CES}\left[\mathrm{All}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SRC}: \frac{\mathrm{X} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{i})}\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8. Intermediate input sourcing decision
Commodity demand from each source is proportional to demand for the composite, $\mathrm{X} 1 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})$, and to a price term. The change form of the price term is an elasticity of substitution, SIGMA1(i), multiplied by the percentage change in a price ratio representing the effective price from the source relative to the effective cost of the import/domestic composite. Lowering of a source-specific price, relative to the average, induces substitution in favour of that source. The percentage change in the average effective cost, $\mathrm{p} 1 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{i})$, is again a cost-weighted Divisia index of individual prices and technical changes.
! Excerpt 9 of TABLO input file: !
! Import/domestic composition of intermediate demands !

```
Variable
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) a1(c,s,i) # Intermediate basic tech change #;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) x1_s(c,i) # Intermediate use of imp/dom composite #;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) p1_s(c,i) # Price, intermediate imp/dom composite #;
(all,i,IND) p1mat(i) # Intermediate cost price index #;
(all,i,IND) plvar(i) # Short-run variable cost price index #;
Coefficient
(parameter)(all,c,COM) SIGMA1(c) # Armington elasticities: intermediate #;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) V1PUR_S(c,i) # Dom+imp intermediate purch. value #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) S1(c,s,i) # Intermediate source shares #;
(all,i,IND) V1MAT(i) # Total intermediate cost for industry i #;
(all,i,IND) V1VAR(i) # Short-run variable cost for industry i #;
Read SIGMA1 from file BASEDATA header "1ARM";
Zerodivide default 0.5;
Formula
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) V1PUR_S(c,i) = sum{s,SRC, V1PUR(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) S1(c,s,i) = V1PUR(c,s,i)/V1PUR_S(c,i);
(all,i,IND) V1MAT(i) = sum{c,COM,V1PUR_S(c,i)};
(all,i,IND) V1VAR(i) = V1MAT(i) + V1LAB_O(i);
Zerodivide off;
```

Equation E_x1 \# Source-specific commodity demands \#
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
$x 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})-\mathrm{a} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{x} 1 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})-\operatorname{SIGMA1}(\mathrm{c}) *\left[\mathrm{p} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{a} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})-\mathrm{p} 1 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})\right] ;$
Equation E_p1_s \# Effective price of commodity composite \#
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND)
$\mathrm{p} 1 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SRC}, \mathrm{S} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{p} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{a} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})]\} ;$

```
Equation E_p1mat # Intermediate cost price index #
(all,i,IND)
p1mat(i) = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC,(V1PUR(c,s,i)/ID01[V1MAT(i)])*p1(c,s,i)}};
```

Equation E_p1 var \# Short-run variable cost price index \# (all,i,IND)
$\mathrm{p} 1 \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{i})=[1 / \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{VAR}(\mathrm{i})] *\left[\mathrm{~V} 1 \mathrm{MAT}(\mathrm{i}) * \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{mat}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LAB} \_\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{i}) * \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{lab} \_\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{i})\right] ;$
Following the pattern established for factor demands, we could have written Equation E_p1_s as:

$$
\text { V1PUR_S(c, i)*p1_s(c,i)=Sum\{s,SRC,V1PUR(c,s,i)*[p1(c,s,i)+a1(c,s,i)]\}; }
$$

where V1PUR_S(c,i) is the sum over domestic and imported of V1PUR(c,s,i). However, this equation would have left $\mathrm{p} 1 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})$ undefined when V1PUR_S $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})$ is zero-not all industries use all commodities. In computing the share:
S1(c,s,i) =V1PUR(c,s,i)/V1PUR_S(c,i),
(see again Excerpt 9) we used the Zerodivide statement to instruct GEMPACK to set import and domestic shares (arbitrarily) to 0.5 in such cases. This device avoids a numerical error in computing, without any other substantive consequence.

The last 2 equations defines 2 variables used to explain results. P1mat is an industry-specific index of the price of intermediate inputs ${ }^{10}$. P1var is an index of short-run variable cost: it includes the cost of all industry inputs except capital and land (which are fixed in the short-run). Changes in p1var correspond to vertical shifts in shortrun industry supply schedules. Equations for both p1mat and p1var are written with no coefficient on the LHS - this facilitates the use of GEMPACK's AnalyseGE tool. AnalyseGE is able to 'decompose' the RHS of these equations to show the contribution of each individual price change to the total change in p1mat or p1var.

### 4.10. Top production nest

Excerpt 10 covers the topmost input-demand nest of Figure 5. Commodity composites, the primaryfactor composite and 'other costs' are combined using a Leontief production function, given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{TOT}(\mathrm{i})=\frac{1}{\text { A1TOT }(\mathrm{i})} \times \\
& \operatorname{MIN}\left[\operatorname{All}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}: \frac{\mathrm{X} \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A1} \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})}, \frac{\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{PRIM}(\mathrm{i})}{\operatorname{A1PRIM}(\mathrm{i})}, \frac{\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{OCT}(\mathrm{i})}{\operatorname{A1OCT}(\mathrm{i})}\right] . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, each of these three categories of inputs identified at the top level is demanded in direct proportion to X1TOT(i).

The Leontief production function is equivalent to a CES production function with the substitution elasticity set to zero. Hence, the demand equations resemble those derived from the CES case but lack price (substitution) terms. The altot(i) are Hicks-neutral technical-change terms, affecting all inputs equally.

[^6][^7]
### 4.11. Industry costs and production taxes

Excerpt 11 computes levels and changes in the total cost of production both excluding (V1CST) and including (V1TOT) an ad valorem production tax, V1PTX. The equations are arranged to facilitate changing the base of the production tax. For example, a comment line underneath equation E_delV1PTX shows how a value-added tax might be implemented: by making V1PRIM (rather than V1CST) the tax base.

```
! Excerpt 11 of TABLO input file: !
! Output cost inclusive of production tax !
Coefficient
(all,i,IND) V1CST(i) # Total cost of industry i #;
(all,i,IND) V1TOT(i) # Total industry cost plus tax #;
(all,i,IND) PTXRATE(i) # Rate of production tax #;
Formula
(all,i,IND) V1CST(i) = V1PRIM(i) + V1OCT(i) + V1MAT(i);
(all,i,IND) V1TOT(i) = V1CST(i) + V1PTX(i);
(all,i,IND) PTXRATE(i) = V1PTX(i)/V1CST(i); !VAT: V1PTX/V1PRIM !
Write PTXRATE to file SUMMARY header "PTXR";
Variable
(change)(all,i,IND) delV1CST(i) # Change in ex-tax cost of production #;
(change)(all,i,IND) delV1TOT(i) # Change in tax-inc cost of production #;
(change)(all,i,IND) delPTXRATE(i) # Change in rate of production tax #;
Equation
E_delV1CST (all,i,IND) delV1CST(i) = delV1PRIM(i) +
    sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, 0.01*V1PUR(c,s,i)*[p1(c,s,i) + x1(c,s,i)]}}
        +0.01*V1OCT(i) *[p1oct(i) + x loct(i)];
E_delV1PTX (all,i,IND) delV1PTX(i) =
        PTXRATE(i)*delV1CST(i) + V1CST(i) * delPTXRATE(i);
! VAT alternative: PTXRATE(i)*delV1PRIM(i) + V1PRIM(i)* delPTXRATE(i); !
E_delV1TOT (all,i,IND) delV1TOT(i) = delV1CST(i) + delV1PTX(i);
E_p1tot (all,i,IND) V1TOT(i)*[p1tot(i) + x1tot(i)]= 100*delV1TOT(i);
Variable (all,i,IND) p1cst(i) # Index of production costs (for AnalyseGE) #;
Equation E_plcst (all,i,IND) plcst(i) = [1/V1CST(i)]*[
    sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, V1PUR(c,s,i)*p1(c,s,i)}}
        + V1OCT(i) *ploct(i)
        + V1CAP(i) *plcap(i)
        + V1LND(i) *p1lnd(i)
    + sum{o,OCC, V1LAB(i,o) *p1lab(i,o)}];
```

The penultimate equation defines p1tot(i) as the percentage change in the unit cost of production for industry i. Given the constant returns to scale which characterise the model's production technology, pltot is also the percentage change in marginal cost. We enforce the competitive Zero Pure Profits condition (price $=$ marginal cost) by assuming that the pltot are also equal to the average price received by each industry.

Under constant returns to scale, we could combine equations listed previously to deduce:
$\mathrm{p} 1 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})=\sum \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad+$ tax and technical change terms
where $S_{k}$ and $p_{k}$ are respectively the share of input $k$ in total cost, and the percent change in its price.
The final equation defines another variable used to explain results. P1cst is an index of production costs which can be decomposed using AnalyseGE to show the contribution of individual price changes to the total change in input costs. This index ignores the effects of technical change.

### 4.12. From industry outputs to commodity ouputs

ORANI-G allows for each industry to produce a mixture of all the commodities. For each industry, the mix varies, according to the relative prices of commodities ${ }^{11}$. The first two equations of Excerpt 12 determine the commodity composition of industry output-the final nest of Figure 5. Here, the total revenue from all outputs is maximised subject to the production function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 1 \mathrm{TOT}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{CET}[\mathrm{All}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}: \mathrm{Q} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The CET (constant elasticity of transformation) aggregation function is identical to CES, except that the transformation parameter in the CET function has the opposite sign to the substitution parameter in the CES function. In equation E_q1, an increase in a commodity price, relative to the average, induces transformation in favour of that output. The symbol, p1tot, defined in $E_{-} x 1$ tot as average unit revenue, is the same as that used in the previous equation group to refer to the effective price of a unit of activity. This confirms our interpretation of equation E_p1 tot as a Zero Pure Profits condition.


Figure 9. Composition of output
Equation E_pq1 states that all industries that produce, say, Cereals, receive the same unit price, p0com("Cereals"). Cereals produced by different industries are deemed to be perfect substitutes ${ }^{12}$. Equation E_x0com simply adds up all industries' output of each commodity to get the total supply, x0com.

Most non-Australian applications of the ORANI-G framework enforce a one-to-one correspondence between industries and commodities This is implied whenever all off-diagonal elements of the MAKE matrix are zero. In this case, the equations of Excerpt 12 just equate corresponding elements of p0com and p 1 tot. Similarly, x 1 tot and x 0 com become, in effect, the same variable. The computational overhead of including the MAKE mechanism is very slight.

[^8]```
! Excerpt 12 of TABLO input file: !
! Output mix of commodities !
Coefficient (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) MAKE(c,i) # Multiproduction matrix #;
Variable
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) q1(c,i) # Output by commodity and industry #;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) pq1(c,i) # Price of com c produced by ind i #;
(all,c,COM) p0com(c) # General output price of locally-produced commodity #;
Read MAKE from file BASEDATA header "MAKE";
Update (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) MAKE(c,i)= pq1(c,i)*q1(c,i);
Variable
(all,c,COM) x0com(c) # Output of commodities #;
Coefficient
(parameter)(all,i,IND) SIGMA1OUT(i) # CET transformation elasticities #;
Read SIGMA1OUT from file BASEDATA header "SCET";
Equation E_q1 # Supplies of commodities by industries #
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND)
    q1(c,i) = x1 tot(i) + SIGMA1OUT(i)*[p0com(c) - p1tot(i)];
Coefficient
(all,i,IND) MAKE_C(i) # All production by industry i #;
(all,c,COM) MAKE_I(c) # Total production of commodities #;
Formula
(all,i,IND) MAKE_C(i) = sum{c,COM, MAKE(c,i)};
(all,c,COM) MAKE_I(c) = sum{i,IND, MAKE(c,i)};
Equation E_x1tot \# Average price received by industries \#
(all,i,IND) p1tot(i) = sum{c,COM, [MAKE(c,i)/MAKE_C(i)]*pq1(c,i)};
Equation
E_pq1 \# Each industry gets the same price for a given commodity \# (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) pq1 (c,i) \(=\) p0com \((c)\);
E_x0com \# Total output of commodities (as simple addition) \#
\((\operatorname{all}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}) \mathrm{x} 0 \operatorname{com}(\mathrm{c})=\operatorname{sum}\left\{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{IND},\left[\operatorname{MAKE}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i}) / \mathrm{MAKE} \_\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{c})\right]^{*} \mathrm{q} 1(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})\right\}\);
```


### 4.13. Export and local market versions of each good

Excerpt 13 allows for the possibility that goods destined for export are not the the same as those for local use ${ }^{13}$. Conversion of an undifferentiated commodity into goods for both destinations is governed by a CET transformation frontier. Conceptually, the system is the same as Excerpt 12, but it is expressed a little differently; partly because there are only two outputs; and partly to facilitate switching the system off. This is achieved by setting TAU to zero, so that p0com, p0dom and pe are all equal.
! Excerpt 13 of TABLO input file: !
! CET between outputs for local and export markets !
Variable
(all,c,COM) x0dom(c) \# Output of commodities for local market \#;
Coefficient
(all, c,COM) EXPSHR(c) \# Share going to exports \#;
(all, c,COM) TAU(c) \# 1/Elast. of transformation, exportable/locally used \#;
Zerodivide default 0.5;
Formula
(all,c,COM) EXPSHR (c) $=$ V4BAS(c)/MAKE_I(c);
(all,c,COM) TAU(c) $=0.0$; ! if zero, p0dom $=$ pe, and CET is nullified !
Zerodivide off;
Equation E_x0dom \# Supply of commodities to export market \#
(all,c,COM) TAU(c)*[x0dom(c) - x4(c)] = p0dom(c) - pe(c);
Equation E_pe \# Supply of commodities to domestic market \#
$($ all,, COM$) \mathrm{x} 0 \operatorname{com}(\mathrm{c})=[1.0-\operatorname{EXPSHR}(\mathrm{c})]^{*} \mathrm{x} 0 \operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{c})+\operatorname{EXPSHR}(\mathrm{c})^{*} \mathrm{x} 4(\mathrm{c}) ;$
Equation E_p0com \# Zero pure profits in transformation \# (all,c,COM) p0com(c) $=[1.0-\operatorname{EXPSHR}(\mathrm{c})]^{*} \mathrm{p} 0 \operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{c})+\operatorname{EXPSHR}(\mathrm{c}) * \mathrm{pe}(\mathrm{c})$;

[^9]The names of the prices, quantities and flows in the two CET nests of Excerpt 12 are shown below:

| Type of Variable | Joint Production CET Nest |  |  | Local <br> Destination | Export Destination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Industry Output | Commodity Outputs | Undifferentiated Commodity |  |  |
| $\% \Delta$ quantity | $x 1$ tot(i) | q1(c, i) | x0com(c) | x0dom(c) | x 4 (c) |
| $\% \Delta$ price | p1tot(i) | p0com(c) | p0com(c) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p0dom(c) }= \\ & \text { p0(c,"dom") } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{pe}(\mathrm{c})$ |
| Value of flow | V1TOT(i) | $\operatorname{MAKE}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})$ | SALES(c) | DOMSALES(c) | V4BAS(c) |
|  |  |  | Expo | Domestic CET n |  |

Note that $\operatorname{V1TOT}(\mathrm{i})=\sum_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{MAKE}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})$ and $\operatorname{SALES}(\mathrm{c})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{MAKE}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=\operatorname{DOMSALES}(\mathrm{c})+\operatorname{V} 4 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c})$

### 4.14. Demands for investment goods

Figure 10 shows the nesting structure for the production of new units of fixed capital. Capital is assumed to be produced with inputs of domestically produced and imported commodities. The production function has the same nested structure as that which governs intermediate inputs to current production. No primary factors are used directly as inputs to capital formation.


Figure 10. Structure of Investment Demand
The investment demand equations (see Excerpt 14) are derived from the solutions to the investor's two-part cost-minimisation problem. At the bottom level, the total cost of imported and domestic good i is minimised subject to the CES production function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 2 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{CES}\left[\mathrm{All}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SRC}: \frac{\mathrm{X} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{i})}\right], \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

while at the top level the total cost of commodity composites is minimised subject to the Leontief production function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{TOT}(\mathrm{i})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{TOT}(\mathrm{i})} \operatorname{MIN}\left[\mathrm{All}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}: \frac{\mathrm{X} 2 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})}{\mathrm{A} 2 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})}\right] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total amount of investment in each industry, X2TOT(i), is exogenous to the above cost-minimisation problem. It is determined by other equations, covered later on.

The equations in Excerpt 14 describing the demand for source-specific inputs (E_x2 and E_p2_s) and for composites (E_x2_s) are very similar to the corresponding intermediate demand equations in previous excerpts. The source-specific demand equation (E_x2) requires an elasticity of substitution, SIGMA2(i). Also included is an equation which determines the price of new units of capital as the average cost of producing a unit-a Zero Pure Profits condition.

```
! Excerpt 14 of TABLO input file: !
! Investment demands !
Variable
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) x2_s(c,i) # Investment use of imp/dom composite #;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) p2_s(c,i) # Price, investment imp/dom composite #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) a2(c,s,i) # Investment basic tech change #;
Coefficient
(parameter) (all,c,COM) SIGMA2(c) # Armington elasticities: investment #;
Read SIGMA2 from file BASEDATA header "2ARM";
Coefficient ! Source Shares in Flows at Purchaser's prices !
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) V2PUR_S(c,i) # Dom+imp investment purch. value #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) S2(c,s,i) # Investment source shares #;
Zerodivide default 0.5;
Formula
    (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) V2PUR_S(c,i) = sum{s,SRC, V2PUR(c,s,i)};
    (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) S2(c,s,i)= V2PUR(c,s,i) / V2PUR_S(c,i);
Zerodivide off;
```

Equation E_x2 \# Source-specific commodity demands \#
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
$\mathrm{x} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})-\mathrm{a} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})-\mathrm{x} 2 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=-\operatorname{SIGMA} 2(\mathrm{c}) *\left[\mathrm{p} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{a} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})-\mathrm{p} 2 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})\right]$;
Equation E_p2_s \# Effective price of commodity composite \#
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND)
$\mathrm{p} 2 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SRC}, \mathrm{S} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{p} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{a} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})]\} ;$
! Investment top nest !
Variable
(all,i,IND) a2tot(i) \# Neutral technical change - investment \#;
(all,i,IND) p2tot(i) \# Cost of unit of capital \#;
(all,i,IND) x2tot(i) \# Investment by using industry \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) a2_s(c,i) \# Tech change, investment imp/dom composite \#;
Coefficient (all,i,IND) V2TOT(i) \# Total capital created for industry i \#;
Formula (all,i,IND) V2TOT(i) $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{PUR}$ _S $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i})\}$;
Equation
E_x2_s (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) x2_s(c,i) - [a2_s(c,i) + a2tot(i)] $=x 2 \operatorname{tot}(i) ;$
E_p2tot (all,i,IND) p2tot(i)
$=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM},($ V2PUR_S(c,i)/ID01[V2TOT(i)])*[p2_s(c,i) +a2_s(c,i) +a2tot(i)]\};

### 4.15. Household demands

As Figure 11 shows, the nesting structure for household demand is nearly identical to that for investment demand. The only difference is that commodity composites are aggregated by a Klein-Rubin, rather than a Leontief, function, leading to the linear expenditure system (LES).

The equations for the lower, import/domestic nest (see Excerpt 15) are similar to the corresponding equations for intermediate and investment demands.


Figure 11. Structure of Consumer Demand

```
! Excerpt 15 of TABLO input file: !
! Import/domestic composition of household demands !
Variable
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) a3(c,s) # Household basic taste change #;
(all,c,COM) x3_s(c) # Household use of imp/dom composite #;
(all,c,COM) p3_s(c) # Price, household imp/dom composite #;
Coefficient
(parameter)(all,c,COM) SIGMA3(c) # Armington elasticities: households #;
Read SIGMA3 from file BASEDATA header "3ARM";
Coefficient! Source Shares in Flows at Purchaser's prices !
(all,c,COM) V3PUR_S(c) # Dom+imp households purch. value #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) S3(c,s) # Household source shares #;
Zerodivide default 0.5;
Formula
(all,c,COM) V3PUR_S(c)=\operatorname{sum}{s,SRC,V3PUR(c,s)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) S3(c,s) = V3PUR(c,s) / V3PUR_S(c);
Zerodivide off;
```

Equation E_x3 \# Source-specific commodity demands \#
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
x3(c,s)-a3(c,s) = x3_s(c) - SIGMA3(c)*[ p3(c,s)+a3(c,s) - p3_s(c) ];

Equation E_p3_s \# Effective price of commodity composite \# $($ all,c,COM $) ~ p 3 \_s(c)=\operatorname{sum}\{s, S R C, S 3(c, s) *[p 3(c, s)+a 3(c, s)]\} ;$

Excerpt 16 of the TABLO input file determines the allocation of household expenditure between commodity composites. This is derived from the Klein-Rubin utility function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Utility per household }=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}} \prod_{\mathrm{c}}\left\{\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c})-\mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{SUB}(\mathrm{c})\right\}^{\mathrm{S} 3 \mathrm{LUX}(\mathrm{c})}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The X3SUB and S3LUX are behavioural coefficients-the S3LUX must sum to unity. Q is the number of households. The demand equations that arise from this utility function are:
X3_S(c) = X3SUB(c) + S3LUX(c)*V3LUX_C/P3_S(c),
where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { V3LUX_C = V3TOT }-\sum \text { X3SUB }(\mathrm{c}) * \text { P3_S(c) } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The name of the linear expenditure system derives from its property that expenditure on each good is a linear function of prices (P3_S) and expenditure (V3TOT). The form of the demand equations gives rise to the following interpretation. The X3SUB are said to be the 'subsistence' requirements of each good-these quantities are purchased regardless of price. V3LUX_C is what remains of the consumer budget after subsistence expenditures are deducted-we call this 'luxury' or 'supernumerary' expenditure. The S3LUX are the shares of this remnant allocated to each good-the marginal budget shares. Such an interpretation facilitates our transition to percentage change form, which begins from the levels equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X3_S(c) = X3SUB(c) + X3LUX(c) }  \tag{25}\\
& \text { X3LUX(c)*P3_S(c) = S3LUX(c)*V3LUX_C }  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{SUB}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{Q} * \mathrm{~A} 3 \mathrm{SUB}(\mathrm{c}) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

As equation (25) makes plain, the X3LUX are luxury usages, or the difference between the subsistence quantities and total demands. Equation (26) states that luxury expenditures follow the marginal budget shares S3LUX. Together, equations (25) and (26) are equivalent to (23). Equation (27) is necessary because our demand system applies to aggregate instead of to individual households. It states that total subsistence demand for each good c is proportional to the number of households, Q , and to the individual household subsistence demands, $\operatorname{A3SUB}(\mathrm{c})$. The percentage change forms of equations (27), (26) and (25) appear as the first three items in Excerpt 16 of the Tablo Input File. Note that a3lux(c) and w3lux are respectively the percentage changes in S3LUX(c) and V3LUX_C.

```
! Excerpt 16 of TABLO input file: !
! Household demands for composite commodities !
Variable p3tot # Consumer price index #;
        x3tot # Real household consumption #;
        w3lux # Total nominal supernumerary household expenditure #;
        w3tot # Nominal total household consumption #;
        q # Number of households #;
    utility # Utility per household #;
(all,c,COM) x3lux(c) # Household - supernumerary demands #;
(all,c,COM) x3sub(c) # Household - subsistence demands #;
(all,c,COM) a3lux(c) # Taste change, supernumerary demands #;
(all,c,COM) a3sub(c) # Taste change, subsistence demands #;
(all,c,COM) a3_s(c) # Taste change, household imp/dom composite #;
Coefficient
        V3TOT # Total purchases by households #;
        FRISCH # Frisch LES 'parameter'= - (total/luxury) #;
(all,c,COM) EPS(c) # Household expenditure elasticities #;
(all,c,COM) S3_S(c) # Household average budget shares #;
(all,c,COM) B3LUX(c) # Ratio, (supernumerary expenditure/total expenditure) #;
(all,c,COM) S3LUX(c) # Marginal household budget shares #;
Read FRISCH from file BASEDATA header "P021";
    EPS from file BASEDATA header "XPEL";
Update (change) FRISCH = FRISCH*[w3tot - w3lux]/100.0;
    (change)(all,c,COM) EPS(c) = EPS(c)*[x3lux(c)-x3_s(c)+w3tot-w3lux]/100.0;
```

```
Formula
    V3TOT = sum{c,COM, V3PUR_S(c)};
(all,c,COM) S3_S(c) = V3PUR_S(c)/V3TOT;
(all,c,COM) B3LUX(c) = EPS(c)/ABS[FRISCH]; ! initial sign of Frisch ignored !
(all,c,COM) S3LUX(c) = EPS(c)*S3_S(c);
Write S3LUX to file SUMMARY header "LSHR";
        S3_S to file SUMMARY header "CSHR";
Equation
E_x3sub # Subsistence demand for composite commodities #
    (all,c,COM) x3sub(c) = q + a3sub(c);
E_x3lux # Luxury demand for composite commodities #
    (all,c,COM) x3lux(c) + p3_s(c) = w3lux + a3lux(c);
E_x3_s # Total household demand for composite commodities #
    (all,c,COM) x3_s(c) = B3LUX(c)*x3lux(c) + [1-B3LUX(c)]*x3sub(c);
E_utility # Change in utility disregarding taste change terms #
    utility + q = sum{c,COM, S3LUX(c)*x3lux(c)};
E_a3lux # Default setting for luxury taste shifter #
    (all,c,COM) a3lux(c) = a3sub(c) - sum{k,COM, S3LUX(k)*a3sub(k)};
E_a3sub # Default setting for subsistence taste shifter #
    (all,c,COM) a3sub(c) = a3_s(c) - sum{k,COM, S3_S(k)*a3_s(k)};
E_x3tot # Real consumption #
    x3tot = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, [V3PUR(c,s)/V3TOT]*x3(c,s)}};
E_p3tot # Consumer price index #
    p3tot = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, [V3PUR(c,s)/V3TOT]*p3(c,s)}};
E_w3tot # Household budget constraint: determines w3lux #
    w3tot = x3tot + p3tot;
```

Equation E_utility is the percentage-change form of the utility function (22). Equations E_a3sub and E_a3lux provide default settings for the taste-change variables, a3sub and a3lux, which allow the average budget shares to be shocked, via the a3_s, in a way that preserves the pattern of expenditure elasticities. See Appendix F for further details.

The equations just described determine the composition of household demands but do not determine total consumption. That could be done in a variety of ways: (i) set exogenously, (ii) determined by a consumption funcntion, or (iii) determined via a balance of trade constraint.

The reader may wonder why there is no equation based on (24) which would determine the variable w3lux. The reason is that (24) can be deduced from (23) and the definition of V3TOT:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 3 \mathrm{TOT}=\sum \mathrm{X3} \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) * \text { P3_S(c) } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The percentage change form of (28) in fact appears as the final equation E_w3tot. Hence any additional equation defining w3lux would be redundant.

### 4.16. Export demands

To model export demands, commodities in ORANI-G are divided into two groups:

- For an individual export commodity, foreign demand is inversely related to that commodity's price.
- For the remaining, collective export, commodities, foreign demand is inversely related to the average price of all collective export commodities.

```
! Excerpt 17 of TABLO input file: !
! Export demands !
```


## Coefficient

```
(parameter)(all,c,COM) IsIndivExp(c) # >0.5 For individual export commodities#;
Read IsIndivExp from file BASEDATA header "ITEX";
!This way of defining a set facilitates aggregation of the data base !
Set TRADEXP # Individual export commodities # = (all,c,COM: IsIndivExp(c)>0.5);
Write (Set) TRADEXP to file SUMMARY header "TEXP";
Variable
    phi # Exchange rate, local currency/$world #;
(all,c,COM) f4p(c) # Price (upward) shift in export demand schedule #;
(all,c,COM) f4q(c) # Quantity (right) shift in export demands #;
Coefficient (parameter)(all,c,COM) EXP_ELAST(c)
    # Export demand elasticities: typical value -5.0 #;
Read EXP_ELAST from file BASEDATA header "P018";
Equation E_x4A # Individual export demand functions #
(all,c,TRADEXP) x4(c) - f4q(c) = -ABS[EXP_ELAST(c)]*[p4(c) - phi - f4p(c)];
! note: ABS function above fixes common mistake: positive EXP_ELAST values !
Set NTRADEXP # Collective Export Commodities # = COM - TRADEXP;
Write (Set) NTRADEXP to file SUMMARY header "NTXP";
Variable
x4_ntrad # Quantity, collective export aggregate #;
f4p_ntrad # Upward demand shift, collective export aggregate #;
f4q_ntrad # Right demand shift, collective export aggregate #;
p4_ntrad # Price, collective export aggregate #;
Coefficient V4NTRADEXP # Total collective export earnings #;
Formula V4NTRADEXP = sum{c,NTRADEXP, V4PUR(c)};
Equation E_X4B # Collective export demand functions #
(all,c,NTRADEXP) x4(c) - f4q(c) = x4_ntrad;
Equation E_p4_ntrad # Average price of collective exports #
    [TINY+V4NTRADEXP]*p4_ntrad = sum{c,NTRADEXP, V4PUR(c)*p4(c)};
Coefficient (parameter) EXP_ELAST_NT # Collective export demand elasticity #;
Read EXP_ELAST_NT from file BASEDATA header "EXNT";
Equation E_x4_ntrad # Demand for collective export aggregate #
    x4_ntrad - f4q_ntrad = -ABS[EXP_ELAST_NT]*[p4_ntrad - phi - f4p_ntrad];
```

The individual export group, which would normally include all the main export commodities, is defined by the set TRADEXP ${ }^{14}$ in Excerpt 17. Equation E_x4A specifies downward-sloping foreign demand schedules for these commodities. In the levels, the equation would read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} 4(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{F} 4 \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{c})\left[\frac{\mathrm{P} 4(\mathrm{c})}{\mathrm{PHI} \mathrm{~F} 4 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{c})}\right]^{\mathrm{EXP} \_E L A S T}(\mathrm{c}), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where EXP_ELAST(c) is a negative ${ }^{15}$ parameter-the constant elasticity of demand. That is, export volumes, $\mathrm{X} 4(\mathrm{c})$, are declining functions of their prices in foreign currency, (P4(c)/PHI). The exchange rate PHI converts local to foreign currency units. The variables F4Q(i) and F4P(i) allow for horizontal (quantity) and vertical (price) shifts in the demand schedules.

The collective export group, defined by the set NTRADEXP, could include all those commodities for which the above equation is inappropriate. For models based on ORANI-G this has typically included the bulk of the service commodities, and other commodities (examples might be Air Transport or

[^10]Gold) where export volumes do not seem to depend mainly on the corresponding price. The list would be country-specific.

The commodity composition of aggregate collective exports is exogenised by treating collective exports as a Leontief aggregate (see equation E_x4B). Demand for the aggregate is related to its average price via a constant-elasticity demand curve, similar to those for individual exports (see equation E_x4_ntrad).

It is permissible for the set TRADEXP to contain all commodities, so that the set NTRADEXP is empty. This disactivates the collective export mechanism. In addition, exports of particular commodities may be fixed or shocked by exogenising the corresponding elements of the vector x 4 , while at the same time endogenising matching elements of the vector f 4 q .

### 4.17. Other final demands

Equations E_x5 and E_f5tot determine government usage. With both of the shift variables f5 and f5tot exogenous, the level and composition of government consumption is exogenously determined. Then equation E_f5tot merely determines the value of the endogenous variable f5tot2, which appears nowhere else. Alternatively, many ORANI applications have assumed that, in the absence of shocks to the shift variables, aggregate government consumption moves with real aggregate household consumption, x3tot. This is achieved by endogenising f5tot and exogenising f5tot2. The trick of changing behavioural specifications by switching the exogenous/endogenous status of shift variables is used frequently in applying ORANI. It helps to avoid proliferation of model variants, allowing the same TABLO Input file to contain different versions of some equations. The choice of which shift variables are exogenous determines at run time which version is operative in the rest of the model.

```
! Excerpt 18 of TABLO input file: !
! Government and inventory demands !
Variable
f5tot \# Overall shift term for government demands \#;
f5tot2 \# Ratio between f5tot and x3tot \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) f5(c,s) \# Government demand shift \#;
(change) (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) fx6(c,s) \# Shifter on rule for stocks \#;
Equation
E_x5 \# Government demands \# (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) x5(c,s) = f5(c,s) + f5tot;
E_f5tot \# Overall government demands shift \# f5tot = x3tot + f5tot2;
Coefficient (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) LEVP0(c,s) \# Levels basic prices \#;
Formula (initial) (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) LEVPO(c,s) \(=1 ;\) ! arbitrary setting !
Update (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) LEVP0(c,s) \(=\mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\);
Equation
E_delx6 \# Stocks follow domestic output \# (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
\(100 * \operatorname{LEVP} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * \operatorname{delx} 6(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})=\operatorname{V6BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * x 0 \operatorname{com}(\mathrm{c})+\mathrm{fx} 6(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\);
E_delV6 \# Update formula for stocks \# (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
\(\operatorname{delV6}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})=0.01 * \operatorname{V} 6 B A S(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * \mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\) LEVP0(c,s)*delx6(c,s);
```

Equation E_delx6 shows one way that delx6, the change in the volume of goods going to inventories, might be endogenized. It states that the percentage change in the volume of each commodity, domestic or imported, going to inventories, is the same as the percentage change in domestic production of that commodity. Like the previous equation, E_delx6 can be insulated from the rest of the equation system-by leaving fx6 endogenous. A chief purpose for this equation is to facilitate the real homogeneity test described in Appendix I.

Equation E_delV6 defines ordinary changes in the values of inventory demands. These are used above to update the V6BAS coefficient. The form of the equation arises because delx6 is an ordinary (rather than percentage) change variable. It may be derived by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{X}\left(\frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\mathrm{V} \frac{\mathrm{p}}{100}+\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{X} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that we are now required to define and update the levels price, P , i.e., we are obliged to specify units of measurement for quantities. In the TABLO code the coefficient LEVP0 contains current basic
prices. The initial values of its elements are set (arbitrarily) to 1 via an earlier 'Formula (Initial)' statement. Thus, the unit of measurement of delx6 is base-period-dollars-worth.

### 4.18. Demands for margins

The equations in Excerpt 19 indicate that, in the absence of technical change, demands for margins are proportional to the commodity flows with which the margins are associated. The 'a' variables allow for technical change in margins usage.
! Excerpt 19 of TABLO input file: !
! Margin demands !
Variable
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
almar(c,s,i,m) \# Intermediate margin tech change \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
a2mar(c,s,i,m) \# Investment margin tech change \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) a3mar(c,s,m) \# Household margin tech change\#;
(all,c,COM)(all,m,MAR) a4mar(c,m) \# Export margin tech change \#;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR) a5mar(c,s,m) \# Governmnt margin tech change\#;

```
Equation
E_xlmar # Margins to producers # (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
    x1mar(c,s,i,m) = x1(c,s,i) + a1mar(c,s,i,m);
E_x2mar # Margins to investment # (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)(all,m,MAR)
    x2mar(c,s,i,m) = x2(c,s,i) + a2mar(c,s,i,m);
E_x3mar # Margins to households # (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR)
    x3mar(c,s,m) = x3(c,s) + a 3 mar(c,s,m);
E_x4mar # Margins to exports # (all,c,COM)(all,m,MAR)
    x4mar(c,m) = x4(c) + a 4mar(c,m);
E_x5mar # Margins to government # (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,m,MAR)
    x5mar(c,s,m) = x5 (c,s) + a 5mar(c,s,m);
```


### 4.19. Formulae for sales aggregates

The next two excerpts are concerned with computing aggregate demands for domestic and imported commodities. Excerpt 20 contains coefficients and formulae for these demands. The aggregate SALE matrix breaks down demand for domestic and imported goods, valued at basic prices, by their main destinations. This is written to the SUMMARY file for later use in analysing results. Note that margin use is identified separately.

The V0IMP, SALES and DOMSALES aggregates are simply subtotals of the SALE matrix.

```
! Excerpt 20 of TABLO input file: !
! Sales Aggregates !
Coefficient (all,c,COM) MARSALES(c) # Total usage for margins purposes #;
Formula
(all,n,NONMAR) MARSALES(n) = 0.0;
(all,m,MAR) MARSALES(m)= sum{c,COM, V4MAR(c,m) +
    sum{s,SRC, V3MAR(c,s,m) + V5MAR(c,s,m) +
    sum{i,IND, V1MAR(c,s,i,m) + V2MAR(c,s,i,m)}}};
Set DEST # Sale Categories #
(Interm, Invest, HouseH, Export, GovGE, Stocks, Margins);
Coefficient (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,d,DEST) SALE(c,s,d) # Sales aggregates #;
Formula
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALE(c,s,"Interm") = sum{i,IND, V1BAS(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALE(c,s,"Invest") = sum{i,IND, V2BAS(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALE(c,s,"HouseH") = V3BAS(c,s);
(all,c,COM) SALE(c,"dom","Export") = V4BAS(c);
(all,c,COM) SALE(c,"imp","Export") = 0;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALE(c,s,"GovGE") = V5BAS(c,s);
```

(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALE(c,s,"Stocks") = V6BAS(c,s);
(all,c,COM) SALE(c,"dom","Margins") = MARSALES(c);
(all,c,COM) SALE(c,"imp","Margins") $=0$;
Write SALE to file SUMMARY header "SALE";

Coefficient (all,c,COM) V0IMP(c) \# Total basic-value imports of good c \#;
Formula (all,c,COM) VOIMP(c) = sum\{d,DEST, SALE(c,"imp",d) \};
Coefficient (all,c,COM) SALES(c) \# Total sales of domestic commodities \#;
Formula (all,c,COM) SALES(c) $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{DEST}, \operatorname{SALE}(\mathrm{c}$, "dom",d) $\}$;
Coefficient (all,c,COM) DOMSALES(c) \# Total sales to local market \#;
Formula (all,c,COM) DOMSALES(c) = SALES(c) - V4BAS(c);

### 4.20. Market-clearing equations

Excerpt 21 includes market-clearing equations for locally-consumed commodities, both domestic and imported. It begins by defining equations for the delSale matrix variable, which corresponds to the SALE coefficient just described. Each element of delSale shows the ordinary change in a quantity, measured in current prices. This is seen most clearly in the inventory (stocks) terms. The other right hand side terms have the form:

$$
\text { V.x/100 = P.X.x/100 = P } \Delta x
$$

Having constructed delSale, the next two equations are rather simple. E_p0A just adds up all non-export demand for domestic commodities: the sum is equal to the previously defined supply variable, x0dom. Similarly, E_x0imp computes the total demand for imports. By exogenously setting the world price of imports, pf0cif(c), we assume infinite elasticity of the supply of imports.

```
! Excerpt 21 of TABLO input file: !
! Market clearing equations !
Variable (change)
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,d,DEST) delSale(c,s,d) # Sales aggregates #;
Equation
E_delSaleA (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delSale(c,s,"Interm") =
    0.01*sum{i,IND,V1BAS(c,s,i)*x1(c,s,i)};
E_delSaleB (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delSale(c,s,"Invest") =
    0.01*sum{i,IND,V2BAS(c,s,i)*x2(c,s,i)};
E_delSaleC (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delSale(c,s,"HouseH")=0.01*V3BAS(c,s)*x3(c,s);
E_delSaleD (all,c,COM) delSale(c,"dom","Export")=0.01*V4BAS(c)*x4(c);
E_delSaleE (all,c,COM) delSale(c,"imp","Export")= 0;
E_delSaleF (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delSale(c,s,"GovGE") =0.01*V5BAS(c,s)*x5(c,s);
E_delSaleG (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) delSale(c,s,"Stocks") = LEVP0(c,s)*delx6(c,s);
E_delSaleH (all,m,MAR) delSale(m,"dom","Margins") = 0.01*
    sum{c,COM, V4MAR(c,m)*x4mar(c,m) ! note nesting of sum parentheses!
+ sum{s,SRC, V3MAR(c,s,m)*x3mar(c,s,m) + V5MAR(c,s,m)*x5mar(c,s,m)
+ sum{i,IND, V1MAR(c,s,i,m)*x1mar(c,s,i,m) + V2MAR(c,s,i,m)*x2mar(c,s,i,m)}}};
E_delSaleI (all,n,NONMAR) delSale(n,"dom","Margins") = 0;
E_delSaleJ (all,c,COM) delSale(c,"imp","Margins") = 0;
```

Set LOCUSER \# Non-export users \#(Interm, Invest, HouseH, GovGE, Stocks,Margins);
Subset LOCUSER is subset of DEST;

Equation E_p0A \# Supply = Demand for domestic commodities \#
(all,c,COM) 0.01*[TINY+DOMSALES(c) $]^{* x 0 d o m(c)=\operatorname{sum}\{u, L O C U S E R, d e l S a l e(c, " d o m ", u)\} ; ~}$

Variable (all,c,COM) x0imp(c) \# Total supplies of imported goods \#;
Equation E_x0imp \# Import volumes \#
(all,c,COM) $0.01 *[\operatorname{TINY}+\mathrm{V} 0 \operatorname{IMP}(\mathrm{c})] * x 0 \mathrm{imp}(\mathrm{c})=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{u}, \operatorname{LOCUSER}, \operatorname{delSale}(\mathrm{c}$, "imp", u$)\}$;

### 4.21. Purchasers' prices

The equations in Excerpt 22 define purchasers' prices for each of the first five user groups: producers; investors; households; exports; and government. Purchasers' prices (in levels) are the sums of basic
values, sales taxes and margins. Sales taxes are treated as ad valorem on basic values, with the sales-tax variables $t$ in the linearised model being percentage changes in the powers of taxes (The power of a tax is one plus the ad valorem rate). For example, equation E_p3 is derived from the levels form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}) & * \mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{X} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}) * \mathrm{P} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}) * \mathrm{~T} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}) \\
& +\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{MAR}, \mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~m}) * \mathrm{P} 0(\mathrm{~m}, " \mathrm{dom} ")\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In percentage-change form this is:

```
\(\operatorname{V3PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) *\{\mathrm{x} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\mathrm{p} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}=\)
    \(\{\operatorname{V} 3 T A X(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\operatorname{V} 3 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\} *\{\mathrm{x} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})+\mathrm{t} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}\)
    \(+\operatorname{sum}\{m, \operatorname{MAR}, \operatorname{V3MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}) *[x 3 \operatorname{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m})+\mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{~m}, " \mathrm{dom} ")]\}\).
```

By using Equation E_x3mar from Excerpt 19 to eliminate $\mathrm{x} 3 \operatorname{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m})$, we can cancel out the $\mathrm{x} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})$ terms to obtain:

```
V3PUR(c,s)*p3(c,s) =
    [V3BAS(c,s)+V3TAX(c,s)]*[p0(c,s)+t3(c,s)]
+ sum{m,MAR, V3MAR(c,s,m)*[p0(m,"dom")+a3mar(c,s,m)]}.
```

For a commodity which is not used by households, V3PUR(c,s) and its constituents would all be zero, leaving $\mathrm{p} 3(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{s})$ undefined. To finesse this problem, the TABLO file adds the coefficient TINY to $\operatorname{V3PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})$ so that if it is zero, equation E_p3 becomes:

$$
\mathrm{p} 3(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~s})=0 .
$$

The same procedure is used for the purchasers'-price equations referring to intermediate, investment, export and government users.

The comment at the end shows how the final equation would appear if the t 5 variable (\% change in power) was replaced by delt5: an ordinary change in the ad valorem rate.

```
! Excerpt 22 of TABLO input file: !
! Purchasers prices !
Variable ! Powers of Commodity Taxes on Basic Flows !
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) t1(c,s,i) # Power of tax on intermediate #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) t2(c,s,i) # Power of tax on investment #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) t3(c,s) # Power of tax on household #;
(all,c,COM) t4(c) # Power of tax on export #;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) t5(c,s) # Power of tax on government #;
```

Equation E_p1 \# Purchasers prices - producers \#
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
[V1PUR(c,s,i)+TINY]*p1(c,s,i) =
[V1BAS(c,s,i)+V1TAX (c,s,i)]*[p0(c,s)+t1(c,s,i)]
$+\operatorname{sum}\{m, \operatorname{MAR}, \operatorname{V1MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m}) *[\mathrm{p} 0 \operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{~m})+\mathrm{a} 1 \operatorname{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})]\} ;$

Equation E_p2 \# Purchasers prices - capital creators \# (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
[V2PUR $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})+\mathrm{TINY}] * \mathrm{p} 2(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})=$
[V2BAS(c,s,i)+V2TAX (c,s,i)]*[p0(c,s)+t2(c,s,i)]
$+\operatorname{sum}\{m, M A R, \operatorname{V} 2 M A R(c, s, i, m) *[p 0 \operatorname{dom}(m)+a 2 \operatorname{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})]\} ;$

```
Equation E_p3 # Purchasers prices - households #
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    [V3PUR(c,s)+TINY]*p3(c,s) =
    [V3BAS(c,s)+V3TAX(c,s)]*[p0(c,s)+t3(c,s)]
    + sum{m,MAR,V3MAR(c,s,m)*[p0dom(m)+a3mar(c,s,m)]};
Equation E_p4 # Zero pure profits in exporting #
(all,c,COM)
[V4PUR(c)+TINY]*p4(c) =
    [V4BAS(c)+V4TAX(c)]*[pe(c)+t4(c)]
    + \operatorname{sum}{m,MAR, V4MAR(c,m)*[p0dom(m)+a4mar(c,m)]};
```

! note that we refer to export taxes, not subsidies !

```
Equation E_p5 # Zero pure profits in distribution to government #
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
[V5PUR(c,s)+TINY]*p5(c,s) =
    [V5BAS(c,s)+V5TAX(c,s)]*[p0(c,s)+t5(c,s)]
    + sum{m,MAR,V5MAR(c,s,m)*[p0dom(m)+a5mar(c,s,m)]};
! alternate form
Equation E_p5q # Zero pure profits in distribution of government #
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
[V5PUR(c,s)+TINY]*p5(c,s) =
    [V5BAS(c,s)+V5TAX(c,s)]*p0(c,s)
+ 100*V5BAS(c,s)*delt5(c,s)
+ sum{m,MAR, V5MAR(c,s,m)*[p0dom(m)+a5mar(c,s,m)]};!
```


### 4.22. Indirect taxes

ORANI-G allows for great flexibility in the treatment of indirect taxes. However, it is cumbersome to shock 3-dimensional variables such as t 1 and t 2 directly, because they have so many elements. Besides, most projected changes to tax rates have a fairly simple structure. For example, an increase in the tax on petrol might raise the price to all users by $10 \%$. To simulate a tax change like this, it helps to include equations which implement the tax regime to be simulated. The effect is to replace multi-dimensional exogenous tax variables with vectors that are easier to shock.

Excerpt 23 contains default rules for setting sales-tax rates for producers, investors, households, and government. Sales taxes are treated as ad valorem on basic values, with the sales-tax variables in the linearised model being percentage changes in the powers of the taxes. Each equation allows the changes in the relevant tax rates to be commodity-specific or user-specific. To simulate more complex patterns of tax changes, we would omit or modify these equations. ${ }^{16}$

```
! Excerpt 23 of TABLO input file: !
! Tax rate equations !
Variable
f1tax_csi # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on intermediate usage #;
f2tax_csi # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on investment #;
f3tax_cs # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on household usage #;
f4tax_ntrad # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on nontradtnl exports #;
f4tax_trad # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on tradtnl exports #;
f5tax_cs # Uniform % change in powers of taxes on government usage #;
(all,c,COM) f0tax_s(c) # General sales tax shifter #;
Equation
E_tl # Power of tax on sales to intermediate #
    (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) t1(c,s,i) = f0tax_s(c) + f1tax_csi;
E_t2 # Power of tax on sales to investment #
    (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND) t2(c,s,i) = f0tax_s(c) + f2tax_csi;
E_t3 # Power of tax on sales to households #
    (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) t3(c,s) = f0tax_s(c) + f3tax_cs;
E_t4A # Power of tax on sales to individual exports #
    (all,c,TRADEXP) t4(c) = f0tax_s(c) + f4tax_trad;
E_t4B # Power of tax on sales to collective exports #
    (all,c,NTRADEXP) t4(c) = f0tax_s(c) + f4tax_ntrad;
E_t5 # Power of tax on sales to government #
    (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) t5(c,s) = f0tax_s(c) + f5tax_cs;
```

[^11]The equations of Excerpt 24 compute ordinary changes in the indirect tax flows. Their main use is in the Update statements for the various commodity tax matrices (see above). To understand the equations, note that the bases for the sales taxes are the basic values of the corresponding commodity flows, and the tax-rate variables appearing in the model are powers of the sales-tax rates. Hence, for any component of sales tax, we can express revenue (VTAX), in levels, as the product of the base (VBAS) and the power of the $\operatorname{tax}(\mathrm{T})$ minus one, i.e.,
VTAX = VBAS(T-1).

Hence: $\Delta \mathrm{VTAX}=\Delta \mathrm{VBAS}(\mathrm{T}-1)+\mathrm{VBAS} \Delta \mathrm{T}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{VBAS}(\mathrm{T}-1) \frac{\Delta \mathrm{VBAS}}{\operatorname{VBAS}}+\operatorname{VBAS} * \mathrm{~T} \frac{\Delta \mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}} \\
& =\operatorname{VBAS}(\mathrm{T}-1) \text { wbas } / 100+\operatorname{VBAS} * \mathrm{~T} * \mathrm{t} / 100 \\
& =\operatorname{VTAX} * \text { wbas } / 100+(\mathrm{VBAS}+\mathrm{VTAX}) \mathrm{t} / 100
\end{aligned}
$$

where wbas and $t$ are percentage changes in VBAS and T. VBAS is in turn a product of a quantity (X) and a basic price $(P)$, so its percentage change wbas can be written as $(x+p)$. Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{VTAX}=\mathrm{VTAX}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p}) / 100+(\mathrm{VBAS}+\mathrm{VTAX}) \mathrm{t} / 100 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS shows two components of revenue change; due to changes in the base and rate respectively.

```
! Excerpt 24 of TABLO input file: !
! Update formulae for commodity taxes !
Equation
E_delV1TAX (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
    delV1TAX(c,s,i)=0.01*V1TAX(c,s,i)*[x1(c,s,i) + p0(c,s)] +
        0.01*[V1BAS(c,s,i)+V1TAX(c,s,i)]*t1(c,s,i);
E_delV2TAX (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)(all,i,IND)
    delV2TAX(c,s,i)= 0.01*V2TAX(c,s,i)* [x2(c,s,i) + p0(c,s)] +
        0.01*[V2BAS(c,s,i)+V2TAX(c,s,i)]*t2(c,s,i);
E_delV3TAX (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    delV3TAX(c,s) = 0.01*V3TAX(c,s)*[x3(c,s) + p0(c,s)] +
        0.01*[V3BAS(c,s)+V3TAX(c,s)]*t3(c,s);
E_delV4TAX (all,c,COM)
    delV4TAX(c) = 0.01*V4TAX(c)*[x4(c) + pe(c)] +
        0.01*[V4BAS(c)+V4TAX(c)]*t4(c);
E_delV5TAX (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    delV5TAX(c,s) = 0.01*V5TAX(c,s)*[x5(c,s) + p0(c,s)] +
        0.01*[V5BAS(c,s)+V5TAX(c,s)]*5(c,s);
! alternate form
E_delV5TAX (all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC)
    delV5TAX (c,s) = 0.01*V5TAX (c,s)*[x5(c,s) + p0(c,s)] +
        V5BAS(c,s)*delt5(c,s);!
```

The comment at the end shows how the final equation would appear if the t 5 variable (\% change in power) was replaced by delt5: an ordinary change in the ad valorem rate.

In the next excerpt, equation $\mathrm{E} \_$p 0 B relates the domestic-currency prices of imports (c.i.f., dutypaid) to their foreign-currency prices. It is derived from the levels form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P0(c, "imp") }=\text { PF0CIF(c) } * \mathrm{PHI} * \mathrm{TOIMP}(\mathrm{c}) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other equation computes changes in tariff revenues, used to update V0TAR.

```
! Excerpt 25 of TABLO input file: !
! Import prices and tariff revenue !
Variable
(all,c,COM) pf0cif(c) # C.I.F. foreign currency import prices #;
(all,c,COM) t0imp(c) # Power of tariff #;
Equation E_p0B # Zero pure profits in importing #
(all,c,COM) p0(c,"imp") = pf0cif(c) + phi + t0imp(c);
Equation E_delV0TAR (all,c,COM)
delV0TAR(c) = 0.01*V0TAR(c)*[x0imp(c)+pf0cif(c)+phi] + 0.01*V0IMP(c)*t0imp(c);
```

Excerpt 26 computes various aggregates of indirect tax revenue-both in the levels and in ordinary change form. Both equations and formulae are simple add-ups.

```
! Excerpt 26 of TABLO input file: !
! Indirect tax revenue aggregates !
Coefficient
V1TAX_CSI # Total intermediate tax revenue #;
V2TAX_CSI # Total investment tax revenue #;
V3TAX_CS # Total households tax revenue #;
V4TAX_C # Total export tax revenue #;
V5TAX_CS # Total government tax revenue #;
V0TAR_C # Total tariff revenue #;
Formula
V1TAX_CSI = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V1TAX(c,s,i)}}};
V2TAX_CSI = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V2TAX(c,s,i)}}};
V3TAX_CS = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, V3TAX(c,s)}};
V4TAX_C = sum{c,COM, V4TAX(c)};
V5TAX_CS = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, V5TAX(c,s)}};
V0TAR_C = sum{c,COM, V0TAR(c)};
Variable
(change) delV1tax_csi # Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on intermediate #;
(change) delV2tax_csi # Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on investment #;
(change) delV3tax_cs # Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on households #;
(change) delV4tax_c # Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on export #;
(change) delV5tax_cs # Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on government #;
(change) delVOtar_c # Aggregate tariff revenue #;
Equation
E_delV1tax_csi delV1tax_csi = sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{i,IND, delV1TAX(c,s,i)}}};
E_delV2tax_csi delV2tax_csi = sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{i,IND, delV2TAX(c,s,i)}}};
E_delV3tax_cs delV3tax_cs = sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, delV3TAX(c,s)}};
E_delV4tax_c delV4tax_c = sum{c,COM, delV4TAX(c)};
E_delV5tax_cs delV5tax_cs = sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,delV5TAX(c,s)}};
E_delV0tar_c delV0tar_c = sum{c,COM, delV0TAR(c)};
```


### 4.23. GDP from the income and expenditure sides

Excerpt 27 defines the nominal aggregates which make up GDP from the income side. These include totals of factor payments, the value of other costs, and the total yield from commodity taxes. Their derivation is straightforward. For example, the formula for total land revenue:

$$
\text { V1LND_I = Sum\{i,IND, V1LND(i) }\}=\operatorname{Sum}\{i, I N D, X 1 L N D(i) * P 1 L N D(i)\},
$$

becomes, in percentage-change form:

```
V1LND_I*w1lnd_i = Sum{i,IND, V1LND(i)*[x1lnd(i)+p1\operatorname{lnd}(i)]}.
! Excerpt 27 of TABLO input file: !
! Factor incomes and GDP !
Coefficient
    V1CAP_I # Total payments to capital #;
    V1LAB_IO # Total payments to labour #;
    V1LND_I # Total payments to land #;
    V1PTX_I # Total production tax/subsidy #;
    V1OCT_I # Total other cost ticket payments #;
    V1PRIM_I # Total primary factor payments#;
    V0GDPINC # Nominal GDP from income side #;
    V0TAX_CSI # Total indirect tax revenue #;
Formula
    V1CAP_I = sum{i,IND, V1CAP(i)};
    V1LAB_IO = sum{i,IND, V1LAB_O(i)};
    V1LND_I = sum{i,IND, V1LND(i)};
    V1PTX_I = sum{i,IND, V1PTX(i)};
    V1OCT_I = sum{i,IND, V1OCT(i)};
    V1PRIM_I = V1LAB_IO + V1CAP_I + V1LND_I;
    V0TAX_CSI = V1TAX_CSI + V2TAX_CSI + V3TAX_CS + V4TAX_C + V5TAX_CS
        + V0TAR_C + V1OCT_I + V1PTX_I;
    V0GDPINC = V1PRIM_I + V0TAX_CSI;
```


## Variable

w1lab_io \# Aggregate payments to labour \#;
w1cap_i \# Aggregate payments to capital \#;
w1lnd_i \# Aggregate payments to land \#;
wlprim_i \# Aggregate primary factor payments \#;
wloct_i \# Aggregate "other cost" ticket payments \#;
(change) delV1PTX_i \# Ordinary change in all-industry production tax revenue \#;
(change) delV0tax_csi \# Aggregate revenue from all indirect taxes \#;
w0tax_csi \# Aggregate revenue from all indirect taxes \#;
w0gdpinc \# Nominal GDP from income side \#;
Equation
E_w1lab_io V1LAB_IO*w1lab_io =
$\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{IND}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{OCC}, \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{LAB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o}) *[\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{lab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})+\mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{lab}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o})]\}\} ;$
E_w1cap_i V1CAP_I*w1cap_i = sum\{i,IND, V1CAP(i)*[x1cap(i)+p1cap(i)]\};
E_w1lnd_i ID01[V1LND_I]*w1lnd_i = sum\{i,IND, V1LND(i)*[x1lnd(i)+p1lnd(i)]\};
E_wlprim_i V1PRIM_I*w1prim_i=V1LAB_IO*w1lab_io+V1CAP_I*w1cap_i+V1LND_I*w1lnd_i;
E_wloct_i ID01[V1OCT_I]*wloct_i = sum\{i,IND, V1OCT(i)*[x1oct(i)+ploct(i)]\};
E_delV1PTX_i delV1PTX_i = sum\{i,IND,delV1PTX(i) \};
E_delV0tax_csi delV0tax_csi =
delV1tax_csi + delV2tax_csi + delV3tax_cs + delV4tax_c + delV5tax_cs
+ delV0tar_c + delV1PTX_i + 0.01*V1OCT_I*w1oct_i;
E_w0tax_csi [TINY+V0TAX_CSI]*w0tax_csi = 100*delV0tax_csi;
E_w0gdpinc V0GDPINC*w0gdpinc $=$ V1PRIM_I*w1prim_i $+100 *$ delV0tax_csi;

Because TABLO does not distinguish upper and lower case, we cannot use 'v1lnd_i' to refer to the change in V1LND_I-instead we use 'w1lnd_i'. This conflict arises only for aggregate flows, since only these flows appear simultaneously as variables and coefficients.

Excerpt 28 defines the aggregates which make up GDP from the expenditure side. We could have computed percentage changes in the nominal aggregates as in the previous section. For example, in equation E_w2tot_i, total nominal investment could have been written as:

$$
\text { V2TOT_I } * w 2 \text { tot_i }=\operatorname{Sum}\{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{IND}, \mathrm{~V} 2 \mathrm{TOT}(\mathrm{i}) *[\mathrm{x} 2 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{p} 2 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})]\} .
$$

We choose to decompose this change into price and quantity components-see equations E_p2tot_i and E_x2tot_i. The nominal percentage change is the sum of percentage changes in these two Divisia indices.

For investment, and for each other expenditure component of GDP, we define a quantity index and a price index which sum to the (percentage change in the) nominal value of the aggregate. We weight these together to form expenditure-side measures of percentage changes in real GDP, the GDP deflator and nominal GDP.

```
! Excerpt 28 of TABLO input file: !
! GDP expenditure aggregates !
Coefficient! Expenditure Aggregates at Purchaser's Prices !
(all,c,COM) V0CIF(c) # Total ex-duty imports of good c #;
V0CIF_C # Total local currency import costs, excluding tariffs #;
V0IMP_C # Total basic-value imports (includes tariffs) #;
V2TOT_I # Total investment usage #;
V4TOT # Total export earnings #;
V5TOT # Total value of government demands #;
V6TOT # Total value of inventories #;
V0GNE # GNE from expenditure side #;
V0GDPEXP # GDP from expenditure side #;
Formula
(all,c,COM) V0CIF(c) = V0IMP(c) - V0TAR(c);
V0CIF_C = sum{c,COM, V0CIF(c)};
V0IMP_C = sum{c,COM, V0IMP(c)};
V2TOT_I = sum{i,IND, V2TOT(i)};
V4TOT = sum{c,COM,V4PUR(c)}
V5TOT = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC,V5PUR(c,s)}};
V6TOT = sum{c,COM, sum{s,SRC, V6BAS(c,s)}};
V0GNE = V3TOT + V2TOT_I + V5TOT + V6TOT;
V0GDPEXP = V0GNE + V4TOT - V0CIF_C;
Variable
x2tot_i # Aggregate real investment expenditure #;
p2tot_i # Aggregate investment price index #;
w2tot_i # Aggregate nominal investment #;
Equation
E_x2tot_i V2TOT_I*x2tot_i = sum{i,IND, V2TOT(i)*x2tot(i)};
E_p2tot_i V2TOT_I*p2tot_i = sum{i,IND, V2TOT(i)*p2tot(i)};
E_w2tot_i w2tot_i = x2tot_i + p2tot_i;
Variable
x4tot # Export volume index #;
p4tot # Exports price index, local currency #;
w4tot # Local currency border value of exports #;
Equation
E_x4tot V4TOT*x4tot = sum{c,COM, V4PUR(c)*x4(c)};
E_p4tot V4TOT*p4tot = sum{c,COM, V4PUR(c)*p4(c)};
E_w4tot w4tot = x4tot + p4tot;
```

```
Variable
x5tot \# Aggregate real government demands \#;
p5tot \# Government price index \#;
w5tot \# Aggregate nominal value of government demands \#;
Equation
E_x5tot V5TOT*x5tot \(=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SRC}, \operatorname{V5PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * x 5(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}\}\);
E_p5tot V5TOT*p5tot \(=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{SRC}, \operatorname{V5PUR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * \mathrm{p} 5(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}\}\);
E_w5tot \(\quad w 5\) tot \(=x 5\) tot +p 5 tot;
Variable
x6tot \# Aggregate real inventories \#;
p6tot \# Inventories price index \#;
w6tot \# Aggregate nominal value of inventories \#;
Equation
E_x6tot \(\left[\right.\) TINY+V6TOT] \({ }^{*}\) x6tot \(=100 *\) sum \(\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{SRC}, \operatorname{LEVP} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * \operatorname{delx} 6(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}\}\);
E_p6tot \([\) TINY+V6TOT] \(*\) p6tot \(=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SRC}, \mathrm{V} 6 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}) * \mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s})\}\}\);
E_w6tot w6tot = x6tot + p6tot;
Variable
x0cif_c \# Import volume index, C.I.F. weights \#;
p0cif_c \# Imports price index, C.I.F., local currency \#;
w0cif_c \# C.I.F. local currency value of imports \#;
Equation
E_x0cif_c V0CIF_C*x0cif_c = sum\{c,COM, V0CIF(c)*x0imp(c)\};
E_p0cif_c V0CIF_C*p0cif_c = sum\{c,COM, V0CIF(c)*[phi+pf0cif(c)]\};
E_w0cif_c w0cif_c = x0cif_c + p0cif_c;
Variable !section added Oct 2002!
x0gne \# Real GNE from expenditure side \#;
p0gne \# GNE price index, expenditure side \#;
w0gne \# Nominal GNE from expenditure side \#;
Equation
E_x0gne V0GNE*x0gne = V3TOT*x3tot + V2TOT_I*x2tot_i + V5TOT*x5tot +V6TOT*x6tot;
E_p0gne V0GNE*p0gne = V3TOT*p3tot + V2TOT_I*p2tot_i + V5TOT*p5tot +V6TOT*p6tot;
E_w0gne w0gne \(=x 0 g n e+p 0 g n e\);
Variable
x0gdpexp \# Real GDP from expenditure side \#;
p0gdpexp \# GDP price index, expenditure side \#;
w0gdpexp \# Nominal GDP from expenditure side \#;
Equation
E_x0gdpexp x0gdpexp = [1/V0GDPEXP]*[V3TOT*x3tot + V2TOT_I*x2tot_i + V5TOT*x5tot
    + V6TOT*x6tot + V4TOT*x4tot - V0CIF_C*x0cif_c];
E_p0gdpexp p0gdpexp \(=[1 / \mathrm{V} 0 \mathrm{GDPEXP}] *[V 3 T O T *\) p3tot + V2TOT_I*p2tot_i + V5TOT*p5tot
    + V6TOT*p6tot + V4TOT*p4tot - V0CIF_C*p0cif_c];
E_w0gdpexp w0gdpexp \(=\) x0gdpexp + p0gdpexp;
```

Superficially, price and quantity components such as p2tot_i and x2tot_i resemble the price and quantity indices which arise from the nested production functions of agents. The latter Divisia indices arise from homothetic functional forms. However, the model contains no analogous function to aggregate investment quantities across industries. Similarly, our definition of real consumption is not derived from the household utility function. We use these price-quantity decompositions only as convenient summary measures ${ }^{17}$.

[^12]It is an accounting identity that GDP from the expenditure and income sides must be equal, both in the levels and in percentage changes. That is:

V0GDPEXP $\equiv$ V0GDPINC, and $w 0$ gdpexp $\equiv$ w0gdpinc.
Nonetheless, we find it useful to compute and print these values separately as a check on the model's accounting relations.

### 4.24. The trade balance and other aggregates

Because zero is a plausible base-period value, the balance of trade is computed in the first equation in Excerpt 29 as an ordinary change (delB), not a percentage change. We avoid choosing units by expressing this change as a fraction of GDP. Note that delB is the [change in the] ratio of two nominal variables.

The next three equations measure percentage changes in imports at tariff-inclusive prices. Finally, the excerpt contains measures of percentage changes in the terms of trade and the real exchange rate.
! Excerpt 29 of TABLO input file: !
! Trade balance and other indices !
Variable
(change) delB \# (Nominal balance of trade)/\{nominal GDP \} \#; x0imp_c \# Import volume index, duty-paid weights \#; w0imp_c \# Value of imports plus duty \#; p0imp_c \# Duty-paid imports price index, local currency \#; p0realdev \# Real devaluation \#; p0toft \# Terms of trade \#;
Equation
E_delB 100*V0GDPEXP*delB=V4TOT*w4tot -V0CIF_C*w0cif_c-[V4TOT-V0CIF_C]*w0gdpexp;
E_x0imp_c x0imp_c = sum $\left\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM},\left[\mathrm{V} 0 \mathrm{IMP}(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{V} 0 \mathrm{IMP}\right.\right.$ _C] $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{x} 0 \mathrm{imp}(\mathrm{c})\right\}$;
E_p0imp_c p0imp_c = sum $\left\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM},\left[\mathrm{V} 0 \mathrm{IMP}(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{V} 0 I M P \_C\right] * \mathrm{p} 0(\mathrm{c}\right.$, "imp") $\}$;
E_w0imp_c w0imp_c = x0imp_c + p0imp_c;
E_p0toft p0toft = p4tot - p0cif_c;
E_p0realdev p0realdev = p0cif_c - p0gdpexp;

### 4.25. Primary factor aggregates

Excerpt 30 begins by computing some quantity measures of employment, capital usage, and GDP at factor cost. These aggregates indicate the aggregate productive capacities of the relevant factors. For example, in computing the aggregate employment measures, we use wage-bill weights, reflecting the relative marginal products of different workers. Different aggregates could be defined, using as weights hours worked, or numbers employed ${ }^{18}$.

Next are computed three indices of primary factor prices.

```
! Excerpt 30 of TABLO input file: !
! Primary factor aggregates !
Variable
(all,i,IND) employ(i) # Employment by industry #;
employ_i # Aggregate employment: wage bill weights #;
x1cap_i # Aggregate capital stock, rental weights #;
x1lnd_i # Aggregate land stock, rental weights #;
x1prim_i # Aggregate effective primary factor use #;
xgdpfac # Real GDP at factor cost (inputs) = x1 prim_i #;
plprim_i # Index of factor cost #;
pllab_io # Average nominal wage #;
realwage # Average real wage #;
p1cap_i # Average capital rental #;
```

[^13]```
p1lnd_i \# Average land rental \#;
Equation
E_employ (all,i,IND) V1LAB_O(i)*employ(i) = sum\{o,OCC, V1LAB(i,o)*x1lab(i,o) \};
E_employ_i V1LAB_IO*employ_i = sum\{i,IND, V1LAB_O(i)*employ(i)\};
E_x1cap_i V1CAP_I*x1cap_i = sum\{i,IND, V1CAP(i)*x1cap(i)\};
E_x1lnd_i ID01[V1LND_I]*x1lnd_i = sum\{i,IND, V1LND(i)*x1lnd(i)\};
E_x1prim_i V1PRIM_I*x1prim_i = sum\{i,IND, V1PRIM(i)*x1prim(i)\};
E_xgdpfac xgdpfac \(=\left[1 /\right.\) V1PRIM_I \({ }^{*}\)
    [V1LAB_IO*employ_i + V1CAP_I*x1cap_i + V1LND_I*x1lnd_i];
E_p1prim_i V1PRIM_I*p1prim_i = sum\{i,IND, V1PRIM(i)*p1prim(i)\};
E_p1lab_io V1LAB_IO*p1lab_io = sum\{i,IND, sum\{o,OCC, V1LAB(i,o)*p1lab(i,o) \}\};
E_realwage realwage = p1lab_io - p3tot;
E_p1cap_i V1CAP_I*p1cap_i = sum\{i,IND, V1CAP(i)*p1cap(i)\};
E_p1lnd_i ID01[V1LND_I]*p1lnd_i = sum\{i,IND, V1LND(i)*p1lnd(i)\};
```


### 4.26. Rates of return and investment

Excerpt 31 contains equations determining x2tot, the amount of new capital stock created for each industry. In recent years, ORANI has evolved into a dynamic model (MONASH) and the specification of investment behaviour has been in a state of flux. ORANI-G contains 3 alternate investment rules, one of which will be active for each industry. The active rule either determines industry investment, or (if aggregate investment is fixed) it determines that industry's share in aggregate investment.

Rule 1 relates the creation of new capital stock in each industry to profitability in that industry. It follows the original ORANI computer model. For a fuller explanation, the reader is referred to DPSV, Section 19. The effect of the equation is that industries which become more profitable (gret(i) rising) attract more investment (higher ggro(i)).

Equation E_finv1 relates the investment/capital ratio to the rate of return (relative to the variable invslack, which is interpretable here as an economy-wide rate of return). It is to be interpreted as a riskrelated relationship with relatively fast- (slow-) growing industries requiring premia (accepting discounts) on their rates of return. If exogenous, the variable finv1(i) could be shocked to allow for additional shifts in investment. If, for some industry, finv1(i) is endogenous (and $x 2 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})$ is determined in some other way), equation E_finv1 serves only to determine finv1(i), which appears in no other equation.

Rule 2, given by Equation E_finv2, may be used to determine investment in those industries for which Rule 1 is deemed inappropriate. These might be industries where investment is determined by government policy. For such an industry the corresponding element of finv2 should be exogenous, causing that industry's investment to follow the national trend. For the other industries, E_finv2 just determines finv2.

Rule 3, given by Equation E_finv3, is intended for long run simulations. For an industry with fixed finv3, it fixes gross capital growth rates (ggro=I/K ratios). The effect is that investment follows the industry capital stock.

If the variable invslack is exogenous, the preceding equations will determine investment by industry, and therefore in aggregate. However, many short-run simulations have aggregate investment exogenous. This is made possible by leaving the variable invslack endogenous, with x2tot_i exogenous. Or, using equation E_f2tot, link aggregate investment to aggregate real consumption by holding f2tot exogenous with invslack endogenous.

[^14]The last equation of Excerpt 31 may be used to enforce the rule that capital is fixed in aggregate, yet freely mobile between sectors. In this case, real returns to capital, gret(i), move together (with capslack).

### 4.27. The labour market

Like ORANI, ORANI-G contains no theory of labour supply. Users of the model have the option of setting employment exogenously, with market-clearing wage rates determined endogenously, or setting wage rates (real or nominal) exogenously, allowing employment to be demand determined.

In Excerpt 32, equation E_xllab_i computes changes in the aggregate demand for labour of each skill. Equation E_pllab is a wage setting equation. In the standard ORANI short-run closure, the 'f1lab' variables are exogenous, so that all wages are indexed to the CPI. Then, if shocked, the 'f1lab' variables allow for deviations in the growth of some or all wages relative to the growth of the CPI. This short-run labour market closure was originally devised to reflect the centralised wage-fixing mechanisms that used to operate in Australia. However, it has proven popular in quite different contexts. It assumes that labour is mobile between industries, and that supply of each skill type is elastic.

As a variant on the standard shortrun closure, the average nominal wage, p1lab_io, may be fixed, while endogenizing the overall wage shifter, f1lab_io. The effect will be to fix all the p1lab, giving the model a Keynesian flavour.

The typical long-run closure sets employ_i (aggregate employment: wage bill weights) exogenous, while endogenizing the overall wage shifter, f1lab_io. The other 'f1lab' variables remain exogenous, reflecting fixed wage relativities. This assumes that labour is mobile between industries and occupations.

These labour-market modelling decisions are usually made at an economy-wide level, but could be applied individually and perhaps differentially to different industries or types of labour. For example, we could exogenize the supply of skilled workers and the wages of unskilled workers. Or, we could exogenize employment in agriculture and wages in other sectors ${ }^{19}$.

In the standard long-run and short-run closures, wage relativities are fixed, so firms do not substitute between labour of different types. Thus the values of SIGMA1LAB, the CES substitution elasticity between skill types, do not affect simulation results. This is handy for the modeller who is agnostic about those values (econometric evidence is scant). The more exotic labour market closures, which do allow wage relativities to change, focus attention on the values assumed for SIGMA1LAB.

```
! Excerpt 32 of TABLO input file: !
! Labour market!
Variable
(all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC) f1lab(i,o) # Wage shift variable #;
    (all,o,OCC) fllab_i(o) # Occupation-specific wage shifter #;
    (all,o,OCC) x1lab_i(o) # Employment by occupation #;
(all,i,IND) fllab_o(i) # Industry-specific wage shifter #;
            f1lab_io # Overall wage shifter #;
Coefficient (all,o,OCC) V1LAB_I(o) # Total wages, occupation o #;
Formula (all,o,OCC) V1LAB_I(o) = sum{i,IND, V1LAB(i,o)};
Equation
E_x1lab_i # Demand equals supply for labour of each skill #
    (all,o,OCC) V1LAB_I(o)*x1lab_i(o) = sum{i,IND, V1LAB(i,o)*x1lab(i,o)};
E_pllab # Flexible setting of money wages #
    (all,i,IND)(all,o,OCC)
    p1lab(i,o)= p3tot + f1lab_io + f1lab_o(i) + f1lab_i(o) + f1lab(i,o);
Variable (all,o,OCC) p1lab_i(o) # Average wage of occupation #;
Equation E_p1lab_i # Average wage of occupation #
    (all,o,OCC) V1LAB_I(o)*p1lab_i(o)= sum{i,IND, V1LAB(i,o)*p1lab(i,o)};
```


### 4.28. Miscellaneous equations

Equation E_ploct in Excerpt 33 allows for indexation of the unit price of 'other costs' to the CPI. The variable floct(i) can be interpreted as the percentage change in the real price of 'other costs' to industry i.

[^15]Equation E_f3tot implements a simple, optional, consumption function. If f3tot is exogenous, the equation links household spending to nominal GDP. Otherwise, there is no effect on the rest of the system, since the variable f3tot appears nowhere else.

The next two equations define two vector variables, p0dom and p0imp, which are simply equal to the corresponding columns of the basic price matrix, p 0 . They are included to facilitate the viewing of simulation results: in that situation, vectors are often more convenient to work with than are matrices.

The equations described thus far define the main part of ORANI-G. The remaining excerpts of the TABLO input file contain supplementary material which does not directly affect simulation results.

```
! Excerpt 33 of TABLO input file: !
! Miscellaneous equations !
Variable (all,i,IND) floct(i)# Shift in price of "other cost" tickets #;
Equation E_ploct # Indexing of prices of "other cost" tickets #
(all,i,IND) ploct(i) = p3tot + floct(i); ! assumes full indexation !
Variable f3tot # Ratio, consumption/ GDP #;
Equation E_f3tot # Consumption function #
w3tot = w0gdpexp + f3tot;
!Map between vector and matrix forms of basic price variables !
Variable
(all,c,COM) p0imp(c) # Basic price of imported goods = p0(c,"imp") #;
Equation E_p0dom # Basic price of domestic goods = p0(c,"dom") #
(all,c,COM) p0dom(c) = p0(c,"dom");
Equation E_p0imp # Basic price of imported goods = p0(c,"imp") #
(all,c,COM) p0imp(c) = p0(c,"imp");
```


### 4.29. Adding variables for explaining results

Part of the ORANI tradition is that simulation results, although voluminous, must all be capable of verbal explanation based on model equations and data. It is customary to examine and present results in great detail. The aim is to dispel any tendency to treat the model as a black box. These detailed analyses sometimes yield theoretical insights; for example, we may find that some mechanism which we thought to be of minor significance exerts a dominant force in certain sectors. More often we discover errorseither in the data or in the model equations. Inappropriate theory may also lead to implausible results.

Results analysis, then, is an indispensable (but laborious) part of quality control for an economic model. To make it less painful, we often add equations and variables merely to help explain results. The next two excerpts are examples of this type of addition.

### 4.30. Sales decomposition

The sales decomposition, implemented in Excerpt 34, breaks down the percentage change in the total sales of each commodity into various intermediate and final demand categories. This would be useful, for example, if we wondered whether an increase in motor vehicle output was due to increases in either investment or household demand.

To decompose a percentage change in this way (i.e., find parts which add up to the whole), we have to perform some arithmetical manipulations. Suppose we have a variable X which is the sum of 2 parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{PX}=\mathrm{PA}+\mathrm{PB}(\text { where } \mathrm{P} \text { is a common price }) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for small percentage changes, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\text { conta }+ \text { contb } \quad \text { where conta }=(\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{PX}) \mathrm{a} \text { and } \text { contb }=(\mathrm{PB} / \mathrm{PX}) \mathrm{b} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call conta and contb the contributions of A and B to the percentage change in X .
For larger changes, which require a multistep computation, equation (36) would result in values for conta and contb which did not quite add up to the total percentage change in $\mathrm{X}^{20}$. To avoid this, it is useful to specify both conta and contb as ordinary change variables and to define a new ordinary change

[^16]variable, q , in such a way that the final result for q (after results for several computational steps have been acccumulated) is identical to that for $x$. This leads to the small change equation:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{0} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Xx} \quad \text { where } \mathrm{X}^{0} \text { is the initial value of } \mathrm{X} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and to the revised decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}=\text { conta+ contb } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where conta $=\left(\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{PX}^{0}\right) \mathrm{a}$ and contb $=\left(\mathrm{PB} / \mathrm{PX}^{0}\right) \mathrm{b}$
In Excerpt 34, INITSALES is the initial quantity of SALES measured in current prices, analogous to $\mathrm{PX}^{0}$ above. The last, Total, column of the SalesDecomp variable is the sum of the preceding 7 and should be identical to $\mathrm{x} 0 \mathrm{com}(\mathrm{c})$, the percentage change in commodity output. Each of the first 7 columns shows how some demand category contributed to x0com. The delSale variable, calculated earlier, contains the ordinary changes in quantities, measured in current prices.
! Excerpt 34 of TABLO input file: !
! Decomposition of sales change by destination !
Coefficient
(all,c,COM) INITSALES(c) \# Initial volume of SALES at current prices \#;
Formula
(initial) (all,c,COM) INITSALES(c) = SALES(c);
Update (all, c,COM) INITSALES (c) $=$ p0com $(\mathrm{c})$;
Set DESTPLUS \# Sale Categories \#
(Interm, Invest, HouseH, Export, GovGE, Stocks, Margins, Total);
Subset DEST is subset of DESTPLUS;
Variable (change) (all,c,COM)(all,d,DESTPLUS) SalesDecomp(c,d) \# Sales decomposition \#; Equation
E_SalesDecompA
(all,c,COM)(all,d,DEST) INITSALES(c)*SalesDecomp(c,d) $=100 *$ delSale(c,"dom",d);
E_SalesDecompB
(all,c,COM) SalesDecomp(c,"Total")= sum\{d,DEST, SalesDecomp(c,d) ;

### 4.31. The Fan decomposition

Suppose our simulation predicts an increase in domestic production of Textiles. This could be due to three causes:

- the local market effect: an increase in local usage of Textiles, whether domestically-produced or imported;
- the export effect: an increase in exports of Textiles; or
- the domestic share effect: a shift in local usage of Textiles, from imported to domestically-produced. Very often these 3 effects will work in different directions; for example, a increase in foreign demand might pull local producers up the supply curve, so increasing the domestic price and facilitating import penetration. The decomposition of $\mathrm{Fan}^{21}$ aims to show the relative magnitude of these 3 contributions to output change.

Excerpt 35 starts by defining x0loc, the percentage change in local sales from both sources. Equation E_fandecompA says that this percentage, weighted by the value of local domestic sales, is the local market component of the percentage change in domestic production. Similarly, equation E_fandecompB defines the export component. In these equations INITSALES corresponds to the term $\mathrm{PX}^{0}$ in equation (39): it is the initial value of sales, updated only by the change in price. Equation E_fandecompC defines the domestic share component as a residual ${ }^{22}$. Finally, equation E_fandecompD corresponds to equation (37).

[^17]```
! Excerpt 35 of TABLO input file: !
! Decomposition of Fan!
Set FANCAT # Parts of Fan decomposition #
(LocalMarket, DomShare, Export, Total);
Variable
(all,c,COM) x0loc(c) # Real percent change in LOCSALES (dom+imp) #;
(change)(all,c,COM)(all,f,FANCAT) fandecomp(c,f) # Fan decomposition #;
Coefficient (all,c,COM) LOCSALES(c) # Total local sales of dom + imp good c #;
Formula (all,c,COM) LOCSALES(c) = DOMSALES(c) + V0IMP(c);
Equation
E_x0loc # %Growth in local market #
    (all,c,COM) LOCSALES(c)*x0loc(c) = DOMSALES(c)*x0dom(c) + V0IMP(c)*x0imp(c);
E_fandecompA # Growth in local market effect #
    (all,c,COM) INITSALES(c)*fandecomp(c,"LocalMarket") = DOMSALES(c)*x0loc(c);
! The local market effect is the % change in output that would have occurred
if local sales of the domestic product had followed dom+imp sales (x0loc)!
E_fandecompB # Export effect #
(all,c,COM) INITSALES(c)*fandecomp(c,"Export") = V4BAS(c)*x4(c);
E_fandecompC # Import leakage effect - via residual #
(all,c,COM) fandecomp(c,"Total") = fandecomp(c,"LocalMarket")
    + fandecomp(c,"DomShare") + fandecomp(c,"Export");
E_fandecompD # Fan total = x0com #
(all,c,COM) INITSALES(c)*fandecomp(c,"Total") = SALES(c)*x0com(c);
```


### 4.32. The expenditure side GDP decomposition

Excerpt 36 breaks down changes in real GDP into the contributions of the main expenditure-side aggregates (contGDPexp). This enables us to quickly see how much of the change in real expenditureside GDP is due, say, to a change in aggregate investment. The mathematics is the same as that of the Sales decomposition in Excerpt 34. For convenience, the contributions of exports and imports are combined into the variable contBOT. Note that contBOT, like each component of contGDPexp, is a real contribution: it takes no account of changes in export or import prices. It is the same (apart from units of measurement) as the $\Delta \mathrm{B}$ variable in the original ORANI model.
$\left(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{X}^{0}\right) \mathrm{a}$ and $\left(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{X}^{0}\right) \mathrm{b}$. However, the cumulative results of these contributions can be defined only as a path integral of the contribution terms computed at each solution step. Hence they are not (quite) invariant to the details of our solution procedure. See also footnote 17.

```
! Excerpt 36 of TABLO input file: !
! GDP decomposition !
Set EXPMAC # Expenditure Aggregates #
(Consumption, Investment, Government, Stocks, Exports, Imports);
Variable (change) (all,e,EXPMAC)
    contGDPexp(e) # Contributions to real expenditure-side GDP #;
Coefficient INITGDP # Initial real GDP at current prices #;
Formula (initial) INITGDP = V0GDPEXP;
Update INITGDP = p0gdpexp;
Equation
    E_contGDPexpA INITGDP*contGDPexp("Consumption") = V3TOT*x3tot;
    E_contGDPexpB INITGDP*contGDPexp("Investment") = V2TOT_I*x2tot_i;
    E_contGDPexpC INITGDP*contGDPexp("Government") = V5TOT*x5tot;
    E_contGDPexpD INITGDP*contGDPexp("Stocks") = V6TOT*x6tot;
    E_contGDPexpE INITGDP*contGDPexp("Exports") = V4TOT*x4tot;
    E_contGDPexpF INITGDP*contGDPexp("Imports") = - V0CIF_C*x0cif_c;
Variable (change) contBOT # Contribution of BOT to real expenditure-side GDP #;
Equation E_contBOT contBOT = contGDPexp("Exports") + contGDPexp("Imports");
Variable x0gdpinc # Decomposition of real GDP from income side #;
Equation E_x0gdpinc
    # Real GDP from the income side #
x0gdpinc = [1/V0GDPINC]*[
! primary factor contributions !
V1LAB_IO*employ_i + V1CAP_I*x1cap_i + V1LND_I*x1lnd_i
! indirect tax contributions !
    + sum{i,IND, V1OCT(i)*x1oct(i)}
    + sum{i,IND, V1PTX(i)*x1tot(i)}
    + sum{c,COM, V0TAR(c)*x0imp(c)}
    + sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V1TAX(c,s,i)*x1(c,s,i)}}}
    + sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V2TAX(c,s,i)*x2(c,s,i)}}}
    + sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,V3TAX(c,s)*x3(c,s)}}
    + sum{c,COM, V4TAX(c)*x4(c)}
    + sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, V5TAX(c,s)*x5(c,s)}}
! technical change contributions !
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V1PUR(c,s,i)*[a1(c,s,i)+a1_s(c,i)]}}}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC, sum{i,IND, V2PUR(c,s,i)*[a2(c,s,i)+a2_s(c,i)]}}}
    - sum{i,IND, V1LAB_O(i)*a1lab_o(i)}
    - sum{i,IND, V1CAP(i)*a1cap(i)}
    - sum{i,IND, V1LND(i)*a1lnd(i)}
    - sum{i,IND, V1OCT(i)*aloct(i)}
    - sum{i,IND, V1PRIM(i)*alprim(i)}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{i,IND,sum{m,MAR, V1MAR(c,s,i,m)*a1mar(c,s,i,m)}}}}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{i,IND,sum{m,MAR, V2MAR(c,s,i,m)*a2mar(c,s,i,m)}}}}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{m,MAR,V3MAR(c,s,m)*a3mar(c,s,m)}}}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{m,MAR, V4MAR(c,m)*a4mar(c,m)}}
    - sum{c,COM,sum{s,SRC,sum{m,MAR, V5MAR(c,s,m)*a5mar(c,s,m)}}}
    - sum{i,IND, V2TOT(i)*a2tot(i)}
    - sum{i,IND, V1CST(i)*altot(i)}];
```

The second part of Excerpt 36 breaks down changes in real GDP from the income side: into the contributions due to primary factor usage, indirect taxes, and technological change. If primary factor endowments and technology are fixed, the indirect tax terms may be identified with changes in allocative efficiency.

### 4.33. Checking the data

A model rendered in the TABLO language is a type of computer program, and like other computer programs tends to contain errors. We employ a number of strategies to prevent errors and to make errors apparent. One strategy is to check all conditions which the initial data must satisfy. This is done in Excerpt 37. The conditions are:

- The row sums of the MAKE matrix must equal the row sums of the BAS and MAR rows of Figure 4. That is, the output of domestically produced commodities must equal the total of the demands for them.
- The column sums of the MAKE matrix must equal the sum of the first, producers', column of Figure 4. That is, the value of output by each industry must equal the total of production costs.
- The average value of the household expenditure elasticities, EPS, should be one. The average should be computed using the expenditure weights, V3PUR_S.
To check these conditions, the items PURE_PROFITS, LOST_GOODS, and EPSTOT are stored on the SUMMARY file. Their values should be near to zero (or one, for EPSTOT).

The Assertion statements which come next enforce the above rules. If the specified condition is not met during some simulation, GEMPACK will stop with an error message indicating which condition is not satisfied. The first two Assertions are checked before every stage of a multi-step simulation. The last one, for EPSTOT, should be true before and after (but not necessarily during) a simulation.

It should be emphasized that the validity of percentage change equations depends on the validity of the data from which the equation coefficients are calculated. The GEMPACK solution method must start from a database which is consistent, in the levels, with all the equations.

There are other formal tests which can reveal errors in model formulation. These are set out in Appendix I.

```
! Excerpt 37 of TABLO input file: !
! Check identities !
Coefficient ! coefficients for checking!
(all,i,IND) DIFFIND(i) # COSTS-MAKE_C : should be zero #;
(all,c,COM) DIFFCOM(c) # SALES-MAKE_I : should be zero #;
        EPSTOT # Average Engel elasticity: should = 1 #;
Formula
(all,i,IND) DIFFIND(i) = V1TOT(i) - MAKE_C(i);
(all,c,COM) DIFFCOM(c) = SALES(c) - MAKE_I(c);
        EPSTOT = sum{c,COM, S3_S(c)*EPS(c)};
```

Write ! we file these numbers BEFORE the assertions below !
DIFFIND to file SUMMARY header "DIND";
DIFFCOM to file SUMMARY header "DCOM";
EPSTOT to file SUMMARY header "ETOT";

Assertion ! if below not true, program will stop with message !
\# DIFFIND = V1TOT-MAKE_C = tiny \# (all,i,IND) ABS[DIFFIND(i)/V1TOT(i)] <0.001;
\# DIFFCOM = SALES-MAKE_I = tiny \# (all,c,COM) ABS[DIFFCOM(c)/SALES(c)] <0.001;
(initial) \# Average Engel elasticity $=1$ \# ABS[1-EPSTOT] <0.001;

### 4.34. Summarizing the data

The next few Excerpts collect together various summaries of the data and store these on file in a form that is convenient for later viewing. These summaries are useful for checking the plausibility of data and for explaining simulation results. Excerpt 38 groups into vectors the various components of, first, GDP from the expenditure side; second, GDP from the income side; and third, the components of total indirect taxes ${ }^{23}$.
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! Excerpt 38 of TABLO input file: !
! Summary: components of GDP from income and expenditure sides !
Coefficient (all,e,EXPMAC) EXPGDP(e) # Expenditure Aggregates #;
Formula
    EXPGDP("Consumption") = V3TOT;
    EXPGDP("Investment") = V2TOT_I;
    EXPGDP("Government") = V5TOT;
    EXPGDP("Stocks") = V6TOT;
    EXPGDP("Exports") = V4TOT;
    EXPGDP("Imports") = -V0CIF_C;
Write EXPGDP to file SUMMARY header "EMAC";
Set INCMAC # Income Aggregates #
(Land, Labour, Capital, IndirectTax);
Coefficient (all,i,INCMAC) INCGDP(i) # Income Aggregates #;
Formula
    INCGDP("Land") = V1LND_I;
    INCGDP("Labour") = V1LAB_IO;
    INCGDP("Capital") = V1CAP_I;
    INCGDP("IndirectTax") = V0TAX_CSI;
Write INCGDP to file SUMMARY header "IMAC";
Set TAXMAC # Tax Aggregates #
    (Intermediate,Investment,Consumption,Exports,Government,OCT,ProdTax,Tariff);
Coefficient (all,t,TAXMAC) TAX(t) # Tax Aggregates #;
Formula
    TAX("Intermediate") = V1TAX_CSI;
    TAX("Investment") = V2TAX_CSI;
    TAX("Consumption") = V3TAX_CS;
    TAX("Exports") = V4TAX_C;
    TAX("Government") = V5TAX_CS;
    TAX("OCT") = V1OCT_I;
    TAX("ProdTax") = V1PTX_I;
    TAX("Tariff") = V0TAR_C;
    Write TAX to file SUMMARY header "TMAC";
```

Excerpt 39 forms a matrix showing the main parts of production cost for each industry.
! Excerpt 39 of TABLO input file: !
! Summary: matrix of industry costs !
Set COSTCAT \# Cost Categories \#
(IntDom, IntImp, Margin, ComTax, Lab, Cap, Lnd, ProdTax, OCT);
Coefficient (all,i,IND)(all,co,COSTCAT) COSTMAT(i,co) \# Cost Matrix \#;

## Formula

(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"IntDom") $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}$, "dom",i) $\}$;
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"IntImp") $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{BAS}(\mathrm{c}$, "imp",i) $\}$;
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"Margin") =
$\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SRC}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{MAR}, \operatorname{V1MAR}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})\}\}\} ;$
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"ComTax") $=\operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COM}, \operatorname{sum}\{\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{SRC}, \operatorname{V1TAX}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i})\}\}$;
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"Lab") = V1LAB_O(i);
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"Cap") = V1CAP(i);
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"Lnd") = V1LND(i);
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"ProdTax") = V1PTX(i);
(all,i,IND) COSTMAT(i,"OCT") = V1OCT(i);
Write COSTMAT to file SUMMARY header "CSTM";
with ViewHAR. ViewHAR automatically calculates and displays subtotals, so that total GDP, for example, does not need to be included in the summary vectors defined here.

Excerpt 40 calculates for each main destination, the basic, margin, and commodity tax components of purchases by commodity and source.

```
! Excerpt 40 of TABLO input file: !
! Summary: basic, margins and taxes !
Set
SALECAT2 # SALE Categories # (Interm, Invest, HouseH, Export, GovGE, Stocks);
FLOWTYPE # Type of flow # (Basic, Margin, TAX);
Coefficient
(all,c,COM)(all,f,FLOWTYPE)(all,s,SRC)(all,sa,SALECAT2) SALEMAT2(c,f,s,sa)
    # Basic, margin and tax components of purchasers' values #;
Formula
(all,c,COM)(all,f,FLOWTYPE)(all,s,SRC)(all,sa,SALECAT2) SALEMAT2(c,f,s,sa)=0;
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic",s,"Interm") = sum{i,IND,V1BAS(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Tax" ,s,"Interm") = sum{i,IND,V1TAX(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Margin",s,"Interm") =
    sum{i,IND, sum{m,MAR, V1MAR(c,s,i,m)}};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic",s,"Invest") = sum{i,IND,V2BAS(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Tax" ,s,"Invest") = sum{i,IND,V2TAX(c,s,i)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Margin",s,"Invest") =
    sum{i,IND, sum{m,MAR, V2MAR(c,s,i,m)}};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic",s,"HouseH") = V3BAS(c,s);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Tax" ,s,"HouseH") = V3TAX(c,s);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Margin",s,"HouseH")= sum{m,MAR,V3MAR(c,s,m)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic",s,"GovGE") = V5BAS(c,s);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Tax" ,s,"GovGE") = V5TAX(c,s);
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Margin",s,"GovGE")= sum{m,MAR,V5MAR(c,s,m)};
(all,c,COM) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic","dom","Export") = V4BAS(c);
(all,c,COM) SALEMAT2(c,"Tax" ,"dom","Export") = V4TAX(c);
(all,c,COM) SALEMAT2(c,"Margin","dom","Export")= sum{m,MAR,V4MAR(c,m)};
(all,c,COM)(all,s,SRC) SALEMAT2(c,"Basic",s,"Stocks") = V6BAS(c,s);
Write SALEMAT2 to file SUMMARY header "MKUP";
```


### 4.35. Import shares and short-run supply elasticities

Excerpt 41 computes and stores 2 more items which help explain results. The higher is the import share IMPSHR for some commodity, the more vulnerable is the associated local industry to import competition.

Short-run simulation results are often coloured by the effects of inelastic supply schedules for capi-tal-intensive industries. We compute and store the short-run supply elasticity SUPPLYELAST to help identify such industries. The formula used is derived in Appendix J. The closely-related primary factor shares can be seen from the FACTOR matrix (using the row shares feature of the GEMPACK program ViewHAR).

```
! Excerpt 41 of TABLO input file: !
! Import shares and short-run supply elasticities
Coefficient (all,c,COM) IMPSHR(c) # Share of imports in local market #;
Formula (all,c,COM) IMPSHR(c) = V0IMP(c)/[TINY+DOMSALES(c)+V0IMP(c)];
Write IMPSHR to file SUMMARY header "MSHR";
Coefficient (all,i,IND) SUPPLYELAST(i) # Short-run supply elasticity #;
Zerodivide default 999;
Zerodivide (nonzero_by_zero) default 999;
Formula (all,i,IND) SUPPLYELAST(i)=
    SIGMA1PRIM(i)*V1LAB_O(i)*V1TOT(i)/[V1PRIM(i)*{V1CAP(i)+V1LND(i)}];
Zerodivide off;
Zerodivide (nonzero_by_zero) off;
Write SUPPLYELAST to file SUMMARY header "SRSE";
```

Set FAC \# Primary Factors \# (Lab, Cap, Lnd);
Coefficient (all,i,IND)(all,f,FAC) FACTOR(i,f) \# Primary Factor Costs \#;
Formula
(all,i,IND) FACTOR(i,"Lab") = V1LAB_O(i);
(all,i,IND) FACTOR(i,"Cap") = V1CAP(i);
(all,i,IND) FACTOR(i,"Lnd") = V1LND(i);
Write FACTOR to file SUMMARY header "FACT";

### 4.36. Storing Data for Other Computations

It is often useful to extract data from the model for other calculations. For example, we might wish to combine levels data with change results in our presentation of simulation results. Another important use of such data is in aggregating the model database. It is wise to prepare the initial model database at the highest level of disaggregation supported by available Input-Output tables. This large database can be aggregated later, if desired, to a smaller number of sectors. A specialized program, AggHAR, may ease the aggregation task.

For flows data, each item in the aggregated database is simply the sum of corresponding sectors in the original database. Parameters, however, can not normally be added together. Instead, aggregated parameters are normally weighted averages of the original parameters. The purpose of Excerpt 42 is store such weights on a file. For example, the parameter SIGMA2 (Armington elasticity between domestic and imported commodities used for investment) could be aggregated using the weight vector V2PUR_SI. Information about which weight to use for each elasticity is conveyed to AggHAR by the WAGGSET vector of strings. For example, the first element of WAGGSET,"SCET@@@@1TOT" indicates that the MAKE CET elasticities stored at header SCET on the ORANIG input data file should be aggregated using the industry output values stored at header 1TOT on the summary file ${ }^{24}$.

```
! Excerpt 42 of TABLO input file: !
! Weight vectors for use in aggregation and other calculations !
Coefficient (all,c,COM) V1PUR_SI(c) # Dom+imp intermediate purch. value #;
    (all,c,COM) V2PUR_SI(c) # Dom+imp investment purch. value #;
    (all,c,COM) V5PUR_S(c) # Dom+imp government purch. value #;
    (all,c,COM) V6BAS_S(c) # Dom+imp inventories #;
Formula (all,c,COM) V1PUR_SI(c) = sum{i,IND, V1PUR_S(c,i)};
    (all,c,COM) V2PUR_SI(c) = sum{i,IND, V2PUR_S(c,i)};
    (all,c,COM) V5PUR_S(c) = sum{s,SRC, V5PUR(c,s)};
    (all,c,COM) V6BAS_S(c) = sum{s,SRC, V6BAS(c,s)};
Write ! weight to aggregate......!
V1TOT to file SUMMARY header "1TOT";!MAKE CET elasticities at SCET !
V4PUR to file SUMMARY header "4PUR";!export elasticities at header P018!
V1LAB_O to file SUMMARY header "LAB1";!CES inter-skill elasticities at P018!
V1PRIM to file SUMMARY header "VLAD";!CES primary-factor elasts at P018!
V1PUR_SI to file SUMMARY header "1PUR";!Armington elasticities at 1ARM !
V2PUR_SI to file SUMMARY header "2PUR";!Armington elasticities at 2ARM !
V3PUR_S to file SUMMARY header "3PUR";!Armington elasticities at 2ARM !
```

Set WAGGSET \# Instructions to AGGHAR for weighted aggregation of parameters \# (
SCET@@@@1TOT,
P018@@@@4PUR,
ITEX@@@@4PUR,
XPEL@@@@3PUR,
P028@@@@VLAD,
SLAB@@@@LAB1,
xx1ARM@@1PUR,! leading "xx" is ignored by AGGHAR!
xx2ARM@@2PUR,
xx3ARM@@3PUR);
Write (set) WAGGSET to file SUMMARY header "WAGG";

[^19]```
! Other useful values !
Coefficient (all,c,COM) TARFRATE(c) \# Ad valorem tariff rate \#;
Formula (all,c,COM) TARFRATE(c) \(=\operatorname{V0TAR}(\mathrm{c}) /[\operatorname{TINY}+\operatorname{VOCIF}(\mathrm{c})]\);
Write
TARFRATE to file SUMMARY header "TRAT";
VOTAR to file SUMMARY header "0TAR";
V0CIF to file SUMMARY header "0CIF";
V0IMP to file SUMMARY header "0IMP";
MAKE to file SUMMARY header "MAKE";
V1CAP to file SUMMARY header "1CAP";
V6BAS_S to file SUMMARY header "6BSS";
V2TOT to file SUMMARY header "2TOT";
V5PUR_S to file SUMMARY header "5PUR";
```

The remainder of the excerpt simply files some other values that have proved useful.

## 5. A Top-down Regional Extension

The final excerpts of the TABLO Input file are not shown here: they implement an optional extension to ORANI-G which enables national quantity results to be broken down according to regions. The term "top-down" indicates that, in principle, we could compute all the national results first, and then compute the regional results. This approach requires less data (and computing resources) than the alternative "bottom-up" approach of constructing models like ORANI-G for each region, linked by a matrix of inter-regional trade.

The "top-down" approach is fully described in Chapter 6 of DPSV (1982). In brief, its database requires that we split each (user) column in Figure 4 into R columns (for R regions). To do this, we assume that each industry uses the same technology in each region, so that the main additional data needed is a matrix showing how industry output is distributed between regions. The remaining, final demand, columns of Figure 4, are also split by region, either by using available data, or by invoking default assumptions (eg, that household budget shares are the same across regions). A full matrix of regional trade in each commodity is not needed.

The regional equations assume that industry inputs follow the rule:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

where $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ijr}}$ is the use of input i by sector j in region $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{jr}}$ is output of sector j in region r , and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are the corresponding national equivalents. Household consumption of each good is given by a linear expenditure sytem (as at the national level), tied to regional labour income.

The regional industries are divided into 2 groups: national and local industries. National industries produce commodities that are freely traded: output of each such industry is assumed to move with the corresponding x1tot (national output). Local industries produce commodities (mainly services) that are scarcely traded across regional borders; output of each such regional industry is assumed to move in line with the local demand for the corresponding commodity ${ }^{25}$. This assumption produces local multiplier effects: those regions that specialize in expanding national industries benefit also from increased demands for local commodities.

The "top-down" regional equation system translates national simulation results into estimates of regional income and employment which are of great interest to to policy-makers. It can also be used to estimate the effects of region-specific demand shocks (such as increased investment or government spending). However, it contains no theory of regional variation in prices, and so is less capable of modelling region-specific supply effects (such as local labour shortages, or efficiency increases in one region only).

[^20]
## 6. Closing the Model

The model specified in Section 4 has more variables than equations. To close the model, we choose which variables are to be exogenous and which endogenous ${ }^{26}$. The number of endogenous variables must equal the number of equations. For a complex AGE model, it may be surprisingly difficult to find a sensible closure which satisfies this accounting restriction.

Table 2 allows us to attack the task systematically. It arranges the model's 132 equations and 179 variables according to their dimensions. Equations broken into parts, such as E_x4A (covering individual export commodities) and E_x4B (covering collective exports) are treated as one equation block for this purpose. The first column lists the various combinations of set indices that occur in the model. The second column shows how many variables have these combinations. For example, 10 variables are dimensioned by COM, SRC and IND. The third column counts equations in the same way. For example, there are 56 macro, i.e., scalar, equations.

In most straightforward closures of the model, the correspondence between equations and endogenous variables applies for each row of the table, as well as in total. The fourth column shows the difference between the preceding two, i.e, it shows how many variables of that size would normally be exogenous.

Table 2 Tally of Variables and Equations

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dimension | Variable | Equation | Exogenous | List of unexplained variables |
|  | Count | Count | Count | (Mechanical closure) |
| MACRO | 71 | 56 | 15 | f1lab_io f4p_ntrad phi f4q_ntrad q f4tax_trad f4tax_ntrad f5tot2 capslack invslack w3lux f1tax_csi f2tax_csi f3tax_cs f5tax_cs |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COM | 25 | 19 | 6 | f0tax_s tOimp a3_s f4p f4q pf0cif |
| COM*IND | 7 | 5 | 2 | a1_s a2_s |
| COM*MAR | 2 | 1 | 1 | a4mar |
| COM $*$ SRC | 14 | 11 | 3 | f5 a3 fx6 |
| COM*SRC*IND | 10 | 8 | 2 | a1 a2 |
| COM $*$ SRC*IND*MAR | 4 | 2 | 2 | a1mar a2mar |
| COM*SRC*MAR | 4 | 2 | 2 | a3mar a5mar |
| IND | 34 | 21 | 13 | a1cap a1lab_o a1Ind a1oct a1prim a1tot |
|  |  |  |  | f1lab_o f1oct x2tot x1Ind a2tot x1cap deIPTXRate |
| IND*OCC | 3 | 2 | 1 | f1lab |
| OCC | 2 | 1 | 1 | f1lab_l |
| COM*SRC*DEST | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| COM*DESTPLUS | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| COM*FANCAT | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| EXPMAC | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 179 | 132 | 47 |  |

In constructing the TABLO Input file, we chose to name each equation after the variable it seemed to explain or determine ${ }^{27}$. Some variables had no equation named after them-they appear in the fifth column. Those variables are promising candidates for exogeneity. They include:

[^21]- technical change variables, mostly beginning with the letter 'a';
- tax rate variables, mostly beginning with 't';
- shift variables, mostly beginning with 'f';
- land endowments x1lnd, and the number of households $q$;
- industry capital stocks, x1cap;
- foreign prices, pf0cif, and the investment slack variable, invslack;
- inventory to sales ratios, fx6;
- the exchange rate phi, which could serve as numeraire; and
- w3lux (household above-subsistence expenditure).

Although Column 5 contains a perfectly valid exogenous set for the model, we choose, for typical shortrun simulations, to adopt a slightly different closure. The macro variables italicised in Column 5 are swapped as follows.

- We exogenize x5tot instead of f5tot2, so disconnecting government from household consumption.
- We exogenize x2tot_i (aggregate investment), rather than invslack.
- We exogenize x3tot (household consumption), rather than w3lux.
- We exogenize delx6 (inventory changes), rather than fx6.
- We exogenize realwage (average real wage), rather than f1lab_io (overall wage shift).
- For each industry, we exogenize the corresponding element of either finv1 or finv2 in place of the x2tot vector.
These changes give rise to the closure shown in Table 3 (swapped variables are italicized).
Table 3 The ORANI short-run closure

```
Exogenous variables constraining real GDP from the supply side
x1cap x1Ind industry-specific endowments of capital and land
capslack
a1cap a1lab_o a1Ind a1prim a1tot a2tot all technological change
realwage average real wage
Exogenous settings of real GDP from the expenditure side
x3tot aggregate real private consumption expenditure
x2tot_i aggregate real investment expenditure
x5tot aggregate real government expenditure
f5 distribution of government demands
delx6 real demands for inventories by commodity
Foreign conditions: import prices fixed; export demand curves fixed in quantity and price axes
pf0cif foreign prices of imports
f4p f4q individual exports
f4p_ntrad f4q_ntrad collective exports
All tax rates are exogenous
deIPTXRATE f0tax_s f1tax_csi f2tax_csi f3tax_cs
f5tax_cs t0imp f4tax_trad f4
Distribution of investment between industries
finv1(selected industries) investment related to profits
finv2(the rest) investment follows aggregate investment
Number of households and their consumption preferences are exogenous
q
a3_s household tastes
Numeraire assumption
phi
allows industry-specific rates of return
Exogenous settings of real GDP from the expenditure side
number of households
household tastes
nominal exchange rate
```

A choice of closure reflects two different types of consideration. First, the closure is associated with our idea of the simulation timescale, that is, the period of time which would be needed for economic variables to adjust to a new equilibrium. The timescale assumption affects the way we model factor markets.

[^22]For example, in a shortrun simulation we normally hold capital stocks fixed, as in Table 3. The idea is that capital stocks take some time to install-too long for them to be affected, in the short run, by the shocks. Shortrun closures often also allow for rigidities in the labour market: in this case by holding real wages fixed. The length of the 'short' run is not explicit, but is usually thought to be between 1 and 3 years.

Second, the choice of closure is affected by the needs of a particular simulation and by our view of the most appropriate assumption for those variables that the model does not explain. For example, ORANI-G provides little theory to explain the size and composition of absorption. In Table 3 the major expenditure-side aggregates are simply held fixed. Therefore, if some shock reduced GDP, the balance of trade (which is endogenous) might move towards deficit, reflecting national dis-saving. We might wish to prevent this by fixing the balance of trade, delB, instead of, say, x3tot. This would allow us to use the endogenous x3tot as a simple index of welfare.

Table 4 A possible long-run closure

| Exogenous variables constraining real GDP from the supply side |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| gret | gross sectoral rates of return |
| capslack | allows industry-specific rates of return |
| x1Ind | industry-specific endowments of land |
| a1cap a1lab_o a1Ind a1prim a1tot a2tot | all technological change |
| employ_i | total employment - wage weights |
| Exogenous settings of real GDP from the expenditure side |  |
| delB | balance of trade/GDP |
| invslack | aggregate investment determined by industry specific rules |
| f5tot2 | link government demands to total household |
| $f 5$ | distribution of government demands |
| delx6 | real demands for inventories by commodity |
| Foreign conditions: import prices fixed; export demand curves fixed in quantity and price axes |  |
| pf0cif | foreign prices of imports |
| f4p f4q | individual exports |
| f4p_ntrad f4q_ntrad | collective exports |
| All tax rates are exogenous |  |
| delPTXRATE f0tax_s f1tax_csi f2tax_csi f3tax_cs f5 f5tax_cs t0imp f4tax_trad f4tax_ntrad f1oct |  |
| Distribution of investment between industries |  |
| finv3(selected industries) | fixed investment/capital ratios |
| finv2(the rest) | investment follows aggregate investment |
| Number of households and their consumption preferences are exogenous |  |
| q | number of households |
| a3_s | household tastes |
| Numeraire assumption |  |
| phi | nominal exchange rate |

Table 4 shows a possible longrun closure. Differences from the shortrun closure of Table 3 are shown in italics. The main differences are:

- Capital stocks are free to adjust in such a way that fixed rates of return (gret) are maintained. An open capital market is implicitly assumed, since there is no link between capital formation and domestic saving.
- Aggregate employment is fixed and the real wage adjusts. This would be consistent with the idea that both the labour force and the rate of unemployment (NAIRU) are, in the long run, determined by mechanisms outside of the model.
- Household and government expenditure move together, to accomodate a balance of trade constraint: DelB (the balance of trade as a fraction of GDP) is fixed. The idea here is that, in the long run the rest of the world might be reluctant to fund an increased trade deficit. Aggregate investment follows the aggregate capital stock.
Another possible closure, often used for small theoretical models in textbooks of international trade, is the trade-theoretic closure. This is almost the same as the long-run closure just described except that aggregate capital x1cap_i is fixed instead of the variable capslack. Rates of return then move in unison
to accomodate the x1cap_i constraint. In this closure, capital and labour are both fixed in aggregate but mobile between industries.

It should be emphasized that, particularly in a more complex model, many closures might be used for different purposes. There is not a unique natural or correct closure. On the other hand, every sensible closure must satisfy certain requirements. For example, price variables in the model's equations always appear as price ratios; it is a feature of most neo-classical models that only relative prices matter. Thus, if we have a solution of the model, we can always create another solution just by increasing all local prices by, say, $10 \%$. Therefore, for the overall price level to be determined, there has to be at least one exogenous variable measured in local currency units. This variable-there is normally just one-is called the numeraire. In Tables 3 and 4 the exchange rate, phi, is the numeraire. Another popular choice is p3tot, the CPI.

For similar reasons, there is no mechanism in ORANI-G which would determine the total size of the economy. Some quantity variables must be exogenous: these often include primary factor endowments and final demand aggregates.

In summary, ORANI-G lacks theories which explain:

- Changes in the absolute price level. We have to exogenously set either the exchange rate or some domestic price index. This setting determines, in an arbitrary way, whether changes in the real exchange rate are manifested either as changes in domestic prices or as changes in the exchange rate. However, results for real variables are unaffected by this decision.
- Labour supply. We have to exogenously set either the average wage level (real or nominal) or employment. This setting determines whether a more buoyant labour market is manifested either as higher wages or as greater employment.
- Changes in the size and composition of absorption. Either we must set these exogenously or else the trade balance must be fixed while one or more components of absorption adjust. This setting determines whether increased national income appears either as increased absorption, or alternatively as an improved balance of trade.

As well as suggesting a closure, Table 2 allows us to estimate the size of our model. With its 23 commodities, 22 industries, 2 sources, 2 margin goods and 2 occupations, the standard Australian version of ORANI-G has about 25,000 scalar variable elements and 17,000 scalar equations. A typical larger version of ORANI used for policy analysis might have 115 commodities, 113 industries, 2 sources, 9 margin goods and 8 occupations, leading to 1.3 million variables and 0.75 million equations. In its raw form, such a model would be far too big to solve. The next section explains how GEMPACK can condense a model to manageable size.

## 7. Using GEMPACK to Solve the Model

Figures 12 and 13 show, in simplified form, the main stages in the GEMPACK process. The first and largest task, the specification of the model's equations using the TABLO language, has been described at length in the previous sections. This material is contained in the ORANIG.TAB file (at top left of the figure).

The model as described so far has too many equations and variables for efficient solution. Their numbers are reduced by instructing the TABLO program to:

- omit specified variables from the system. This option is useful for variables which will be exogenous and unshocked (zero percentage change). Normally it allows us to dispense with the bulk of the technical change terms. Of course, the particular selection of omitted variables will alter in accordance with the model simulations to be undertaken.
- substitute out specified variables using specified equations. This results in fewer but more complex equations. Typically we use this method to eliminate multi-dimensional matrix variables which are defined by simple equations. For example, the equation:

Equation E_x1_s\# Demands for Commodity Composites \#
(All,c,COM)(All,i,IND) x1_s(c,i) - \{a1_s(c,i) + a1tot(i) \} = x1tot(i);
which appears in Excerpt 10 of the TABLO Input file, can be used to substitute out variable x1_s. In fact the names of the ORANI-G equations are chosen to suggest which variable each equation could eliminate. ${ }^{28}$
The variables for omission and the equation-variable pairs for substitution are listed in a second, instruction, file: ORANIG.STI.

The TABLO program converts the TAB and STI files into a FORTRAN source file, ORANIG.FOR, which contains the model-specific code needed for a solution program.

The Fortran compiler combines ORANIG.FOR with other, general-purpose, code to produce the executable program ORANIG.EXE, which can be used to solve the model specified by the user in the TAB and STI files.


Figure 12. Building a model-specific EXE file
Simulations are conducted using ORANIG.EXE. Its inputs are:

- a data file, containing input-output data and behavioural parameters. This data file contains all necessary information about the initial equilibrium.
- user input from a text (CMF) file, which specifies:
(a) which variables are to be exogenous;
(b) shocks to some exogenous variables;
(c) into how many steps the computation is divided; and
(d) the names of input and output files, and other details of the solution process.

Each simulation produces an SL4 (Solution) file. The SL4 file has a binary format: it may be viewed with the non-model-specific Windows program ViewSOL.

[^23]

Figure 13. Using the model-specific EXE to run a simulation
For small databases, the processes depicted in Figure 13 do not require a GEMPACK licence. The computer files necessary to run ORANI-G with its standard 23-sector Australian database are freely downloadable from the Internet. Modellers who wish to use larger databases with the standard model, or who wish to use ORANI-G as a starting point to build their own general equilibrium model, would require a GEMPACK licence.

Figure 14 shows a variation on the processes depicted in Figures 12 and 13. This time, TABLO has produced a GSS file. Unlike the FOR file of Figure 8, the GSS file need not be compiled: it is interpreted directly by the standard program GEMSIM. The advantages of this approach is that no FORTRAN compiler is needed and that the required GEMPACK licence is cheaper. The disadvantage is that larger models may solve only slowly, or may altogether exceed size limits built in to GEMSIM. Both methods give the same numerical results.

The programs and steps depicted in Figures 12 to 14 can be (and still are) run in the traditional manner by typing into a command prompt. In recent years various Windows "shell" programs have become available, which give model users "point-and-click" control of these processes. The oldest, WinGEM, manages the whole process: from creating or modifying a model, to running simulations. Other programs are more specialized: TABmate is used to create and edit models; while RunGEM is concerned only with running simulations. Another program, AnalyseGE, assists with analysing simulation results.

## 8. Conclusion

Our experience has been that AGE models can be fruitful and flexible vehicles for practical policy analysis. In Australia, versions of the ORANI model, which have been available since the late 1970s, have been used widely by economists in the public, private and academic sectors. The key ingredients in the process of making the model accessible to such a wide range of users have been:

- comprehensive documentation of all aspects of the model-theoretical structure, data, computational procedures and illustrative applications;
- user friendly, readily transportable and low-cost computer software-GEMPACK; and
- establishment of a pool of potential users who have acquired the necessary training as employees or graduate students within the development team, on the job in organisations (especially the Australian Productivity Commission) committed to routine use of the model, or in special training courses.


Figure 14. The GEMSIM alternative
This document is designed to extend the accessibility of ORANI-style models within the international community. It provides comprehensive documentation of a generic version of the model (ORANIG). ORANI-G can be used for comparative-static policy analysis of the Australian economy as well as for developing similar models for other countries.

A distinctive feature of the document is that its account of the theoretical structure and data of the model is framed around the precise representation which is required as input to the GEMPACK computer system. This tight integration of economic and computational aspects of the modelling is intended to allow readers to acquire a hands-on familiarity with the model. The companion files (see Appendix B) contain enough of the GEMPACK system to allow readers to conduct their own simulations with ORANI-G.

We hope that some readers will be stimulated to go beyond conducting simulations with the model described here. Our documentation could also be used as a template for the construction of models which are similar but which use different data (perhaps referring to different countries), have different dimensions or incorporate modifications to the theoretical structure. To make these steps, more of the GEMPACK system than is included with the companion files is required. Appendix $C$ explains how the necessary software can be obtained.
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## Appendix A: Percentage-Change Equations of a CES Nest

Problem: Choose inputs $X_{i}\left(i=1\right.$ to $N$ ), to minimise the cost $\sum_{i} P_{i} X_{i}$ of producing given output $Z$, subject to the CES production function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left(\sum_{i} \delta_{i} X_{i}^{-\rho}\right)^{-1 / \rho} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated first order conditions are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}=\Lambda \frac{\partial \mathrm{Z}}{\partial \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\Lambda \delta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{-(1+\rho)}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-\rho}\right)^{-(1+\rho) / \rho} . \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\delta_{\mathrm{k}}}{\delta_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)^{1+\rho}$,
or $\quad X_{i}^{-\rho}=\left(\frac{\delta_{i} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)^{-\rho /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{-\rho}$.
Substituting the above expression back into the production function we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\frac{\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\delta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right]^{\rho /(\rho+1)}\right)^{-1 / \rho} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the input demand functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\frac{\delta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\delta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right]^{\rho /(\rho+1)}\right)^{1 / \rho},  \tag{A6}\\
\text { or } \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z} \delta_{\mathrm{k}}^{1 /(\rho+1)}\left[\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ave}}}\right]^{-1 /(\rho+1)},  \tag{A7}\\
\text { where } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{1 /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\rho /(\rho+1)}\right)^{(\rho+1) / \rho} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Transforming to percentage changes (see Appendix E) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{p}_{\text {ave }}\right),  \tag{A9}\\
& \text { and } \quad \mathrm{p}_{\text {ave }}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}, \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{\rho+1} \text { and } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{1 /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\rho /(\rho+1)} / \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \delta_{\mathrm{k}}^{1 /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\rho /(\rho+1)} . \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (A7) by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z} \delta_{\mathrm{k}}^{1 /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\rho /(\rho+1)} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ave}}^{1 /(\rho+1)} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence Erro! = Erro! Erro! / Erro! Erro! Erro! = $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$,
i.e., the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of (A11) turn out to be cost shares.

## Technical Change Terms

With technical change terms, we must choose inputs $X_{i}$ so as to:
minimise $\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ subject to: $\mathrm{Z}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right]^{-\rho}\right)^{-1 / \rho}$.
Setting $\tilde{X}_{i}=\frac{X_{i}}{A_{i}}$ and $\tilde{P}_{i}=P_{i} A_{i}$ we get:
minimise $\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{i}} \tilde{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ subject to: $\mathrm{Z}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{i}} \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \backslash s(-\rho, \mathrm{i})\right)^{-1 / \rho}$,
which has the same form as problem (A1). Hence the percentage-change form of the demand equations is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma\left(\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{k}}-\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right) \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\text {ave }}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
But from (A15), $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$, giving:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}-\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ave}}\right) \tag{A19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\text {ave }}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
When technical change terms are included, we call $\widetilde{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{k}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\text {ave }}$ effective indices of input quantities and prices.

The following two sub-topics are more advanced, and could be omitted at the first reading.

## Two Input CES: Reverse Shares

Where a CES nest has only two inputs we can write (A19) and (A20) in a way which speeds up computation. Suppose we have domestic and imported inputs, with suffixes $d$ and $m$. (A19) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{d}-a_{d}=z-\sigma\left(p_{d}+a_{d}-S_{d}\left(p_{d}+a_{d}\right)-S_{m}\left(p_{m}+a_{m}\right)\right) \\
& \text { and } \quad x_{m}-a_{m}=z-\sigma\left(p_{m}+a_{m}-S_{d}\left(p_{d}+a_{d}\right)-S_{m}\left(p_{m}+a_{m}\right)\right) \tag{A21}
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right) \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad x_{m}-a_{m}=z+\sigma S_{d}\left(\left(p_{d}+a_{d}\right)-\left(p_{m}+a_{m}\right)\right)$.
In order for TABLO to substitute out x , we must express (A22) as a single vector equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}-\sigma \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right) . \quad \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~m} \tag{A23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $R_{k}$ are reverse shares, defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}-1=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}-1=-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad \text { note that } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=1 \tag{A24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A20) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \tag{A25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Twist for Two Input CES

A twist is a combination of small technical changes which, taken together, are locally cost neutral. For example, we might ask, what values for $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}$ would, in the absence of price changes, cause the ratio $\left(x_{d}-x_{m}\right)$ to increase by $t \%$ without affecting $\tilde{p}_{\text {ave }}$ ? That is, find $a_{d}$ and $a_{m}$ such that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}=0, & \text { using (A20), and } \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=(1-\sigma)\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\mathrm{t}, & \text { using (A21) } \tag{A27}
\end{array}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{t} /(1-\sigma) \text { and } \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}=-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{t} /(1-\sigma) \tag{A28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adopting reverse share notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{t} /(1-\sigma) \quad \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~m} \tag{A29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (A29) back into (A23) we get:

$$
\begin{array}{llr} 
& x_{k}=z+R_{k} t /(1-\sigma)-\sigma R_{k}\left(p_{d}-p_{m}+R_{d} t /(1-\sigma)-R_{m} t /(1-\sigma)\right) & k=d, m \\
\text { so } \quad x_{k}=z+R_{k} t-\sigma R_{k}\left(p_{d}-p_{m}\right) & k=d, m
\end{array}
$$

allowing us to rewrite (A19) and (A20) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{t}-\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right) \quad \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~m} \tag{A30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ave}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}} . \tag{A31}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure A1

Twist variables, such as $t$, are heavily used in MONASH where they are used to simulate secular (i.e., not price-induced) trends in import shares. Figure A1 shows how 'twist' variables get their name. AA is an isoquant showing what quantities of domestic and imported goods can be combined to give the same utility. The chosen combination is at X . Technical changes $a_{d}$ and $a_{m}$ translate AA both down and to the right, in such a way that BB still passes through X. It is as if AA had been twisted or pivoted around X .
A small change concept of cost neutrality is used to develop the notion of twist variables. Where budget shares change by a large amount, the same technical change cannot be cost-neutral at both initial and final input proportions, although it will usually be cost-neutral at some intermediate proportion. Thus, there are no levels formulae corresponding to (A26).

## Appendix B: ORANI-G on the World Wide Web

All the computer files mentioned in this document can be downloaded from:
http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/oranig.htm
The site also contains supplementary material used for preparing or aggregating data, plus information about some of the adaptations of ORANI-G that have been made for different countries.

## Appendix C: Hardware and Software Requirements for Using GEMPACK

The essential parts of GEMPACK can be used on most desktop and mainframe computers: wherever an ANSI-standard FORTRAN is available. Customized installation kits are available for UNIX and Windows machines. Additional visual programs (eg, ViewHAR) are available that require Microsoft Windows 95 or later. A typical GEMPACK user might run a WinXP Pentium IV with 256 Mbytes of RAM. More memory might be needed for larger models.
Details of GEMPACK products and pricing can be seen at:
http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/gempack.htm

## Appendix D: Main differences between full-size ORANI and the version described here

ORANI-G follows the original version of ORANI fairly closely. The main departures from the full-size version of ORANI described by DPSV (1982) are as follows.

- The model is more aggregated: there are only 23 commodities, 22 industries and 2 occupations (down from 114, 112 and 8).
- CRESH primary factor aggregators have been replaced by CES forms.
- The Leontief-CRETH double nest which, in ORANI, defines the commodity composition of output by joint-product industries is simplified to a single CET nest.
- Many percentage-change equations have been re-arranged-normally to avoid unnecessary computation of shares.
In addition, ORANI-G contains many new variables and equations. Most of these are used to merely to explain or report results; others allow for the use of new closures not allowed by the original model.

Table E1 Examples of Percentage-Change Forms

| Example | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original or Levels Form | Intermediate Form | Percentage-Change Form |
| 1 | $Y=4$ | $Y y=4 * 0$ | $y=0$ |
| 2 | $Y=X$ | $Y y=X x$ | $y=x$ |
| 3 | $Y=3 \mathrm{X}$ | $Y y=3 X x$ | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ |
| 4 | $Y=X Z$ | $Y y=X Z x+X Z z$ | $y=x+z$ |
| 5 | $Y=X / Z$ | $Y \mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{x}-(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{z}$ | $\begin{gathered} y=x-z \quad \text { or } \\ 100(Z) \Delta Y=X x-X z \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{M} / 4 \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{M} / 4 \mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}-\left(\mathrm{M} / 4 \mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{p}_{1}$ |
| 7 | $Y=X^{3}$ | $Y y=X^{3} 3 x$ | $y=3 x$ |
| 8 | $Y=X^{\alpha}$ | $Y y=X^{\alpha} \alpha x$ | $y=\alpha x \quad$ ( $\alpha$ assumed constant) |
| 9 | $Y=X+Z$ | $Y y=X x+Z z$ | $\begin{gathered} y=S_{x} x+S_{z} z \\ \text { where } S_{X}=X Y Y \text {, etc } \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $Y=X-Z$ | $Y y=X x-Z z$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \text { or } \\ & 100(\Delta \mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{Xx}-\mathrm{Zz} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | $P Y=P X+P Z$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PY}(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{PX}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p})+\mathrm{PZ}(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{p}) \quad \text { or } \\ \mathrm{PYy}=\mathrm{PXx}+\mathrm{PZz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y=S_{x} x+S_{z} z \\ \text { where } S_{x}=P X / P Y, \text { etc } \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{z}=\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{Zz}=\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $0=\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{z}\right)$ | $z=\Sigma s_{i} x_{i}$ where $S_{i}=x_{i} / Z$ |
| 13 | XP $=\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{XP}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p})=\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & x+\mathrm{p}=\sum \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{XP} \end{aligned}$ |

## Appendix E: Deriving Percentage-Change Forms

Using first principles, a levels equation, for example,

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{Z}
$$

is turned into percentage-change form by first taking total differentials:

$$
\mathrm{dY}=2 \mathrm{XdX}+\mathrm{dZ}
$$

Percentage changes $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z are defined via:

$$
\mathrm{y}=100 \frac{\mathrm{dY}}{\mathrm{Y}} \text { or } \mathrm{dY}=\frac{\mathrm{Yy}}{100} \text {, similarly } \mathrm{dX}=\frac{\mathrm{Xx}}{100} \text { and } \mathrm{dZ}=\frac{\mathrm{Zz}}{100} \text {. }
$$

Thus our sample equation becomes:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{Yy}}{100}=2 \mathrm{X} \frac{\mathrm{Xx}}{100}+\frac{\mathrm{Zz}}{100}, \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{Yy}=2 \mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{Zz} .
$$

In practice such formal derivations are often unnecessary. Most percentage-change equations follow standard patterns which the modeller soon recognizes. Some of these are shown in Table E1.

Column (2) in Table E1 corresponds closely to the total differential form of column (1), and may be thought of as a step on the way to column (3). Alternatively, we may use column (2) directly, either because it is simpler, or to avoid computing shares.

The 2nd alternate form in column (3) for example 10 shows how ordinary and percentage changes may be mixed. It is based on the identity $\mathrm{Y} y \equiv 100 \Delta \mathrm{Y}$. See also example 5 .

Variables can only be added or subtracted (as in examples 9 and 12) where they share the same units. In adding quantities, we can normally identify a common price (often the basic price). By multiplying through additive expressions by a common price, we can express the coefficients of percentagechange equations as functions of flows, rather than quantities, so obviating the need to define physical units (compare examples 9 and 11).

## Appendix F: Algebra for the Linear Expenditure System

The purpose of this appendix is to expand on some of the algebra underlying Excerpt 16 of the TABLO input file.

First note that, the utility function (22) in the text can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Utility per household }=\prod_{c}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c})}{\mathrm{Q}}-\operatorname{A3SUB}(\mathrm{c})\right\}^{\mathrm{S3LUX}(\mathrm{c})}, \tag{F1}
\end{equation*}
$$

using equation (27). Here, $\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{Q}$ is the average consumption of each composite good c , and $\operatorname{A3SUB}(\mathrm{c})$ is a parameter. The household's problem is to choose $\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{Q}$ to maximise utility subject to the constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c} \mathrm{X} 3 \_S(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{Q} * \mathrm{P} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{V} 3 \mathrm{TOT} / \mathrm{Q}, \tag{F2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated additional first order conditions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda \mathrm{P} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) & \left.=\frac{\partial \mathrm{U}}{\partial\left(\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{Q}\right.}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{S} 3 \mathrm{LUX}(\mathrm{c}) \cdot \mathrm{U} \cdot\left\{\frac{\mathrm{X} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c})}{\mathrm{Q}}-\operatorname{A3SUB}(\mathrm{c})\right\}^{-1} \tag{F3}
\end{align*}
$$

Manipulation (and use of equation (27)) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P3_S(c) }\left\{\mathrm{X} 3 \_S(c)-X 3 S U B(c)\right\}=S 3 L U X(c) \cdot Q \cdot U / \Lambda .  \tag{F4}\\
\text { or } & P 3 \_S(c) \cdot X 3 \_S(c)=P 3 \_S(c) \cdot X 3 S U B(c)+S 3 L U X(c) \cdot Q \cdot U / \Lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

(F5) is really the same as equations (25) to (27) in the text. The key to the simplicity of the equations there is that no attempt is made to eliminate the Lagrange multiplier term, Q.U/ $\Lambda$. Instead, the constraint (F2) is written down as part of the equation system: see (28). This implicit approach often yields
dividends. Here in the appendix we press forward more conventionally to express demands directly as a function of prices and income. Summing over c and using (F2), we see that
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{U} / \Lambda=\mathrm{V} 3 \mathrm{TOT}-\cdot \sum_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{P} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{c}) \cdot \mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{SUB}(\mathrm{c}) \tag{F6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that Q.U/ $\Lambda$ is identified as the V3LUX_C of equation (24) in the text. Equation (23) then follows from (F4) above. Combining (F4) with (F6) we get the linear expenditure system (LES):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P3_S(c).X3_S(c) }=\text { P3_S(c).X3SUB(c) } \\
& \quad+\text { S3LUX(c). }\left\{\mathrm{V} 3 \mathrm{TOT}-\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{SUB}(\mathrm{k}) * \mathrm{P} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{k})\right\} . \tag{F7}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the expenditure elasticities, we convert to percentage change form, ignoring all changes in prices and tastes:
x3_s(c) = - FRISCH.B3LUX(c). w3tot
where FRISCH is defined, by tradition, as $-\frac{\text { V3TOT }}{\text { V3LUX_C }}$,
and the B3LUX(c) are the shares of 'luxury' in total expenditure on good c ,
i.e. $\quad B 3 L U X(c)=\frac{X 3 \_S(c)-X 3 S U B(c)}{X 3 \_S(c)}$.

Thus the expenditure elasticities are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { EPS }(\mathrm{c})=- \text { FRISCH.B3LUX(c) } \tag{F9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the TABLO program, (F9) is reversed to derive B3LUX(c) from EPS(c) and FRISCH.

## Taste Change Terms

Often we wish to simulate the effect of a switch in consumer spending, induced by a taste change. This could be be brought about by a shock either to the a3lux(c) (marginal budget shares) or to the a3sub(c) (subsistence quantities). Two problems arise. First, what combination of a3sub and a3lux shocks is best? Second, the a3lux shocks must obey the rule that marginal budget shares add to 1 . To tie down the relation between the a3lux and the a3sub, we will assume that they move in proportion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{a3lux}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{a} 3 \operatorname{sub}(\mathrm{c})-\lambda, \tag{F12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the constant of proportionality $\lambda$ is given by the adding-up requirement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S} 3 \operatorname{LUX}(\mathrm{k}) \cdot \operatorname{a3} 3 \mathrm{lux}(\mathrm{k})=0 \tag{F13}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that:

$$
\operatorname{a3lux}(c)=\operatorname{a3sub}(c)-\sum_{k} \operatorname{S} 3 L U X(k) \cdot a 3 \operatorname{sub}(k)
$$

We also suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S} 3 \_\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{k}) \cdot \mathrm{a} 3 \operatorname{sub}(\mathrm{k})=0 \tag{F14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is guaranteed by equation E_a3sub:

$$
\operatorname{a3sub}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{a} 3 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{c})-\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S} 3 \_S(\mathrm{k}) . \mathrm{a} 3 \_\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \text { E_a3sub }
$$

The effect of these assumptions is to allow budget shares to be shocked whilst altering expenditure elasticities and the Frisch parameter as little as possible.

## Mark Horridge

## Appendix G: Making your own AGE model from ORANI-G

In conjunction with the full GEMPACK system, the ORANI-G.TAB file (which appears in Excerpts listed previously) forms an excellent starting-point for constructing your own AGE model. This file is available from the World-Wide Web (see Appendix B). The best plan is to start from something that works (ORANI-G) and modify it in small steps until it suits your needs.

The most minimal change is to attach a different data file, appropriate to another country. Use either of the GEMPACK programs ViewHAR or MODHAR to turn data in text files into GEMPACK's binary format. Table G1 lists the data matrices that will be needed.

Table G1 Contents of ORANI-G Data File

| Header | Dimension | Symbol | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COM | 23 strings | COM | Set COM commodities |
| IND | 22 strings | IND | Set IND industries |
| OCC | 2 strings | OCC | Set OCC occupations |
| MAR | 2 strings | MAR | Set MAR margin commodities |
| 1BAS | COM*SRC*IND | V1BAS | Intermediate Basic |
| 2BAS | COM* ${ }^{\text {SRC*}}$ * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | V2BAS | Investment Basic |
| 3BAS | COM*SRC | V3BAS | Households Basic |
| 4BAS | COM | V4BAS | Exports Basic |
| 5BAS | COM*SRC | V5BAS | Government Basic |
| 6BAS | COM*SRC | V6BAS | Inventory Changes |
| 1MAR | COM**RC**ND*MAR | V1MAR | Intermediate Margins |
| 2MAR | COM**RC*IND*MAR | V2MAR | Investment Margins |
| 3MAR | COM* ${ }^{\text {SRC**MAR }}$ | V3MAR | Households Margins |
| 4MAR | COM*MAR | V4MAR | Exports Margins |
| 5MAR | COM*SRC*MAR | V5MAR | Government Margins |
| 1TAX | COM* ${ }^{\text {d }}$ RC*IND | V1TAX | Intermediate Tax |
| 2 TAX | COM* ${ }^{\text {SRC*}}$ * ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | V2TAX | Investment Tax |
| 3TAX | COM*SRC | V3TAX | Households Tax |
| 4TAX | COM | V4TAX | Exports Tax |
| 5TAX | COM*SRC | V5TAX | Government Tax |
| 1 CAP | IND | V1CAP | Capital |
| 1LAB | IND*OCC | V1LAB | Labour |
| 1LND | IND | V1LND | Land |
| 1PTX | IND | V1PTX | Production Tax |
| 1OCT | IND | V10CT | Other Costs |
| MAKE | COM**ND | MAKE | Multiproduct Matrix |
| OTAR | COM | VOTAR | Tariff Revenue |
| SLAB | IND | SIGMA1LAB | Labour Sigma |
| P028 | IND | SIGMA1PRIM | Primary Factor Sigma |
| 1ARM | COM | SIGMA1 | Intermediate Armington |
| SCET | IND | SIGMA1OUT | Output Sigma |
| 2ARM | COM | SIGMA2 | Investment Armington |
| 3ARM | COM | SIGMA3 | Households Armington |
| P021 | 1 | FRISCH | Frisch Parameter |
| XPEL | COM | EPS | Household Expenditure Elasticities |
| P018 | COM | EXP_ELAST | Individual Export Elasticities |
| EXNT | 1 | EXP_ELAST_NT | Collective Export Elasticity |
| ITEX | COM | IsIndivExp | Flag, $>0.5$ for individual export coms, else collective export |
| Additional data for regional extension |  |  |  |
| REG | 8 strings |  | Set REG Regions |
| LCOM | COM | IsLocCom | Flag for regional extension, $>0.5$ for local coms, else national |
| R001 | IND*REG | REGSHR1 | Regional output shares |
| R002 | IND*REG | REGSHR2 | Regional investment shares |
| R003 | COM*REG | REGSHR3 | Regional consumption shares |
| R004 | COM*REG | REGSHR4 | Regional export shares |
| R005 | COM*REG | REGSHR5 | Regional government shares |
| R006 | COM*REG | REGSHR6 | Regional inventory shares |

The dimensions of the model (e.g., the number of sectors) are controlled by the 'Set' data at the beginning of the list above.

Your own national input-output tables may not support the ORANI-G level of detail. For example, you may be unable to distinguish land as a third primary factor. Rather than deleting all mention of land from the equations, achieve the same effect by supplying a data vector of land rentals (header '1LND' in Table G1) which is filled with tiny non-zero numbers. Again, if you cannot gather margins data, you may restrict the set of margins commodities (in Excerpt 1) to a single commodity, and fill all margins matrices with tiny numbers or zeroes. Define one occupational labour category only, if need be. A diagonal MAKE matrix is equivalent to removing multi-production. All these techniques are less errorprone than altering the equations, and leave scope for later collection of more data.

As your confidence grows, you may wish to change or extend the equation system. For example, you could easily alter the consumption function. More ambitiously, you could alter the production nesting system of Figure 5 to allow intermediate usage of energy to be substitutable with primary factors.

## Appendix H: Additions and Changes for the 1998 edition

ORANI-G has been adapted to a number of countries beside Australia. Each national version has, in addition to a unique database, various special additions and modifications to the basic TAB file. Some of these changes are so frequently needed that they have now been included in the basic model. They are:

- allowance for imported as well as domestic inventory changes;
- the addition of more TINY coefficients to combat zero-divide errors;
- an optional CET transformation between goods for local and export markets;
- a new output file that contains convenient summaries of the base data;
- the addition of variables which help to explain results


## Appendix I: Formal Checks on Model Validity; Debugging Strategies

A number of tests should be performed each time a model's equations or data are changed. We set out here the proper procedure to follow.

## 1. Price homogeneity test

It is a property of neoclassical models that agents respond to changes in relative prices, but not to changes in the absolute level of prices. That is, a uniform increase in all prices does not affect any quantity variables. Nearly always there is only one exogenous variable measured in domestic currency units-it is called the numeraire. Typical choices of numeraire include the exchange rate (phi) or the consumer price index (p3tot). If we shock the numeraire by $1 \%$ we would expect to see that all domestic prices and flows increase by $1 \%$ while real variables remain unchanged.

The supplied file HOMOTEST.CMF can be used to perform this test. Check that it refers to the right model and data and that the only shock is a $1 \%$ shock to phi. Run the simulation and examine the solution file. You should see that all prices move by $1 \%$ whilst quantities are unchanged.

## 2. Check initial data

The price homogeneity simulation should have produced a HAR file that summarizes the initial data. Examine this file (using ViewHAR) and check that the database is balanced, using the headers written by Excerpt 37 of the TAB file (see main text).

## 3. Real homogeneity test

Neoclassical models normally display constant returns to scale. This means that if all real exogenous variables (not ratios or prices) are shocked by $1 \%$, all endogenous real variables should also move by $1 \%$, leaving prices unchanged.

To test this properly, you have to ensure that any real ordinary change variables are shocked by the right amount and that export demand curves move outwards by an amount corresponding to a $1 \%$ increase in the size of the rest of the world. The supplied file HOMOTEST.CMF simplifies this task.

Comment out the numeraire shock used for the price homogeneity test and reinstate the series of real shocks which were originally commented out. Run the simulation and examine the results.

## 4. Change in GDP should be the same from both sides

Now run a simulation where relative prices change, ie, not a homogeneity test. For example, you could use the closure in HOMOTEST.CMF and shock real household consumption by $10 \%$. It's best to administer a shock that is large enough to make at least a $1 \%$ difference to the majority of variables. Stick with a one-step or Johansen simulation for now. Check that the results for the two nominal GDP variables, w0gdpexp and w0gdpinc are the same up to 5 significant figures. An unbalanced data-base or errors in equations could disturb this equality.

## 5. Updated data-base should also be balanced.

An updated data file will have been produced by the previous ( $10 \%$ real consumption increase) simulation. Use this updated data as the starting point for a second simulation with the same shock. Again, a HAR file of summary data will be produced, incorporating the effects of the first but not the second simulation. As in Step 2, use this to check whether the updated data is balanced. If not, and if all the previous tests were passed, there is probably something wrong with some Update statement.
6. Repeat above tests using a multistep solution method.

Go through the above steps this time using a 2-4-8 Euler extrapolation solution method. If there is a problem, but there was no problem with the Johansen tests, you have a subtle problem. Possibly a percentage change variable is passing through zero; or maybe you are using formulae to alter the data after it has been read (always a bad idea).

## 7. Be sure you can explain the results.

It is important to realize that there are many errors that Tests 1 to 6 above will not detect. For example, if the export demand elasticities in the database had the wrong sign, the model would still pass these tests. Only a careful 'eye-balling' of results will uncover this type of error. After modifying the model, it is a good idea to run a standard experiment for which the results have already been analysed. You should be able to understand how and why the new results differ.

## Other tips

## Change little, check often

Although the above tests can reveal the existence of a problem, they give little direct indication of its cause. The wise modeller performs the various tests both before and after making each small alteration to the model. Then, if there is a problem, the cause must lie with the minor changes just made.
Duplication of previous results.
Extensions and modifications to model equations should be designed so that you can still duplicate simulation results computed with the previous version of the model. For example, when adding new equations it is often a good idea to include shift variables which can be left endogenous. This prevents the new equations from affecting the rest of the system, and allows a standard closure to be used. To 'switch on' your new equations, exogenize these shift variables while at the same time endogenizing an equal number of other model variables. Sometimes modifications can be switched on and off by suitable parameter settings (an example might be the CET between goods for export and domestic markets in Excerpt 19B of the ORANI-G TAB file).

If you designed your extension in this flexible way, you could test that you are able to duplicate results that you computed before adding new equations. If the new results are different, you may have inadvertently made some other change that was overlooked.
Always check that GDP results are the same from both sides
It should become a reflex action, every time you look at results, to check that w0gdpexp and w0gdpinc are the same. It takes little time, and gives early warning of many possible errors.
Beware of rarely used exogenous variables
Shocks to rarely used exogenous variables often bring errors to light. Since in nearly all simulations these variables have zero change, the values of their coefficients are usually irrelevant. For example, when you shock normally-omitted technical change variables you are using parts of the model which have undergone relatively infrequent testing. So be alert.

## To identify the problem, try different closures

Suppose you noticed that some problem occurred in long-run closures where capital stocks are mobile, but did not occur in short-run closures where capital stocks are fixed. That might suggest that some coefficient of x1cap (percentage change in capital stocks) was wrongly computed, or that x1cap had been inadvertently omitted from an equation or update statement.
Use Assertions frequently
Suppose you discovered (after some painful hours) that curious simulation results were caused by a negative Armington elasticity (a typo). You could place an Assertion statement in the TAB file which will stop the simulation if that problem is detected. Then you could at least be sure that you would not again waste time tracking down that particular problem. In emergencies, you can disable the Assertion checking, but do not make this a habit!

## Appendix J: Short-Run Supply Elasticity

Where capital stocks are fixed, we can derive an approximate expression for a short-run supply schedule as follows. Imagine that output, z , is a CES function of capital and labour, and that other, material, inputs are demanded in proportion to output. Using percentage change form, we may write:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}} p_{\mathrm{K}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}} p_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} p_{M} & \text { zero pure profits } \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K}}=\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}-p_{\mathrm{L}}\right) & \text { factor proportions } \\
\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K}} & \text { production function } \tag{J3}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}}$ are the shares in total costs of capital, labour and materials, and where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}$ are the shares in primary factor costs of capital and labour. p is output price, and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}$ are the prices of capital, labour and materials respectively. z is output, and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ are the input quantities of capital and labour. "Capital" should be interpreted as covering all fixed factors, including land.

In the short run closure we set $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K}}$ to zero, so that the last 2 equations become:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}=\sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) & \text { and } & \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} & \text { giving: } \\
\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) & \text { or } & \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{z} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma\right) \tag{J5}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting (J5) into (J1) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{z} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma\right)\right)+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}  \tag{J6}\\
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{zH}_{\mathrm{K}} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma\right)+\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}  \tag{J7}\\
& \mathrm{zH}_{\mathrm{K}} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma\right)=\mathrm{p}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}  \tag{J8}\\
& \mathrm{z}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} \sigma / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\left[\mathrm{p}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}\right] \tag{J9}
\end{align*}
$$

Call $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$ the share of primary factor in total costs $\left(=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$
so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\left(\sigma \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\left[\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{L}}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{M}}\right] \ldots . \text { compare DPSV eq. } 45.19 \tag{J10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shortrun supply elasticity is the coefficient on $p$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \tag{J11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, supply is more elastic as either the labour/capital ratio is higher, or the share of materials in total cost is higher. (J11) is only a partial equilibrium estimate; it assumes that all inputs except capital are in elastic supply.

For industries which have permanently fixed factors (eg, land or natural resources) we could compute longrun supply elasticities in a similar way. In (J11) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}$ should be interpeted as the share of mobile factors in primary factor cost, with $S_{K}=1-S_{L}$.

## Appendix K: List of Variables

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a1(c,s,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Intermediate basic tech change |
| a1_s(c,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM i ¢ IND | Tech change, int'mdiate imp/dom composite |
| a1cap(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Capital-augmenting technical change |
| a1lab_o(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Labor-augmenting technical change |
| a1Ind(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Land-augmenting technical change |
| a1mar(c,s,i,m) | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND} \\ & m \in \text { MAR } \end{aligned}$ | Intermediate margin tech change |
| a1oct(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | "Other cost" ticket augmenting techncal change |
| a1prim(i) | $i \in I N D$ | All factor augmenting technical change |
| a1tot(i) | $i \in I N D$ | All input augmenting technical change |
| a2(c,s,i) | ceCOM $s \in$ SRC $i \in I N D$ | Investment basic tech change |
| a2_s(c,i) | c $\in$ COM $i \in I N D$ | Tech change, investment imp/dom composite |
| a2mar(c,s,i,m) | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D \\ & m \in \text { MAR } \end{aligned}$ | Investment margin tech change |
| a2tot(i) | $i \in$ IND | Neutral technical change - investment |
| a3(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Household basic taste change |
| a3_s(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Taste change, household imp/dom composite |
| a3lux(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Taste change, supernumerary demands |
| a3mar(c,s,m) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \mathrm{s} \in$ SRC $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{MAR}$ | Household margin tech change |
| a3sub(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Taste change, subsistence demands |
| a4mar(c,m) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ m $\in$ MAR | Export margin tech change |
| a5mar(c,s,m) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad m \in M A R$ | Governmnt margin tech change |
| contBOT |  | Contribution of BOT to real expenditure-side GDP |
| contGDPexp(e) | $e \in E X P M A C$ | Contributions to real expenditure-side GDP |
| deIPTXRATE(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Change in rate of production tax |
| delSale(c,s,d) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC $d \in$ DEST | Sales aggregates |
| delVOTAR(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Ordinary change in tariff revenue |
| delVOtar_c |  | Aggregate tariff revenue |
| delVOtax_csi |  | Aggregate revenue from all indirect taxes |
| delV1CST(i) | $i \in$ IND | Change in ex-tax cost of production |
| delV1PRIM(i) | $i \in$ IND | Ordinary change in cost of primary factors |
| delV1PTX(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Ordinary change in production tax revenue |
| delV1PTX_i |  | Ordinary change in all-industry production tax revenue |
| delV1TAX(c,s,i) | $\mathbf{c} \in$ COM $s \in$ SRC $i \in I N D$ | Interm tax rev |
| delV1tax_csi |  | Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on intermediate |
| delV1TOT(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Change in tax-inc cost of production |
| delV2TAX(c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Invest tax rev |
| delV2tax_csi |  | Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on investment |
| delV3TAX(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | H'hold tax rev |
| delV3tax_cs |  | Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on households |
| delV4TAX(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Export tax rev |
| delV4tax_c |  | Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on export |
| delV5TAX(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Govmnt tax rev |
| delV5tax_cs |  | Aggregate revenue from indirect taxes on government |
| delV6(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Value of inventories |
| delx6(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Inventories demands |
| employ(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Employment by industry |
| employ_i |  | Aggregate employment: wage bill weights |
| f0tax_s(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | General sales tax shifter |
| f1lab(i,o) | $i \in \operatorname{IND} \quad 0 \in$ OCC | Wage shift variable |
| f1lab_i(o) | $0 \in$ OCC | Occupation-specific wage shifter |
| f1lab_io |  | Overall wage shifter |
| f1lab_o(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Industry-specific wage shifter |

## Appendix K: List of Variables (continued)

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f1oct(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Shift in price of "other cost" tickets |
| f1tax_csi |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on intermediate usage |
| f2tax_csi |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on investment |
| f2tot |  | Ratio, investment/consumption |
| f3tax_cs |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on household usage |
| f3tot |  | Ratio, consumption/ GDP |
| f4p(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Price (upward) shift in export demand schedule |
| f4p_ntrad |  | Upward demand shift, Collective export aggregate |
| f4q(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Quantity (right) shift in export demands |
| f4q_ntrad |  | Right demand shift, Collective export aggregate |
| f4tax_ntrad |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on nontradtnl exports |
| f4tax_trad |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on tradtnl exports |
| f5(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Government demand shift |
| f5tax_cs |  | Uniform \% change in powers of taxes on government usage |
| f5tot |  | Overall shift term for government demands |
| f5tot2 |  | Ratio between f5tot and x3tot |
| fandecomp(c,f) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{f} \in$ FANCAT | Fan decomposition |
| finv1(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Shifter to enforce DPSV investment rule |
| finv2(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Shifter for "exogenous" investment rule |
| finv3(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Shifter for longrun investment rule |
| fx6(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Shifter on rule for stocks |
| ggro(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Gross growth rate of capital = Investment/capital |
| gret(i) | $i \in \mathbb{N D}$ | Gross rate of return = Rental/[Price of new capital] |
| invslack |  | Investment slack variable for exogenizing aggregate investment |
| p0(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Basic prices for local users |
| p0cif_c |  | Imports price index, C.I.F., local currency |
| p0com(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Output price of locally-produced commodity |
| p0dom(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Basic price of domestic goods $=$ p0(c,"dom") |
| p0gdpexp |  | GDP price index, expenditure side |
| p0gne |  | GNE price index |
| pOimp(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Basic price of imported goods = p0(c,"imp") |
| pOimp_c |  | Duty-paid imports price index, local currency |
| pOrealdev |  | Real devaluation |
| pOtoft |  | Terms of trade |
| p1 (c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Purchaser's price, intermediate |
| p1_s(c,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \quad \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Price, intermediate imp/dom composite |
| p1cap(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Rental price of capital |
| p1cap_i |  | Average capital rental |
| p1cst(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Index of production costs (for AnalyseGE) |
| p1lab(i,o) | $i \in I N D \quad 0 \in O C C$ | Wages by industry and occupation |
| p1lab_io |  | Average nominal wage |
| p1lab_o(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Price to each industry of labour composite |
| p1Ind(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Rental price of land |
| p1Ind_i |  | Average land rental |
| p1mat(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Intermediate cost price index |
| p1oct(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Price of "other cost" tickets |
| p1prim(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Effective price of primary factor composite |
| p1tot(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Average input/output price |
| p1var(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Short-run variable cost price index |
| p2(c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Purchaser's price, investment |
| p2_s(c,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \quad \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Price, investment imp/dom composite |
| p2tot(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Cost of unit of capital |
| p2tot_i |  | Aggregate investment price index |
| p3(c, s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Purchaser's price, household |
| p3_s(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Price, household imp/dom composite |

## Appendix K: List of Variables (continued)

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p3tot |  | Consumer price index |
| p4(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Purchaser's price, exports,loc\$ |
| p4_ntrad |  | Price, Collective export aggregate |
| p4tot |  | Exports price index, local currency |
| p5 (c, s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Purchaser's price, government |
| p5tot |  | Government price index |
| p6tot |  | Inventories price index |
| $\mathrm{pe}(\mathrm{c})$ | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Basic price of exportables |
| pf0cif(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | C.I.F. foreign currency import prices |
| pq1 ${ }^{\text {c, i }}$ ) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \quad \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Price of output by commodity and industry |
| phi |  | Exchange rate, local currency/\$world |
| q |  | Number of households |
| q1 (c,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Output by commodity and industry |
| realwage |  | Average real wage |
| SalesDecomp(c,d) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{d} \in$ DESTPLUS | Sales decomposition |
| t0imp(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Power of tariff |
| t1 (c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Power of tax on intermediate |
| t2(c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Power of tax on investment |
| t3(c, s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Power of tax on household |
| t4(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Power of tax on export |
| t5(c, s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Power of tax on government |
| utility |  | Utility per household |
| w0cif_c |  | C.I.F. local currency value of imports |
| w0gdpexp |  | Nominal GDP from expenditure side |
| wOgdpinc |  | Nominal GDP from income side |
| wOgne |  | Nominal GNE |
| wOimp_c |  | Value of imports plus duty |
| wOtax_csi |  | Aggregate revenue from all indirect taxes |
| w1cap_i |  | Aggregate payments to capital |
| w1lab_io |  | Aggregate payments to labour |
| w1Ind_i |  | Aggregate payments to land |
| w1oct_i |  | Aggregate "other cost" ticket payments |
| w2tot_i |  | Aggregate nominal investment |
| w3lux |  | Total nominal supernumerary household expenditure |
| w3tot |  | Nominal total household consumption |
| w4tot |  | Local currency border value of exports |
| w5tot |  | Aggregate nominal value of government demands |
| w6tot |  | Aggregate nominal value of inventories |
| x0cif_c |  | Import volume index, C.I.F. weights |
| x0com(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Output of commodities |
| x0dom(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Output of commodities for local market |
| x0gdpexp |  | Real GDP from expenditure side |
| x0gdpinc |  | Real GDP from income side |
| xOgne |  | Real GNE |
| x 0 imp (c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Total supplies of imported goods |
| x0imp_c |  | Import volume index, duty-paid weights |
| x0loc(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Real percent change in LOCSALES (dom+imp) |
| x1(c,s,i) | $c \in$ COM $s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Intermediate basic demands |
| $\mathrm{x1}$ _s(c, i) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Intermediate use of imp/dom composite |
| x1cap(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Current capital stock |
| x1cap_i |  | Aggregate capital stock, rental weights |
| x1lab(i,o) | $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND} \quad 0 \in \mathrm{OCC}$ | Employment by industry and occupation |
| x1lab_i(o) | $0 \in$ OCC | Employment by occupation |
| x1lab_o(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Effective labour input |

## Appendix K: List of Variables (continued)

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x1Ind(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Use of land |
| x1Ind_i |  | Aggregate land stock, rental weights |
| x1mar(c,s,i,m) | $c \in C O M s \in S R C i \in I N D ~ m \in M A R$ | Intermediate margin demand |
| x1oct(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Demand for "other cost" tickets |
| x1prim(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Primary factor composite |
| x1prim_i |  | Aggregate output: value-added weights |
| x1tot(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Activity level or value-added |
| x2(c,s,i) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in I N D$ | Investment basic demands |
| x2_s(c,i) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{IND}$ | Investment use of imp/dom composite |
| x2mar(c,s,i,m) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND}$ $m \in$ MAR | Investment margin demands |
| x2tot(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Investment by using industry |
| x2tot_i |  | Aggregate real investment expenditure |
| x3(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Household basic demands |
| x3_s(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Household use of imp/dom composite |
| x3lux(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Household - supernumerary demands |
| x3mar(c,s,m) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC $\mathrm{m} \in$ MAR | Household margin demands |
| x3sub(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Household - subsistence demands |
| x3tot |  | Real household consumption |
| x4(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Export basic demands |
| x4_ntrad |  | Quantity, Collective export aggregate |
| x4mar(c,m) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM} \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{MAR}$ | Export margin demands |
| x4tot |  | Export volume index |
| x5(c,s) | $\mathrm{c} \in$ COM $\mathrm{s} \in$ SRC | Government basic demands |
| x5mar(c,s,m) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad m \in$ MAR | Government margin demands |
| x5tot |  | Aggregate real government demands |
| x6tot |  | Aggregate real inventories |

## List of Regional Variables

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| delregx6(c,s,r) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in$ SRC $r \in R E G$ | Inventories demand |
| ffreg1(i) | $i \in \operatorname{IND}$ | Complement of rsum1 |
| ffreg2(i) | $i \in I N D$ | Complement of rsum2 |
| ffreg3(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Complement of rsum3 |
| ffreg4(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Complement of rsum4 |
| ffreg5(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Complement of rsum5 |
| ffreg6(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Complement of rsum6 |
| freg1(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| freg2(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| freg3(c,r) | $c \in C O M r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| freg4(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| freg5(c,r) | $c \in C O M r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| freg6(c,r) | $c \in C O M r \in R E G$ | Regional share shifter |
| regadvantage(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Reg advantage decomposition |
| regemploy(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Employment by reg and ind |
| regemploy_i(r) | $r \in R E G$ | Aggregate regional employment |
| regemploycon(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Contributions to regional empl |
| regw1lab_io(r) | $r \in R E G$ | Wage bills by region |
| regx0(c,r) | $c \in L O C C O M ~ r \in R E G$ | Regional usage of local commodities |
| regx1(c,s,i,r) | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND} \\ & r \in R E G \end{aligned}$ | Regional demands for intermediate inputs |
| regx1mar(c,s,i,m,r) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND}$ <br> $m \in$ MAR $r \in R E G$ | Margins - production |
| regx1prim_i(r) | $r \in$ REG | Gross region products |

## Appendix K: List of Regional Variables (continued)

| Symbol | Dimensions | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| regx1primcon(i,r) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND $\mathrm{r} \in$ REG | Contributions to regional gdp |
| regx1tot(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Output of regional industries |
| regx2(c,s,i,r) | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND} \\ & r \in R E G \end{aligned}$ | Regional demands for inputs for investment |
| regx2mar(c,s,i,m,r) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad i \in \operatorname{IND}$ <br> $m \in$ MAR $r \in R E G$ | Margins - capital creation |
| regx3(c,s,r) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad r \in R E G$ | Household demand for goods |
| regx3mar(c,s,m,r) | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad m \in M A R \\ & r \in R E G \end{aligned}$ | Margins - households |
| regx4(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Exports by region |
| regx $4 \mathrm{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r})$ | $c \in C O M$ m MAR $r \in R E G$ | Margins - exports |
| regx5(c,s,r) | $c \in C O M \quad s \in$ SRC $r \in R E G$ | Demands for inputs for "Other" demands |
| regx $5 \mathrm{mar}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r})$ | $\begin{aligned} & c \in C O M \quad s \in S R C \quad m \in M A R \\ & r \in R E G \end{aligned}$ | Margins - "Other" |
| rgshr1(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Region share in national output |
| rgshr2(i,r) | $i \in$ IND $r \in R E G$ | Region share in national investmment |
| rgshr3(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Region share in national consumption |
| rgshr4(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Region share in national exports |
| rgshr5(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Region share in national gov.usage |
| rgshr6(c,r) | $c \in C O M \quad r \in R E G$ | Region share in national stocks |
| rsum1(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Addup term - should be zero |
| rsum2(i) | $\mathrm{i} \in$ IND | Addup term - should be zero |
| rsum3(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Addup term - should be zero |
| rsum4(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Addup term - should be zero |
| rsum5(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Addup term - should be zero |
| rsum6(c) | $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{COM}$ | Addup term - should be zero |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See: Powell, 1977; Dixon, Parmenter, Ryland and Sutton, 1977; Dixon, Parmenter, Sutton and Vincent (DPSV/Green Book), 1982.
    ${ }^{2}$ See: Parmenter and Meagher, 1985; Powell and Lawson, 1989, Powell, 1991; Vincent, 1989.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ ORANIG-RD, a dynamic version of ORANI-G, may be downloaded from the ORANI-G web page.
    ${ }^{4}$ For a detailed treatment of the linearised approach to AGE modelling, see the Black Book. Chapter 3 contains information about Euler's method and multistep computations.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ For a comparison of the levels and linearised approaches to solving AGE models see Hertel, Horridge \& Pearson (1992).

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ We claim here that, for example, the estimate that a reduction in the textile tariff would reduce textile employment 5 years hence by, say, $7 \%$, is not too sensitive to the fact that our simulation started from today's database rather than a database representing the economy in 5 years time. Nevertheless, the social implications of a $7 \%$ employment loss depend closely on whether textile employment is projected to grow in the absence of any tariff cut. To examine this question we need a forecasting model, such as MONASH. If a MONASH control scenario had textile employment grow annually by $1.5 \%$, the $7 \%$ reduction could be absorbed without actually firing any textile workers.
    ${ }^{7}$ For equation E_x we could have written: (all, $\left.j, F A C\right) x(j)=z-\operatorname{SIGMA} *\left[p(j)-p \_f\right]$, without affecting simulation results. Our convention that the index, (f), be the same as the initial letter of the set it ranges over, aids comprehension but is not enforced by GEMPACK. By contrast, GAMS (a competing software package) enforces consistent usage of set indices by rigidly connecting indices with the corresponding sets.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ GEMPACK's TABmate editor offers some comfort to the forgetful. With the TABLO Input file open in TABmate, you may click on any variable or coefficient name, then click the Gloss button. A list will appear, starting with a description of that variable and then showing all statements in the TABLO Input file where it is used.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Exports (V4BAS) are valued with price vector pe. Unless we activate the optional CET transformation between goods destined for export and those for local use, the pe are identical to the domestic part of p0. See Excerpt 13.

[^6]:    ! Excerpt 10 of TABLO input file: !
    ! Top nest of industry input demands !
    Variable
    (all,i,IND) x1tot(i) \# Activity level or value-added \#;
    (all,i,IND) alprim(i) \# All factor augmenting technical change \#;
    (all,i,IND) altot(i) \# All input augmenting technical change \#;
    (all,i,IND) p1tot(i) \# Average input/output price \#;
    (all,i,IND) aloct(i) \# "Other cost" ticket augmenting techncal change\#;
    (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) a1_s(c,i) \# Tech change, int'mdiate imp/dom composite \#;
    Equation E_x1_s \# Demands for commodity composites \#
    (all,c,COM)(all,i,IND) x1_s(c,i) - [a1_s(c,i) + altot(i)] =x1tot(i);
    Equation E_x1prim \# Demands for primary factor composite \#
    (all,i,IND) x1prim(i) - [a1prim(i) $+\mathrm{a} 1 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})]=\mathrm{x} 1 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i})$;
    Equation E_x1oct \# Demands for other cost tickets \#
    (all,i,IND) x1oct(i) - [a1oct(i) $+\operatorname{altot}(\mathrm{i})]=x 1 \operatorname{tot}(\mathrm{i}) ;$

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Equation E_p1mat uses the ID01 function built-in to GEMPACK: another way of avoiding divide-by-zero problems. If $x=0, \operatorname{ID} 01(x)=1$; otherwise $\operatorname{ID} 01(x)=x$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ Multiproduction can be useful even where each industry produces just one commodity. For example we could split electricity generation into 2 parts: oil-fired and nuclear, each producing the same commodity, electricity.
    ${ }^{12}$ The perfect substitute assumption causes problems when we have two industries just producing the same commodity, and neither industry has a fixed factor; eg, in a long-run closure with mobile capital, and two electricity industries (say, nuclear and coal-fired) both making electric power. The model will find it hard to decide what proportion of generation should be nuclear. To cover such a case, the TABLO input file contains optional equations (not shown here), which allow (for example) nuclear electricity to be an imperfect substitute for coal-fired electricity.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ This feature is not part of the ORANI tradition, but appears in some other applied GE models.

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ The original version of ORANI referred to individual exports as traditional exports; the rest were called non-traditional exports. For Australia, the traditional exports were all primary products.
    ${ }^{15}$ The ABS (absolute value) function is used to neutralize mistakes about the sign of EXP_ELAST.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ The same problem, that exogenous variables have too many dimensions, sometimes arises when we wish to simulate technical change. Suppose we wished to shock the variable a1 (which varies by commodity, source and industry). It might be simplest to write a new equation, like the equations of Excerpt 28 , that related al to one or more new vector variables. The modeller is expected to add these equations and variables as required, since their form is experiment-specific.

[^12]:    ${ }^{17}$ There is indeed no levels equation corresponding to our change definition of, say, the investment price index. A levels formulation could use either initial or final weights; our formula uses weights that vary continuously between these two values. Because our formula for p2tot_i can only be written in change form, results for that variable suffer from path-dependence: they depend slightly on details of the solution algorithm that should be irrelevant. The effect however is normally very small, and rarely propagates through to other equations.

[^13]:    ${ }^{18}$ The TABLO input file contains optional code, not shown here, which could be used to compute such indices, data permitting.

[^14]:    ! Excerpt 31 of TABLO input file: !
    ! Investment equations !

    Variable
    (all,i,IND) ggro(i) \# Gross growth rate of capital = Investment/capital \#;
    (all,i,IND) gret(i) \# Gross rate of return = Rental/[Price of new capital] \#;
    Equation
    E_ggro (all,i,IND) ggro(i) = x2tot(i) - x1cap(i);
    E_gret (all,i,IND) gret(i) = p1cap(i) - p2tot(i);
    ! Three alternative rules for investment:
    Choose which applies to each industry by setting JUST ONE of the corresponding elements of $x 2$ tot, finv1, finv2, or finv3 exogenous.
    Iff aggregate investment $x 2$ tot_i is exogenous, invslack must be endogenous. !
    Variable
    (all,i,IND) finv1(i)\# Shifter to enforce DPSV investment rule \#; (all,i,IND) finv2(i)\# Shifter for "exogenous" investment rule \#;
    (all,i,IND) finv3(i)\# Shifter for longrun investment rule \#;
    invslack \# Investment slack variable for exogenizing aggregate investment \#;
    ! Rule 1: Follows Section 19 of DPSV. The ratios Q and G are treated as parameters, just as in the original ORANI implementation. Attempts to improve the theory by updating these parameters have been found to occasionally lead to perversely signed coefficients !
    Equation E_finv1 \# DPSV investment rule \#
    $($ all,i,IND $) \operatorname{ggro}(\mathrm{i})=$ finv1 $(\mathrm{i})+0.33 *[2.0 * \operatorname{gret}(\mathrm{i})-$ invslack $]$;
    ! Note: above equation comes from substituting together DPSV equations 19.7-9. The value 0.33 and 2.0 correspond to the DPSV ratios [1/G.Beta] and Q (= ratio, gross to net rate of return) and are typical values of this ratio. In DPSV invslack was called "omega" and was interpreted as the "economy-wide rate of return" !
    ! Rule 2: For industries where investment is not mainly driven by current profits (eg, Education) make investment follow aggregate investment. ! Equation E_finv2 \# Alternative rule for "exogenous" investment industries \# (all,i,IND) x2tot(i) = x2tot_i + finv2(i);
    ! NB: you must not set ALL of finv2 exogenous else above would conflict with Equation E_x2tot_i!
    ! Rule 3: longrun investment rule: investment/capital ratios are exogenous ! Equation E_finv3 \# Alternative long-run investment rule \# (all,i,IND) ggro(i) $=$ finv3(i) + invslack;

    Variable f2tot \# Ratio, investment/consumption \#;
    Equation E_f2tot x2tot_i = x3tot + f2tot;
    ! set f2tot exogenous and invslack endogenous
    to link aggregate investment to real consumption!
    ! Mechanism to allow fixed total capital to flow between sectors !
    Variable
    (all,i,IND) fgret(i) \# Shifter to lock together industry rates of return \#; capslack \# Slack variable to allow fixing aggregate capital \#;
    Equation E_fgret \# Equation to force rates of return to move together \#
    (all,i,IND) gret(i) $=$ fgret(i) + capslack;
    ! normally, capslack is exogenous and fgret endogenous, so above just determines fgret. To allow capital to be mobile between sectors, yet fixed in aggregate, swap [newly exogenous at left]:
    x1cap_i with capslack
    fgret with x1cap !

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ The TABLO input file contains optional code, not shown here, to implement upward-sloping labour supply schedules.

[^16]:    ${ }^{20}$ The reason is that during a multistep computation percentage changes are compounded, whilst ordinary changes are added.

[^17]:    ${ }^{21}$ Named after Fan Ming-Tai of the Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing; their PRCGEM is one of the most elaborate versions of ORANI-G.
    ${ }^{22}$ No interactive term is concealed in the residual. Because these decompositions are specified in small change terms, the changes due to each part add up to the change in the whole. To convince yourself, retrace the example starting at equation (35) with the multiplicative form $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{AB}$, leading to $\mathrm{X}^{0} \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Xa}+\mathrm{Xb}$, with contribution terms

[^18]:    ${ }^{23}$ GEMPACK stores data in its own, binary, format. A Windows program, ViewHAR, is normally used for viewing or modifying these so-called HAR files. The data matrices created here are designed to be convenient for examining

[^19]:    ${ }^{24}$ The set WAGGSET is used to work around a GEMPACK limitation: the only way to write lists of strings (such as headers) to a file is to write them as set elements. Furthermore, set elements may not begin with a digit.

[^20]:    ${ }^{25}$ The assumption is that for local industries, the MAKE matrix is pretty well diagonal. ORANIG-FR is a version of ORANI-G that copes with multiproduction for local industries; it is available from the ORANI-G web page.

[^21]:    ${ }^{26}$ In GEMPACK parlance, a closure is a list of exogenous variables.
    ${ }^{27}$ If equations are named after variables, the GEMPACK program TABmate will automatically perform the analysis summarized in Table 2 . The equation naming system is slightly arbitrary. For example, where a supply equation and a demand equation jointly determine price and quantity, we could say that the supply equation explained the price variable, and that the demand equation explained the quantity variable. Or we could pair supply with quantity and demand with price. It would not matter which way we chose: the two equations between them endogenize both price and quantity.

[^22]:    To name some other equations, we must have a closure in mind. For example, the factor demand equations of Excerpt 8 are named E_x1lab_o, E_p1cap, and E_p1lnd. Thus the equation names suggest that the labour demand equation determines employment while the other two equations determine the unit rentals of capital and land. That naming choice reflects the standard ORANI short-run closure, which fixes industry use of capital and land.

[^23]:    ${ }^{28}$ Substituted variables are necessarily endogenous and are not stored in the results file. GEMPACK also allows back-substituted variables; these do appear in the results file but use slightly more computing resources.

    GEMPACK only allows a variable to be substituted using a single equation of just the same dimensions. For a larger model, it is very important that the model equations and variables are arranged in a way that allows large matrix variables to be substituted or back-substituted.

